EXHIBIT 3

13-1388-cr

IN THE

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellee,

-against-

PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ERWIN MAYER, DONNA GUERIN, DENIS FIELD, ROBERT GREISMAN, RAYMOND CRAIG BRUBAKER, BDO USA, LLP,

Defendants,

DAVID PARSE,

Defendant-Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

APPENDIX VOLUME XVI OF XVII (Pages A-5633 to A-6085)

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S
OFFICE FOR THE SOUTHERN
DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
One St. Andrew's Plaza
New York, New York 10007
(212) 637-2346

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
OF JUSTICE
TAX DIVISION, APPELLATE SECTION
P.O. Box 502
Washington, DC 20044
(202) 514-8030

Attorneys for Appellee United States of America SHAPIRO, ARATO & ISSERLES LLP 500 Fifth Avenue, 40th Floor New York, New York 10110 (212) 257-4880

Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant David Parse

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Docket Entries	A-1
Indictment—Redacted S3	A-84
Email from William Kermode to Adam Hollander and others, November 2, 2010, attaching bill of particulars	A-157
Transcript—Conference, December 8, 2010	A-166
Transcript—Conference, February 9, 2011	A-182
Transcript—Conference, February 28, 2011	A-207
Transcript—Jury Selection, Day 1, March 1, 2011	A-222
Transcript—Jury Selection, Day 2, March 2, 2011	A-290
Transcript—Jury Selection, Day 3, March 3, 2011	A-338
Transcript—Trial, Day 1, March 3, 2011	A-345
Transcript—Trial, Day 2, March 4, 2011	A-378
Transcript—Trial, Day 3, March 7, 2011	A-404
Transcript—Trial, Day 4, March 8, 2011	A-462
Transcript—Trial, Day 5, March 9, 2011	A-525
Transcript—Trial, Day 6, March 10, 2011	A-587
Transcript—Trial, Day 7, March 11, 2011	A-642
Transcript—Trial, Day 8, March 14, 2011	A-677

PA Transcript—Trial, Day 9, March 15, 2011 A-7	AGE '30
Transcript—Trial, Day 10, March 16, 2011 A-7	88
Transcript—Trial, Day 11, March 17, 2011	51
Transcript—Trial, Day 12, March 18, 2011 A-9	13
Transcript—Trial, Day 13, March 21, 2011 A-9	49
Transcript—Trial, Day 14, March 23, 2011	58
Transcript—Trial, Day 15, March 24, 2011 A-10	20
Transcript—Trial, Day 16, March 25, 2011	189
Transcript—Trial, Day 17, March 28, 2011	39
Transcript—Trial, Day 18, March 29, 2011	:04
Transcript—Trial, Day 19, March 31, 2011	74
Transcript—Trial, Day 20, April 1, 2011	42
Transcript—Trial, Day 21, April 4, 2011	83
Transcript—Trial, Day 22, April 5, 2011	.49
Transcript—Trial, Day 23, April 11, 2011	14
Transcript—Trial, Day 24, April 12, 2011	80
Transcript—Trial, Day 25, April 13, 2011	45
Transcript—Trial, Day 26, April 14, 2011	24
Transcript—Trial, Day 27, April 15, 2011 A-17	86

Transcript—Trial, Day 28, April 26, 2011	PAGE -1826
Transcript—Trial, Day 29, April 27, 2011	-1897
Transcript—Trial, Day 30, April 28, 2011	-1962
Transcript—Trial, Day 31, April 29, 2011	-2022
Transcript—Trial, Day 32, May 2, 2011 A-	-2060
Transcript—Trial, Day 33, May 3, 2011 A-	-2128
Transcript—Trial, Day 34, May 4, 2011 A-	-2200
Transcript—Trial, Day 35, May 5, 2011 A-	-2288
Transcript—Trial, Day 36, May 6, 2011 A-	-2356
Transcript—Trial, Day 37, May 9, 2011 A-	-2389
Transcript—Trial, Day 38, May 10, 2011 A-	-2445
Transcript—Trial, Day 39, May 11, 2011 A-	-2499
Transcript—Trial, Day 40, May 12, 2011 A-	-2566
Transcript—Trial, Day 41, May 13, 2011 A-	-2594
Transcript—Trial, Day 42, May 16, 2011 A-	-2598
Transcript—Trial, Day 43, May 17, 2011 A-	-2610
Transcript—Trial, Day 44, May 18, 2011 A-	-2616
Transcript—Trial, Day 45, May 19, 2011 A-	-2625
Transcript—Trial, Day 46, May 20, 2011	-2632

iv

Transcript—Trial, Day 47, May 23, 2011	PAGE A-2637
Transcript—Trial, Day 48, May 25, 2011	A-2644
Requests To Charge Of The United States, May 2, 2011	A-2652
Letter from Stanley J. Okula, Jr. to Judge Pauley, May 3, 2011	A-2734
Letter from Laurie Edelstein to Judge Pauley, May 4, 2011	A-2742
Letter from Laurie Edelstein to Judge Pauley, May 5, 2011	A-2746
Email from Nicholas Cutaia, May 6, 2011, attaching revised draft jury charge	A-2814
Letter from Laurie Edelstein to Judge Pauley, May 8, 2011	A-2882
Court Exhibit 3—Note from Catherine Conrad, May 10, 2011	A-2955
Defendant David Parse's Motion For Judgment Of Acquittal Pursuant To Rule 29, June 7, 2011	A-3021
Government's Trial Exhibits	
GX12-60 Deutsche Bank Alex. Brown Confirmation	A-3027
GX24-5A Fax from Carrie Yackee to John Beery, August 29, 2002	A-3035
GX24-16 Deutsche Bank Alex. Brown Client Statement	A-3076
GX24-22 Letter from Matt Coleman to David Parse, December 24, 2001	A-3083
GX24-23 Letter from Matt Coleman to David Parse, December 28, 2001	A-3085
GX37-4 Documents Relating To C.R. Gibb	A-3360

	PAGE
GX43-1 Letter from Paul M. Daugerdas to Michael Hammer, August 28, 2002	A-3486
GX54-1 Letter from Donna Guerin to David K. Parse, January 24, 2001	A-3594
GX73-5 Documents Relating To Oxford Bloomfield Investors, Inc.	A-3875
GX82-2a Letter from Donna Guerin to Michael Toporek, February 18, 2002	A-3984
GX82-20 Deutsche Bank Alex. Brown Client Statement	A-4149
GX82-21 Deutsche Bank Alex. Brown Client Statement	A-4159
GX201-3a Letter from Michael Toporek to David Parse, December 28, 2001	A-4168
GX201-39a Letter from Michael Toporek to David Parse, December 28, 2001	A-4325
GX201-253 Fax from John Beery to Michael Hammer, December 4, 2001	A-4327
GX300-28a Email from Robert Greisman to Charles Bee and others, November 6, 2001	A-4446
GX300-40 Email from Lisa Hurley to Nicole Bencik, February 26, 2001	A-4449
GX400-23 Email from Carrie Yackee to Sheila D. Denzler, April 4, 2002	A-4450
GX400-24 Email from Carrie Yackee to Sheila D. Denzler, February 9, 2001	A-4457
GX400-29 Email from Carrie Yackee to Heather Loeser, February 11, 2002	A-4461
GX400-43 Email from Carrie Yackee to Dawn Forbes, December 21, 1999	A-4463

	PAGE
GX400-50 Email from Carrie Yackee to Sheila D. Denzler, February 11, 2002	A-4464
GX400-195 Email from R. Craig Brubaker to Todd Clendening, November 15, 2000	A-4473
GX400-260 Email from R. Craig Brubaker to emayer@jenkens.com, October 18, 1999	A-4475
GX401-9 Deutsche Bank Alex. Brown Client Statement	A-4492
GX401-10 Fax from Carrie Yackee to Judy Gagnon, April 25, 2002	A-4496
GX401-44 Letter from Matt Coleman to David Parse	A-4499
GX401-47a Letter from Daniel Aronoff to David Parse, December 19, 2000	A-4500
GX401-48 Deutsche Bank Alex. Brown Client Statement	A-4503
GX401-74 Deutsche Bank Alex. Brown Client Statement	A-4508
GX401-97 Fax from Carrie Yackee to Nicole Bencik, March 13, 2001	A-4513
GX401-98 Letter from Daniel Aronoff to David Parse, December 19, 2000	A-4544
GX401-99 Letter from Daniel Aronoff to David Parse, December 19, 2000	A-4545
GX401-100 Letter from Daniel Aronoff to David Parse, December 19, 2000	A-4546
GX401-101 Change Form	A-4547
GX700-19 Fax from Judi Gagnon to John Beary, April 29, 2002.	A-4549
GX1000-52 IRS Certificate Of Official Record, March 11, 2009	A-4565
GX1001-209 Fax from John V. Ivsan to John Beery, April 6, 2001	A-4756

vii

		PAGE
	GX1002-64 Fax from Lisa Hurley to James D. Beumel, May 16, 2003	A-4777
	GX1002-122 Letter from Lisa Hurley to James D. Beumel, March 3, 2003	A-4810
	GX1002-124 IRS Form 4564 for Larry H. and Nancy C. Moore .	A-4816
	Defendants' Trial Exhibits	
	DX2169 Letter from Erwin Mayer to R. Craig Brubaker, December 16, 1998	A-4852
	DX2635a Letter from Erwin Mayer to Bob Price, December 17, 1998	A-4886
	DX2667 Email from r.craig.brubaker@db.com to emayer@jenkens.com, November 5, 1999	A-4887
	DX2703 Memorandum from Michael L. Cook and Bryan W. Lee to Board of Directors, Roger Hayse, November 16, 2000	A-4891
	DX3278 Confidential J&G Notes	A-4892
	DX3396 Email from R. Craig Brubaker to Ross Crawford, October 27, 1999	A-4894
	DX5577 Change Form	A-4913
Lett	er from Stanley J. Okula, Jr. to Judge Pauley, June 22, 2011	A-4936
Mer	norandum Of Law In Support Of Defendants' Motion For A New Trial Or, In The Alternative, For An Evidentiary Hearing Concerning Juror No. 1, July 8, 2011	A-4941
Dec	laration Of Theresa Trzaskoma In Support Of Defendants' Motion For A New Trial Or, In The Alternative, For An Evidentiary Hearing, Concerning Juror No. 1, redacted version, July 8, 2011.	A-4979
	Exhibit 1 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Page 6 from the Jury Department's Panel Selection Report	A-4984

viii

P	AGE
Exhibit 2 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Juror Questionnaire	986
Exhibit 3 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Letter from Catherine Conrad to Stanley J. Oklua [sic], Esq., May 25, 2011	989
Exhibit 4 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Attorney Registration Information for Catherine M. Conrad as of June 24, 2011	994
Exhibit 5 to Trzaskoma Declaration— In the Matter of Catherine M. Conrad, 48 A.D.3d 187 (1st Dep't Dec. 18, 2007)	996
Exhibit 6 to Trzaskoma Declaration— In the Matter of Catherine M. Conrad, 80 A.D.3d 187 (1st Dep't Dec. 9, 2010)	999
Exhibit 7 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Deed for 16 Parkview Drive, Eastchester, New York	003
Exhibit 8 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Mortgage, December 27, 2004, recorded on 16 Parkview Drive, Bronxville, New York	006
Exhibit 9 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Nevada Marriage License for Frank Rosa and Catherine Morgan Conrad, June 20, 2007	021
Exhibit 10 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Nevada Marriage Certificate for Frank Rosa and Catherine Morgan Conrad, June 20, 2007	023
Exhibit 11 to Trzaskoma Declaration— New York Criminal History Record Search results for Catherine Conrad	026
Exhibit 12 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Certificate of Disposition, Criminal Court of the City of New York, County of Bronx, <i>People v. Catherine</i> Conrad, August 21, 1998	031
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

P	'AGE
Exhibit 13 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Certificate of Disposition from the Criminal Court of the City of New York, County of Bronx, <i>People v. Catherine Conrad</i> , May 17, 1998	033
Exhibit 14 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Winslow, Arizona Police Department records for Catherine Conrad	035
Exhibit 15 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Records from the Criminal Court of the City of Yonkers related to May 6, 2009 arrest of Catherine Rosa, Docket No. 09-2763 A-5	057
Exhibit 16 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Records from the Criminal Court of the City of New Rochelle for the May 6, 2009 arrest of Catherine Rosa	061
Exhibit 17 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Records from New Jersey and Westlaw relating to Frank Rosa A-5	076
Exhibit 18 to Trzaskoma Declaration— State of New Jersey Department of Corrections information sheet for Frank J. Rosa	124
Exhibit 19 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Criminal records from Nassau County, New York for Frank Rosa A-5	127
Exhibit 20 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Summons and Verified Complaint, Conrad v. Manessis, et al., Index No. 27056-03 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., Bronx County), November 10, 2003	183
Exhibit 21 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Note of Issue, <i>Conrad v. Manessis</i> , March 7, 2009	192
Exhibit 22 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Order with Notice Of Entry, Conrad v. Manessis, December 5, 2008	198
Exhibit 23 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Affidavit of Catherine Conrad, <i>Conrad v. Manessis</i> , January 19, 2009	205

		PAGE
	Exhibit 24 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Order, <i>Conrad v. Manessis</i> , February 5, 2009	A-5212
	Exhibit 25 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Affirmation of Victor M. Serby in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Complaint, <i>Conrad v. Manessis</i> , June 4, 2010	A-5215
	Exhibit 26 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Judgment, Verdict Sheet and Exhibit List, Conrad v. Manessis	A-5257
	Exhibit 27 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Excerpts of the trial testimony of Catherine Conrad, Conrad v. Manessis	A-5267
	Exhibit 28 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Order, <i>Conrad v. Manessis</i> , March 24, 2011	A-5315
	Exhibit 29 to Trzaskoma Declaration— Federal Election Commission contribution records for Robert J. Conrad at 16 Parkview Drive, Bronxville, NY 10708	A-5392
Trai	nscript—Conference regarding motion for new trial (unsealed), July 15, 2011	A-5399
Lett	er from Susan E. Brune to Judge Pauley, July 21, 2011 attaching Westlaw Report	A-5416
Affi	davit of Paul H. Schoeman, Esq., dated August 17, 2011	A-5438
Affi	davit of Susan E. Brune, dated September 15, 2011	A-5441
	Exhibit A to Brune Affidavit— Juror Questionnaire	A-5446
	Exhibit B to Brune Affidavit— Email from Randy Kim to Viviann Stapp, February 25, 2011	A-5449
	Exhibit C to Brune Affidavit— Juror Snapshot	A-5451

хi

		PAGE
	Exhibit D to Brune Affidavit— Email from Viviann Stapp to Randy Kim, March 1, 2011	A-5466
	Exhibit E to Brune Affidavit— Jury Selection Materials	A-5475
	Exhibit F to Brune Affidavit— Jury Selection Materials	A-5479
	Exhibit G to Brune Affidavit— Jury Selection Materials	A-5481
	Exhibit H to Brune Affidavit— Jury Selection Materials	A-5489
	Exhibit I to Brune Affidavit— Email from Suann Ingle to Kendra Melrose, May 11, 2011	A-5494
	Exhibit J to Brune Affidavit— Internal Brune & Richard emails	A-5496
	Exhibit K to Brune Affidavit— Memorandum from DB to Parse File, May 17, 2011	A-5559
	Delemental Memorandum Of Law Of United States In Opposition To Defendants' Motion For A New Trial Pursuant To Rule 33 Of The Federal Rules Of Criminal Procedure, October 7, 2011	A-5563
	Exhibit 1— Affidavit of Daniel Nardello, September 28, 2011	A-5593
Tran	script—Telephone Conference, August 8, 2011	A-5595
Tran	script—New Trial Hearing, Day 1, February 15, 2012	A-5608
Tran	script—New Trial Hearing, Day 2, February 16, 2012	A-5699
	Hearing Exhibit 20—In re Conrad, 80 A.D.3d 168 (1st Dep't 2010)	A-5840
Decl	aration of Steven Gillers, April 6, 2012	A-5843

xii

Exhibit A to Cillara Declaration	PAGE
Exhibit A to Gillers Declaration— Curriculum Vitae of Stephen Gillers	A-5852
Affidavit of David Parse, August 3, 2012	A-5872
Transcript—Oral Argument, October 12, 2012	A-5903
Letter from Paul Shechtman to Judge Pauley, March 7, 2013	A-5930
Exhibit G to Government's Sentencing Memorandum, March 15, 2013—	
Jenkens and Gilchrist Clients—Restitution Calculation	A-6041
Amended Sentencing Memorandum of the United States Regarding Defendant David Parse, March 18, 2013	A-6074
Letter from Paul Schechtman to Judge Pauley, March 18, 2013	A-6115
Reply Of The United States Regarding Defendant David Parse, March 20, 2013	A-6125
Transcript—Sentencing of David Parse, March 22, 2013	A-6134
Letter from Paul Schechtman to Judge Pauley, March 22, 2013	A-6161
Notice Of Appeal, March 29, 2013	A-6163
Judgment April 12 2013	A-6168

C2frdau3	Trzaskoma - redirect	Page 93	C2frdau3	Trzaskoma - redirect	Page 95
1 A. No	o. I actually thought that the more likely expla	nation	1 together.	And we were benefited greatly by the	fact that Ms.
	hat the Westlaw report was conflating two peop			ad included her phone number at the to	
	e named Catherine Conrad, one who was our juror a		з to Mr.		
	was the suspended lawyer.			are conflating two issues. My question	n is about the
A Company of the Comp	aring your conversation with Ms. Edelstein and Ms	Brune		suit. You had a chance to review tho	
	any point afterwards, did anyone discourage you			e trial, right?	
	ing any additional information about Catherine Co		7 A. I did		
	Westlaw report that had been sent to you?		8 Q. You!	snow that in Ms. Conrad's testimony i	n that suit she
	o. I believe, as I told you earlier, at the conclus	sion of		e has a law degree, right?	
	scussion with Ms. Edelstein and Ms. Brune I said so		10 A. I did.	But it took me days to pull all that	together and
11 along	the lines of, do we need to do anything further, as	nd Ms.	11 to find	that in the files.	
12 Brun	e said, no, just leave it. Based on that, I calle	d Mr.	12 Q. Were	n't there several days between May 12t	h and the jury
13 Benl	hamou.		13 verdict	?	
14	I went to my children's school to pick them up	for the	14 A. Yes.		
15 first ti	ime in many months, maybe years, and on my way	to pick		respect to the motion that you filed, di	
	up at school, my recollection is I called Mr. Benha			decision not to disclose the information	
	im whether he had gotten the lawsuit. He said			possession during the trial in yo	ur motion?
	t, that they couldn't find it online, and if we wan			t was not, in my mind.	
	our managing clerk would have to go to the Bronz			IR. HERNANDEZ: May I have a morr	
	ing. I said, we don't think it is her, so you can	n stand		HE COURT: Yes. Take your tir	
21 down				IR. HERNANDEZ: No further questi	
	id at any point anyone suggest that you not se	nd any		HE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. She	chtman.
	ils about Conrad any further?	4		OSS-EXAMINATION	
24 A. No				R. SHECHTMAN:	
25 Q. Yo	ou said that you weren't aware of any other	juror	25 Q. Ms. T	rzaskoma, at any time were you trying	to sandbag the
C2frdau3	Trzaskoma - redirect	age 94	C2frdau3	Trzaskoma - recross	Page 96
1 miscon	nduct case where the lies of this magnitude were in	volved.	1 Court o	r plant error in the record as to Juro	or No. 1. Ms.
	ou remember that testimony?		2 Conrad		
3 A. I c				olutely not.	
The second second	you recall on direct you testified that in your m	ind on		IR. SHECHTMAN: No further of	uestions.
	12th there were two possibilities: There was eit			HE COURT: Ms. Trzaskoma, I have a	
	ibility that Conrad had lied during voir dire			nird day of jury deliberations, May 16	
	rmation you had was for the wrong persor			ronted with a juror who needed to have	
8 A. Ye			8 medical p	procedure. After conferring with all con	unsel and over
9 Q. Is i	it fair to say that in your mind on May 12th they	were		nment's objection, I excused Juror No	
10 not ju	ist lies that you were contemplating but in your	mind	10 Juror No	o. 11 with an alternate, and instructe	d the jury to
11 unpr	ecedented lies as one possibility?	100	11 restart a	new their jury deliberations. Durin	g that entire
12 A. Ith	hink that what I understood Mr. Shechtman to be	asking	12 episode	did you ever revisit the question of Ju	ror No. 1 and
13 me wa	as about the totality of Ms. Conrad's lies. I can to	ell you	13 the possi	bility that she might be someone other	than who she
14 that o	on May 12th I certainly did not contemplate th	at Ms.	14 said sh	e was in voir dire?	
	id was not only a suspended lawyer but was on ci			HE WITNESS: I did not. I genuinel	y believed that
The second second second	ation, had been arrested numerous times, had a	100 100 100 100		o. 1 was who she said she was.	
The state of the s	nt for her arrest, was a severe alcoholic, and was n			HE COURT: Any further inquiry based	upon the Court's
The second secon	ian who himself had served 7 years in a New Jerso	ey state	18 inquiry		
the second section of the sect	on. That never entered my head.			IR. HERNANDEZ: No, your Ho	
The second secon	ose facts that you had you didn't have during the	e trial,		IR. SKLARSKY: No, your Hono	
21 right				IR. SHECHTMAN: No, your Ho	onor.
TO YOUR STREET	e did not.			IR. ROTERT: No, your Honor.	a.u.
	you had researched Ms. Conrad's civil lawsuit, you			IS. McCARTHY: No, your Hone	
	e learned about them during the trial, right			HE COURT: Ms. Trzaskoma, you ar You may step down.	e excused as a
45 A. ILS	s possible, but it took us a long time to put t	inings	25 witness	. Tou may step down.	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

February 15, 2012

C2frdau3 C2frdau3 Page 97 Page 99 1 (Witness excused) my letter, which I know the Court has furnished to counsel, I THE COURT: Before the defendants call Juror No. 1, 1 2 informed the Court prior to today that on advice of counsel Ms. 3 have before me an application on behalf of Juror No. 1 3 Conrad will be asserting her Fifth Amendment right against concerning closure of the courtroom. I have reviewed the 4 self-incrimination. She will be doing that once called into 4 5 letter submissions of the parties. Ms. Sternheim, do you wish 5 this courtroom. Obviously, if she is granted immunity, she 6 to be heard further on that application? 6 will answer the questions as ordered. 7 MS. STERNHEIM: Very brief briefly, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Sternheim. THE COURT: Please. Take the podium. 8 8 Does any other counsel wish to be heard further on the MS. STERNHEIM: I am aware that aspects of Ms. 9 9 question of the sealing of the courtroom? Conrad's alcohol dependence are in the record, as we have heard MR. GAIR: No, your Honor. 10 10 MR. OKULA: No, your Honor. 11 today. However, I maintain that she does have the right to 11 confidentiality regarding her condition and any treatment she MR. ROTERT: No. your Honor. 12 12 may have received. I do not suggest that it should not be an A VOICE: Your Honor, may I be heard? 13 13 area of inquiry, but I don't believe that it needs to be an THE COURT: It's really not necessary. Have a seat. 14 14 15 area disclosed publicly. The record can be created so that all 15 By letter dated February 8, 2012, Catherine Conrad 16 the parties of interest in this matter have the facts that they requests that any questioning during this hearing concerning 16 17 need to make their respective arguments. 17 her medical suspension in proceedings held before the 18 The other part of my letter, which I don't have with 18 departmental disciplinary committee of the First Judicial me for the moment, concerns aspects -- does the Court have the 19 Department be conducted in a closed courtroom. 19 letter there? May I see it? Or does any counsel have a copy? A party seeking to close the courtroom to the public 20 20 21 THE COURT: I've got it. 21 must demonstrate "an overriding interest that is likely to be MS. STERNHEIM: Thank you. 22 22 prejudiced, the closure must be no broader than necessary to THE COURT: These letters will be docketed and filed 23 23 protect that interest, the trial court must consider reasonable if they haven't already been. 24 24 alternatives to closing the proceeding, and the trial court MS. STERNHEIM: The other aspects were HIPAA concerns 25 25 must make findings adequate to support the closure." Presley

C2frdau3 Page 98 C2frdau3 Page 100

1 regarding her personal medical conditions.

With regard to inquiry concerning the disciplinary committee, my request is based on the fact that disciplinary proceedings, at least in the First Department, are not public proceedings, and it is my understanding that sealed records were unsealed for the purpose of this matter. However, again, that I believe was so that the parties would have opportunity to make their record here. I still maintain because it is a pending matter in the First Department, it should not be opened to the public.

Once again, I am not stating in any way that counsel for either party should not be permitted to inquire. I understand the relevance of it. However, again, I do not believe that the inquiry into a matter which in and of itself was a closed proceeding, although revealed for purposes of this, and still pending should be a matter dealt with in open court.

So, my request again is should counsel wish to inquire into the underlying aspects of an alcohol dependency and the disciplinary committee and the proceedings, that that be a matter that is not for public consideration.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Sternheim. Is there any other matter that you want to bring to the Court's attention before the witness is called?

MS. STERNHEIM: Yes, your Honor. In connection with

v. Georgia, 130 S.Ct 721, 724 (2010) quoting Walker v. Georgia,
 467 U.S. 39, 48 (1984).

3 The information Ms. Conrad seeks to shield from public view has already been disseminated. But the various court 5 filings in support of the defendants' motion for a new trial include, among other things, Conrad's disciplinary records and 6 related court filings and her psychological evaluations. Given 7 these prior disclosures, there is no overriding interest of Ms. 8 Conrad that is likely to be prejudiced. Moreover, the rights 9 10 of the defendants in this criminal case to a public proceeding 11 trump Ms. Conrad's own parochial interest. Accordingly, her 12 application is denied.

I'd ask at this time that the marshals bring Ms. Conrad out.

MR. OKULA: Your Honor, before they bring her out, may I be heard briefly?

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. OKULA: I have spoken with Mr. Gair, and we understand that the procedure is that Mr. Gair is going to call Ms. Conrad and that she is going to invoke her Fifth Amendment rights. Your Honor has before you an application that we have submitted requesting that she be compelled to testify and be given use immunity in connection with that testimony.

I want to be perfectly clear that in connection with this hearing, although Mr. Gair is calling Ms. Conrad as a

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reoruary 15, 2012	PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL
C2frdau3 Page 101	C2frdau3 Conrad - direct Page 103
1 witness, we would have otherwise called Ms. Conrad in order to	1 this proceeding?
2 elicit these facts, so somebody looking back an this record	2 A. Yes, sir.
3 later on has no misimpression of a defendant calling a witness	3 Q. Ms. Conrad, did you take the same oath on March 1, 2011, to
4 who the government selectively decides to immunize. We were	4 tell the truth in this courtroom that you took a few moments
5 going to call this witness ourselves. We made a decision that	5 ago?
6 her testimony is in the public interest; that's why we are	6 A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure of the date.
7 seeking the immunity. I just wanted to make that clear to your	7 Q. Apart from the date, do you recall that in connection with
B Honor.	8 United States v. Daugerdas, you appeared as a juror, a
9 THE COURT: Fine. Ms. Sternheim, if you would like,	9 prospective juror, correct?
you may take a seat in the jury box during her examination.	10 A. Yes, sir.
MS. STERNHEIM: Thank you, Judge.	11 Q. At the beginning of the trial you took an oath, did you
and the second s	12 not?
MS. STERNHEIM: Your Honor, may I take the seat	13 A. I'm not exactly sure when, sir.
14 closest?	14 Q. At some point during the voir dire, did you take an oath to
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, come on down.	15 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
16 CATHERINE M. CONRAD,	16 A. Probably, yes, sir.
called as a witness by the defendant,	17 Q. You didn't do that, did you?
having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	18 A. There were omissions, yes, sir.
19 THE COURT: Would you take a seat and state your full	19 Q. There were omissions in your testimony, is that correct?
20 name and spell your name slowly for the court reporter.	20 A. Yes, sir.
THE WITNESS: Catherine with a C, C-A-T-H-E-R-I-N-E,	21 Q. There were lies in your testimony, were there not?
22 Conrad, C-O-N-R-A-D.	22 A. Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Mr. Gair, you may inquire.	23 Q. So you didn't tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
24 DIRECT EXAMINATION	24 but the truth, isn't that correct?
25 BY MR. GAIR:	25 A. Yes, sir.
C2frdau3 Conrad - direct Page 102	C2frdau3 Conrad - direct Page 104
1 Q. Ms. Conrad, the oath that you just took, is that the same	1 Q. Today did you call the chambers of Judge Pauley at about 10
[1] 이 마루그램 : 10 10 10 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 14 14 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	2 minutes to 8:00 this morning?
	3 A. Yes, sir.
	4 Q. Did you inform the deputy clerk in Judge Pauley's chambers
4 A. Upon advice of my counsel, I plead the Fifth. Thank you.	
5 Q. On March 1st and 2nd of 2011, were you present in this	5 that you would not be coming to court today?
6 courtroom for the trial of United States v. Daugerdas?	6 A. Yes, sir.
7 A. Upon advice of my counsel, I plead the Fifth. Thank you.	7 (Continued on next page)
8 Q. Let me ask you one more question. Is it your intention to	8
9 assert your Fifth Amendment privilege to any question that I	9
10 ask you concerning your service in United States v. Daugerdas?	10
11 A. Yes, sir.	11
MR. GAIR: Your Honor?	12
THE COURT: Does the government have an application at	13
14 this time, Mr. Okula?	14
MR. OKULA: We do, your Honor. We have submitted to	15
16 your Honor papers applying for an immunity order, and we	16
17 respectfully ask that your Honor sign that order.	17
THE COURT: Ms. Conrad, in view of your assertion of	18
TILD CO CITAL FIRM COMMAN, IN THE ST JUST MINISTER OF	D3/
가는 사람들은 사람들이 아니라 아니라 아름다면 하는 사람들이 얼마나 아니라	19
19 the Fifth Amendment privilege, I have signed just now an order	
the Fifth Amendment privilege, I have signed just now an order granting you immunity, that is, use immunity, with respect to	20
the Fifth Amendment privilege, I have signed just now an order granting you immunity, that is, use immunity, with respect to your testimony in this proceeding.	20 21
the Fifth Amendment privilege, I have signed just now an order granting you immunity, that is, use immunity, with respect to your testimony in this proceeding. You may proceed, Mr. Gair.	20 21 22
the Fifth Amendment privilege, I have signed just now an order granting you immunity, that is, use immunity, with respect to your testimony in this proceeding. You may proceed, Mr. Gair. BY MR. GAIR:	20 21 22 23
the Fifth Amendment privilege, I have signed just now an order granting you immunity, that is, use immunity, with respect to your testimony in this proceeding. You may proceed, Mr. Gair.	20 21 22

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

PAUL M. DA	UGERDAS, ET AL.,				February 15, 2012
C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 105 C2	PFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 107
1 Q. Did you	u know that you were under cour			know how to answer tha	
2 court too				understand what ratio	
3 A. Yes, s				a psychologist, but ye	
	id you know that a United	States		don't know whether that	was a rational thing for
	there was a subpoena.	Talian Al-Turbusan		y or an irrational one?	
	u know that a United States dis			know how to answer y	
	subpoena you to court tod	AT1 W		it have been either one	
8 A. Probal				know how to answer	
	ou're a trained lawyer. You know ubpoena, correct?			And did you further tell th	e deputy clerk that you
10 issue a si 11 A. Yes, s		10	A. I believ	t be testifying today?	
	u were standing in front of Judg			you understand that the gove	senment had prepared an
	was served on you on December			munity that when conferred	
14 A. The 20		14		ou to testify?	by suage radicy would
	So you knew you were under co		A. No.	ou to tostily.	
16 today, co				ad no idea that you were go	ing to receive immunity
17 A. Yes, s				came here to testify t	
	u knew that court order was lawf		A. That's		
19 you knew b	by your training as a lawyer that Ju	idge Pauley had the 15	Q. You're	represented by counse	1?
20 power to	issue that order to you, con	rect? 20	A. Yes, si	r and it was yes, sir.	
21 A. Yes, s	ir.	23	Q. And it's	your testimony have yo	u had a chance to meet
22 Q. And ye	t you told Judge Pauley's clerk	that you were not 22	with your	r counsel?	
	today, did you not?	- PAC	A. Yes, si		
24 A. Yes.				ou point her out?	
25 Q. And y	ou would agree with me that	that is irrational 25	A. Right t	o my left.	
C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 106 C2	2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 108
1 behavior	, is it not?	2	Q. How m	any times have you met	with Ms. Sternheim?
	R. OKULA: Objection, obje		A. Six.		
3 A. I'm no	t University of Chicago tra	ined 3	Q. And in t	he six times you met with	Ms. Sternheim strike
4 MF	R. OKULA: Objection.	4	that. All	those times occurred b	efore today, correct?
5 TH	IE COURT: Sustained as to	form.	A. Yes, si	r.	
	ing question. How did you know	I was University of 6		came into this courtroom	
	trained	7		re going to be immunized	so that you could testify
	gled you after the trial.	- 6			
	the trial, is that correct?		A. You're		
10 A. Yes, s		P. Carles and A. Carles and C. All 1992		you agree with me that te	
the state of the s	dn't Google me during the tria			I'm not going to testify she	ows a lack of respect for
	es, you're correct.	12		al process?	
the state of the s	Now, Ms. Conrad, can you explain	The second secon	A. No, no		a alaid sambala sha dalama
T	ing recognizing that they are un			explain to me your thinkin vill not be coming toda	
 appear cot A. No. 	ald call a federal judge and say		A. No.	an not be coming toda	iy ;
	no rational explanation for that			ecause you do not wish	to or for some other
18 A. Object		16		reads you do not wish	to or for some outer
	R. OKULA: Objection, your H	A second		know how to answer	/011
20 question		AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE P		as the reason for your saying	
	R. GAIR: May I be heard, y			e coming today?	O walkani arang a
	E COURT: On this question I'm		A. I don't		
	ent. All right? She can answ			know if you had a rea	ason?
	no rational basis for your ha		A. I'm not		Transfer
A CO. LANCE AND A CO. LANCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF T	morning that you were not comin			find yourself at times doing	things that you do not
THE STREET					

C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 109	C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 111			
1 know w	hether you have a reason for	doing or not?	1 correct?					
	ot sure how to answer that if		2 A. Yes, I'	m here.				
3 psycholo	ogist.		3 Q. And do	you remember you made some s	statements at that time.			
4 Q. Had y	ou been drinking this morning	ng, Ms. Conrad?						
5 A. No.		20,4 20, 40,40	5 Q. Did you	say, and I quote, "You're being	ig very stupid, Judge,			
6 Q. When	was the last time you were	drinking?	6 and I know	you went to Duke and God ble	ss you because I love			
7 A. Last n			7 all the play	ers there, but, you know, com	e on, this is anything			
8 Q. How	much did you have to drink	last night?	8 in favor of	the defendants and they broug	ht the motion against			
9 A. A cup	and a half, maybe.		9 the prosecu	ution. It's ridiculous. If you v	vant another Clinton			
10 Q. Of?			10 appointm	ent, it's not going to hap	pen."			
11 A. A liqu		()	11 A. I absol	utely said that. Thank you	for refreshing my			
	kind of liquor?	00 //	12 recollect	ion.				
	y cheap vodka.		13 Q. Now, le	t me break that down a little	bit. When you said,			
	efore that when was the last til			ng very stupid, Judge," what v	vere you referring to?			
15 drinking			15 A. I don't					
	ay, January 8th.			were you referring to any	thing?			
	it that you remember the date Su		17 A. I don't					
	ise alcoholics generally do t			en you said, "I know you went t				
	Ms. Conrad, you last appear	The second secon		at were you referring to	here?			
	on December 20th to appear before			nis football team.				
	instructions, is that correct		The second secon	ke Duke's football team?				
The second secon	you're correct.		22 A. Yes, s					
	luring that court appearance, were			nean its basketball team?				
24 A. No.	on had anothing to drink?		24 A. No. F					
25 Q. Had y	ou had anything to drink?		25 Q. 100 II	ke Duke's football?				
C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 110	C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 112			
1 A. No.		the state of the s	1 A. I know	, they're sort of losers, b	ut that's okay.			
	was the last time before your con	art appearance on		what you like about then				
	er 20th that you had anythin			t going to answer this.				
4 A. The n				R. OKULA: Objection, your H	onor. Why she likes the			
	d the effects of that alcohol wo	m off by the time		otball team?	4640 Me 254000000			
	ved in court at noon on Dece		6 TH	E COURT: Sustained.				
7 A. Yes.			7 Q. Are you	under the impression as you s	it up there today that			
8 Q. And so	whatever you did in that cou	rt appearance on		judge of deciding what questi				
	20th was not caused by alcohol in		9 will not a					
10 correct?			10 A. No, sin					
11 A. I believ	e it was caused by a subpoena th	nat I had to appear	11 Q. And yo	et that's what you just did	, correct?			
12 here, sir.		A Part of the Part	12 A. I think	even yourself sees the ridic	ulosity (sic) of that			
13 Q. The thi	ngs that you said to the Court on	that day were not	13 question.					
	d by being intoxicated at the tim	e, is that correct?		try to repeat that question ag				
Table Sections America	eve you're correct.			ary to your own knowledge of				
	s your behavior, would you charact			not going to answer my				
AND DESCRIPTIONS OF THE PERSON	al or irrational?			understand your question				
the state of the s	answer that. That's in your	The second of th		lo you feel like you have				
	o you recall that you received som	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE		ng of what's going on around y	ou today that you had			
20 the Cour			20 during th		eu .			
The state of the s	t know what you're talking a	and the contract of the contra		understand the meaning				
	cember 20th did you receive some	The state of the s		an you explain to us what Ju				
23 Judge Pa				uke University had to do with				
	urse. I received a subpoena.			earing for a hearing today	/ :			
25 Q. And Ju	udge Pauley ordered you to app	car today, is that	25 A. Absolu	nery nouning.				

C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 113	C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	February 15, 2012 Page 115
Miles and a second seco	ould you agree with me that a mection between Judge Pauley has 30 years or more ago and the he or and the instructions you wer 20th. The ent there too, but I really don means. Ou say you went there too, you may I Googled you. Ou know that I attended that as	leans. I'm not a t least there was no aving attended Duke aring that you were were receiving on t't know what your ean I went there too? an undergraduate? I just asked you? testion, sir. am now asking you connection between y and your statement December 20th? sychologist, sir. n, this is anything in	2 matter o 3 Q. And in 4 what you 5 A. I don't 6 Q. Well, w 7 A. I don't 8 Q. Well, d 9 it? 10 A. I don't 11 Q. I mean 12 A. I don't 13 Q. Do you 14 I'm asking 15 said you ca 16 2011. 17 MI 18 TH 19 Q. So you 20 A. You're 21 Q. Now, w 22 another Cl 23 you rem 24 A. I don't	id you mean that you thought t recall. n, you know there's meri t recall. know I'm not asking about you whether or not there is ume into court and lied and lie R. OKULA: Objection to t HE COURT: Sustained as u don't know why you said e correct. I'm not a psyc when you went on to tell Judg linton appointment, it's not g ember saying that?	vas ridiculous, is that i. s, what did you mean? there was no merit to t to it, right? your recall right now, merit to a motion that d and lied on March 1, he form, your Honor. s to form. d it was ridiculous? hologist. the Pauley "If you want oing to happen" do
C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 114	C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 116
2 get at wl 3 defendant 4 A. I don't 5 Q. Well, 6 A. Are you 7 Q. What y 8 anything to	t recall. what you were trying to ge ou testifying for me, sir? you were trying to get at, ma'ar hat might be in favor of the de us, is that correct?	were you trying to ng in favor of the t at is	2 Q. Okay, 3 A. Proba 4 Q. Just b 5 A. Smart 6 Q. So you 7 what you 8 A. If you 9 mine.	were being a smart ass to a	did say that, sir. why did you say it? federal judge, is that at's your words, not
	e you had decided that they w	ere fricken crooks,		n that the President of the U	

- 11 Q. Because you had decided that they were fricken crooks,
- 12 isn't that correct?
- 13 A. Absolutely not.
- 14 Q. You haven't decided that?
- 15 A. Absolutely not.
- 16 Q. Did you think -- and when you said and they brought the
- 17 motion against the prosecution did you think that Judge Pauley
- 18 was unaware of who filed the motion and who was responding to
- 19 the motion concerning the request for a new trial?
- 20 A. You have to break that question down for me, because Pacer
- 21 is a public record, sir.
- 22 Q. Can you explain to me what the fact that Pacer is a public
- 23 record has to do with the question of whether Judge Pauley
- 24 would know who filed the motion?
- 25 A. Of course. It's a matter of public record and it's what's

- Clinton? 12
- 13 A. I Googled -- no. Please, stop. No.
- 14 Q. Why did you refer to another Clinton appointment?
- 15 A. Because I Googled the judge after the trial was over and I
- 16 saw he was a Clinton appointee. End of story. Why don't we
- get on to the meat of this? 17
- 18 Q. Ms. Conrad, can you explain for us the connection between
- Judge Pauley ordering you to appear for a hearing on
- February 15th and the prospect that Judge Pauley would receive 20
- another appointment to the bench from somebody --21
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. -- who is not President?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Would you agree with me that in the common parlance that

C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 117	C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 119
1 was crazy talk?	1 A. I can't dispute if you're reading from the transcript, sir.
2 MR. OKULA: Objection.	2 So
3 A. No, I'm not a psychologist. 4 THE COURT: Sustained.	3 Q. I'm asking if you remember saying it.
	4 A. If you're reading from the transcript then I said it. It
5 Q. Can you explain the connection, if any, between what you	5 doesn't matter, I guess, if I remember it or not. But I recall
6 said about Judge Pauley receiving another Clinton appointment	
7 and the matters that were going to be discussed?	7 Q. You do recall?
8 A. No.	8 A. Vaguely.
9 Q. And did you have any special insight when you said "it's	9 Q. Now, would you agree with me in your career as a lawyer
10 not going to happen" that Judge Pauley would not receive 11 another appointment?	10 that it is an uncommon response for a person ordered by a judge
11 another appointment? 12 A. No.	11 to appear in court to testify to say no, I'm not going to do
	12 that?
13 Q. Now, when you came to the hearing on December 20th, did you	MR. OKULA: Objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled.
14 understand that you had been compelled to come to that hearing 15 by having an order served on you at your home?	14 THE COURT: Overruled. 15 A. Could you please repeat the question?
16 A. Yes, I had a subpoena served upon me.	16 Q. Based on your experience as a lawyer, would you agree with
17 Q. By two deputy U.S. marshals, correct? 18 A. I believe so, yes.	me that your conduct in responding to the judge's instructions
19 Q. And you understood that you had to obey that order,	18 by saying you were not going to appear was unusual?
20 correct?	19 A. I'm not a psychologist. I don't know. I don't know how to answer you, sir.
21 A. Yes, sir.	21 Q. Well, you are a lawyer, correct?
22 Q. And you understood that you couldn't leave, correct, till	22 A. No. I was.
23 Judge Pauley told you you could leave?	23 Q. Have you been disbarred?
24 A. I don't really know what that means.	24 A. No.
25 Q. Well, do you remember telling the deputy clerk at that time	25 Q. So you're a suspended lawyer?
2. Well, do you telliented telling the deputy clerk at that time	25 Q. 50 you're a suspended lawyer,
C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 118	C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 120
1 that your time was being wasted and you were going to walk out	1 A. Correct.
2 of the courtroom?	2 Q. You had legal training?
3 A. No, I don't.	3 A. Yes, I went to law school.
4 Q. Did you do that?	4 Q. How many times have you ever heard a person ordered by a
5 A. If I told you I don't remember, how can you ask me the next	5 judge to appear and testify say "I'm not going to"?
6 question?	6 A. I have no idea.
7 Q. Did Judge Pauley explain to you at that hearing you're	7 Q. It might happen all the time?
8 ordered to appear as a witness at a hearing on February 15th	8 A. I don't know how to answer your question, sir.
9 concerning your role as a juror in United States v. Daugerdas?	9 Q. Would you agree that that is not to tell a federal
10 A. I don't specifically recall him.	10 judge, and I quote, "I'm not showing up" when you've been
11 Q. Do you recall something like that?	ordered to show up is conduct that is not rational?
12 A. Yes, sir.	12 A. I am not a psychologist. I am not part of the disciplinary
13 Q. And do you recall that your response, or do you recall that	13 committee, so I don't know how to answer your question, sir.
14 later in that hearing he told you again you're going to have to	14 Q. Do you know what the word "rational" means?
15 testify at a hearing. Do you recall that?	15 A. I'm not a psychologist. There are variations that are
16 A. Not specifically, no, sir.	16 shades of gray.
17 Q. Do you recall that your initial response to being told that	17 Q. Have you ever been treated for a mental illness?
18 you had to appear at a hearing is to say, "No, I don't. No,	18 A. I'm not going to answer this.
19 no, no"?	19 THE COURT: You are directed to answer it.
20 A. If you're reading from the transcript, then that's what I	20 A. No.
21 said, sir.	21 Q. Ma'am, has anybody ever told you that you suffer from
22 Q. And do you recall that when the Judge gave you instructions	22 bipolar disorder?
23 about retaining a lawyer you said, and I quote, "For what? I'm	23 A. Not at all.
24 no, I'm not. I'm not going to court for anything. I'm not	24 Q. What medications do you take?
[- [-] - [-] 시간	25 A. Water.
25 testifying for anything, sir." Do you remember saying that?	25 A. Water.

Page 121

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

February 15, 2012

Page 123

Conrad - direct 1 Q. Do you regard water as a medication?

2 A. It calms me down. Sure.

3 Q. Besides water, what other medications do you take?

4 A. Tylenol for PMS.

5 Q. Do you take any prescription medication?

6 A. Not at all.

C2FFDAU4

7 Q. At any time in the last, say, two years have you taken any

prescription medication?

9 A. No, sir.

10 Q. Now, did you tell the judge that, after he reminded you

11 that a subpoena had just been served on you on December 20, did

you tell the judge, "I reject the subpoena. I reject it and

13 you're going to have to arrest me because nothing is going to

change." 14

15 A. I don't specifically remember that, but I'm sure I told him

16 that if it's in your record.

17 Q. Would you agree with me that that is uncommon conduct for

an officer of the Court?

A. I'm not a psychologist. I don't know what common conduct

quote-unquote, what your terms mean.

21 Q. So you're not really in a position to judge whether

22 something is common or uncommon, correct?

23 A. I'm not a judge, like you just said.

24 Q. And you're not in a position to judge whether something is

rational or irrational, right?

Conrad - direct 1 Q. Would your conduct be sane if irrational?

2 A. I'm not going to answer that. Of course not. Insanely?

Is that what you said, sir?

THE COURT: Why don't you put another question?

5 Q. Was your conduct in rejecting the subpoena that the judge

ordered served on you and saying that you were not going to

appear and the judge would have to have you arrested, was that 7

8 conduct irrational?

C2FFDAU4

9 A. Absolutely not. Nobody wants a subpoena served on them.

10 Q. Now, have you ever witnessed an officer of the court in

your career as a lawyer, have you ever witnessed an officer of

the court telling a judge that the judge would have to have 12

them arrested because they weren't going to appear as ordered? 13

14 A. I don't know how to answer that. I don't know.

15 Q. Do you know what your own experiences are?

16 A. If you're asking if I did it? You just told me I did it.

17 Q. I'm asking you -- are you able to understand my question?

18 A. Yes, but these questions are ridiculous.

19 Q. Why are they ridiculous?

20 A. Pose it again, please.

21 Q. Can you answer me why -- you just said my questions are

ridiculous. What did you mean when you said they were

23 ridiculous?

A. How would I know whether an attorney, what another attorney 24

said to another judge? I don't understand your questions.

C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 122

1 A. Common knowledge is common knowledge, sir. Q. Are you in a position to judge whether something is

rational or irrational?

MR. OKULA: Objection, Judge. We're getting into this rational/irrational. Different people have different ideas 5

about it. 6

8

THE COURT: And he's exploring the witness' view of that. Overruled.

A. Can you please say that again, sir? 9

MR. GAIR: Your Honor, can I ask that the court 10 reporter read back the question? 11

THE COURT: Madam court reporter, would you kindly 12

13 read back the question for Ms. Conrad?

(Record read) 14

15 A. In what context, sir?

16 Q. In the context of conduct of a lawyer appearing before a

federal judge, do you know what kind of conduct is rational and

what kind of conduct is irrational? 18

19 A. Probably, sir.

20 Q. Was your conduct irrational when you said, "I reject the

subpoena. I reject it and you're going to have to arrest me

because nothing is going to change"?

23 A. I consider it irrelevant.

24 Q. I beg your pardon?

25 A. Irrelevant, not irrational.

C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct

Page 124

1 Q. Okay. Now, would you agree with me that a good part of that hearing on December 20th was about Judge Pauley advising

you that you should get a lawyer.

4 A. Yes, sir. I understand the underlying reason why we're

here is not lawyer or lawyer up, whether I did or didn't, it's

whether the underlying fact of the verdict. 6

7 Q. Did you understand that the hearing on December 20th was to

give you instructions which included instructing you about your

9 right to a lawyer?

10 A. I guess partly, sir.

11 Q. Okay. And Judge Pauley told you that he would appoint a

12 lawyer for you if you qualified financially, correct?

A. I don't recall specifically. I don't recall.

14 Q. Well, didn't you -- you don't recall whether the judge told

you that you would have to -- that he could only appoint a

lawyer if you couldn't afford to hire one yourself?

17 A. Sir, I don't specifically remember that.

18 Q. Did you say that you didn't have the money to pay for

counsel? 19

20 A. Oh, I don't recall.

21 Q. Did you have the money to pay for counsel?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Well, let me just ask you to look, there's a big black

binder in front of you, and I'm going to ask you about a

document in that binder, Exhibit 3.

rebruary 15, 2012	PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.
C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 125	C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 127
1 A. Sir, tab 3?	1 A. Yes, sir,
2 Q. Tab 3, yes.	2 Q. Why did you say "this is garbage"?
3 A. All right.	3 A. I really don't know why.
4 MR. GAIR: Your Honor, at this time I would move the	4 Q. So that's another instance where you did something but you
5 admission of PMD3.	5 don't know why you did it, correct?
5 THE COURT: Any objection?	6 A. I'm not going to adopt your characterization of anything,
7 MR. OKULA: No, your Honor.	7 sir,
THE COURT: PMD 3 is received in evidence.	8 Q. Is that an instance in which you said something but you
9 (Exhibit PMD 3 received in evidence)	9 don't know why you said it?
10 Q. If you would look at page 10 of the transcript, it's got	10 A. I give you my same answer, sir.
11 page 5 at the bottom, but then on the right side there are page	11 Q. I can do this all day, Ms. Conrad. Did you in fact make a
12 numbers 9, 10 and 11. Tell me when you've got that.	12 statement to the Court, "this is garbage," without knowing why
13 A. Okay. Yes, sir.	13 you were saying it?
14 Q. Okay, and if you look at beginning at line 8, the Court	14 A. I really don't know what your question means.
15 says, "Do you want to complete this affidavit now so that I can	15 Q. Why did you say to the Court "this is garbage"?
16 make a determination as to whether or not you can afford	16 A. I don't know, sir.
17 counsel?"	17 Q. So this would be an instance where you did something in a
And you said, "I can't afford counsel, that's correct,	18 matter you knew was important and you don't know why you did
19 sir."	19 it, correct?
20 Do you remember that happening?	20 A. No. I'm not going to adopt your mischaracterization, sir.
21 A. If it's here then it happened, sir.	21 Q. What have I mischaracterized?
22 Q. And I'm asking you now do you remember it?	22 A. That you're implying that I do things that I don't know
23 A. Yeah, probably.	23 what I'm doing.
24 Q. Okay. And you understood that you needed to qualify in	24 Q. Didn't you just tell us that you didn't know why you were
order to have an attorney appointed for you, correct?	25 doing what you just did?
C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 126	C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 128
1 A. I didn't know specifically, specifically what the	1 A. It was probably a kneejerk reaction, sir.
2 qualifications are or were.	2 Q. So you do know why you did it, it was a kneejerk reaction?
3 Q. But you knew it was a financial qualification, correct?	3 A. If you want to characterize it that way.
4 A. Probably.	4 Q. Was it irrational for you to tell Judge Pauley
5 Q. Okay. And you understood that you would have to fill out a	5 A. I'm not a psychologist.
6 financial affidavit, did you not?	6 Q. Because you're not a psychologist you can't penetrate
7 A. I don't know how to answer that. Maybe eventually.	7 mental states of other people and yourself, correct?
8 Q. Well, when the Court said do you want to complete this	8 A. I don't know how to answer that.
9 affidavit now so that I can make a determination as to whether	9 Q. Are you able to form a conclusion whether or not somebody
10 or not you can afford counsel, did you understand at that point	10 is acting rational or irrationally?
11 that you had to complete a financial affidavit?	11 A. Yes, and I was a very unbiased juror.
12 A. I don't know, sir.	12 Q. Ms. Conrad, would you agree with me that your conduct in
13 Q. All right. Look back on page 8. It's on the facing page	13 telling Judge Pauley that the affidavit was garbage was
14 of this exhibit, line 6, Judge Pauley says if you would like a	14 irrational?
15 lawyer but do not have the funds to retain one, you may make an	15 A. No. And it has nothing to do with the jury verdict that
16 application to this Court to have an attorney appointed	16 was rendered against your client.
17 provided that you make such application and submit a completed	17 Q. Are you under the impression that you are the determinant
18 financial affidavit that demonstrates your inability to retain	18 in this proceeding of what is relevant and irrelevant
19 counsel by December 23rd 2011, and then a form was tendered to	19 information?
20 you. Do you remember that happening?	20 A. I'm not the judge, sir.
21 A. Oh, yes, sir.	21 Q. But yet you just told me that my question was in essence
22 Q. And do you remember what you said to Judge Pauley when you	22 irrelevant, correct?
23 got the form?	23 A. I'm not going to mischaracterize your statements.
24 A. Oh, yes. "This is garbage."	24 THE COURT: Mr. Gair, is this an appropriate place for
25 Q. You said, "this is garbage"?	25 a luncheon recess?
The same of the sa	de alternation du de dereit

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

February 15, 2012

C2F	FDAU4 Conrad - direct P	age 129 C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 131
1	MR. GAIR: Yes, your Honor.	1 Q. Did you refuse to tell Judge Pauley whether you had money
2	THE COURT: We're going to take a luncheon	
3	this time. We'll resume at 2:05.	3 A. I don't recall.
4	(Luncheon recess)	4 Q. And this was just about two months ago that you had this
5	000	5 conversation with Judge Pauley, correct?
6	AFTERNOON SESSION	6 A. If we've established it was December 20th, right.
7	2:05 p.m.	7 Q. And you don't recall whether he asked you whether you had
8	THE COURT: I want to draw to counsel's atten	
9	matters that developed over the luncheon recess.	
10	Ms. Sternheim presented me with a financial affidavit wh	
11	received from Ms. Conrad. Copies have been made and ci	
12	to all counsel and I've marked it as Court Exh	
13	Second, during the luncheon recess we discove	
14	our voice mail system that there is a voice mail messag	[14] 얼마
15	Ms. Conrad. Much like a picture that's worth a thousand	
16	I'm going to play the voice mail message for all pa	
17	open court now.	17 savings or checking accounts?"
18	* P	18 And your answer was, "As opposed to what? As opposed
19	MS. CONRAD: (Voice mail) "Hi, it's Catherine	
20	I won't be showing up to the hearing today. Than	그녀는 그렇게 되지 않는데 그 그들은 하는데 아픈데 그렇게 얼굴하다고 하는데 이 나는데 그는데 아픈데 하나 나는데 그는데 그렇게 되었다면 하는데 그는데 그는데 그를 그게 먹는데 그를 다 먹었다.
21	THE COURT: Play the time.	Now, do you recall, does that refresh your
22	"8:33 a.m. on February 15th."	22 recollection that you were asked about whether you had cash or
23	All right. There you have it. That was af	
24	conversation with my deputy at 7:52. Are there any	[2] [2] [1] [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2
25	that counsel want to raise before we bring Ms. Conrad	지어나면 그렇게 되는 그렇게 되었다. 그렇게 되었다면 하게 되었다면 하게 하게 되었다면 그 사람들은 모모님은 그는 그리네는 모모를 되었다.
27	that counsel want to raise before we oring ivis. Contact	var and 23 Q. And did you ten die jaage mat your personal finances ma
C2FI	FDAU4 Conrad - direct P	age 130 C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 132
2	resume the inquiry?	1 nothing to do with this?
1	MR. GAIR: No, your Honor.	2 A. I can't read as quickly as you're trying to lead me to,
2	THE COURT: All right.	BB
3	그 사람들은 사람들이 가는 것이 되어 가장 아이들이 가장 하면 하는 그들은 것이 되었다.	
4	MR. OKULA: Judge, do you anticipate that we	그런 작은 요요? - 11 전도에 가는 "주민들은 이 없는 사람들이 되는 것도 하고 있다고 있다고 하는 것은 하는 것이 없는 것이 가는 하는 것을 하는 것이다고 있다고 있다고 있다.
5	5 today?	5 If you look on page 11, line 19, did you say, beginning line
6	THE COURT: Do you anticipate finishin	
7	Ms. Conrad this afternoon?	7 you. They have nothing to do with this. I can get counsel any
8	MR. GAIR: I do so anticipate.	8 time I want, federal or state. Thank you, sir," Did you say
9	THE COURT: Good. Then I anticipate going	
	If we have to work a little later to complete Ms. Con	
11	like to do that.	11 Q. We're going to get to where he told you to sit down, but
12	Mr. Gair, you may continue with your examin	[2]
13	BY MR. GAIR:	13 to do with this, did you understand that in fact your personal
	Q. On December 20th when you were here pursuant to the	
15	order, Judge Pauley attempted to determine whether you	
16	financial ability to hire a lawyer. Do you recal	
	A. Generally. Not specifically.	17 against your client.
	Q. And you understood well, he asked you whether y	요요요 그렇게 1 [25년 기원 전문 - 1 시간
19	any money in checking or savings account, did h	
20	A. I don't recall.	20 appointed a lawyer?
25. 6	Q. If we can look at Exhibit 3, it's tab 3, page 11	
	transcript	22 Q. Sure. What you said is that your personal finances had
22		46 A. 2[] [[- 1] - 1 [- 1] -
22 23	MR. GAIR: If I may have a moment, your	
22		Honor. 23 nothing to do with it and you could get a lawyer federal or state any time you wanted. Isn't that what you said? 25 A. If that's what it sets, then that's what I said, sir.

C21	FDAU4 Con	rad - direct	Page 133	C2F	FDA	Ų4	Conrad - direct		Page 135
1	O So my question i	is, did you understand at t	hat moment that	,	Δ	She's the	only person that	I care about who	see oninion
2		connection between the		2		atters to		T care about who	isc opinion
3		ou about your finances and		100				u are a financial s	NICORCE OF A
4		ou, that is, appointmen		4		wyer?	thow whether yo	u arc a imanciai s	success as a
5	A. Yes, sir.	ou, mat is, appointmen	it of a law yer.				ve no idea It's	a sliding scale.	
	Q. You did under	rstand?						ake as a lawyer the l	
	A. Yes, sir.	istaira.		7			icing law?	ake as a lawyer the l	ast year you
		used to answer those que	estions, correct?				make? I have i	no idea.	
	A. At that time.	and to una ver more que		9			OKULA: Judg		
1		said your personal financ	es have nothing	10			COURT: Over		
11	and the first of the second of		The second secon		0.			racticing as a lawy	er in 2007?
		g to do with the guilty ver	and the second of the second o				a practicing lav		31 31 23674
13	against your clie						ere you doing in		
1000		omething to do with what					suspended lawy		
15		t is, your right to a lav				the second second second second	Problem Service Control of the Contr	u make in 2006 a	s a lawyer?
-	A. I guess if that'					I don't r			
1000	The second secon	t that you do have some					ver \$400?		7 1 1
18		sing my finances in o				An hour			9 9 11
19		RT: I'm directing you to ans		19	Q.	No, \$40	0 total in the ye	ear.	
20	are put before yo	ou.		20	A.	Probabl	y, sir.		-3.4
21	Q. Ms. Conrad, isr	n't it true that you have o	ash on hand or	21	Q.	Probabl	y. And were yo	ou self-employe	d?
22		checking accounts of approx		22	A.	Yes, at t	hat time.		
23	A. If that's the affic	lavit of financial stateme	nt you received	23	Q.	When was	the last time you	filed a tax return, M	As. Conrad?
24	from my attorne	y this morning, then t	hat is correct.	24	A.	2008 or	2009.		
25	Q. Well, I'm not	I didn't make any re	ference to an	25	Q.	2008 or 2	009. Didn't you to	ell the Bar in a swo	orn affidavit
C2F	FDAU4 Con	rad - direct	Page 134	C2F	FDA	U4	Conrad - direct		Page 136
1	affidavit			1	on	February	28th of 2011 that y	you hadn't been t	he question
2	A. I didn't have to	, sir. How else would y	ou know that?	2				leral and state tax	
3	Q. Ms. Conrad, my	question for you is a simp	ole one. Do you	3	an	swer wa	s none, not app	licable.	
4	have \$12,000 ca	sh on hand?		4	A.	Absolut	ely, because tha	at was the truth.	
5	A. Approximately.	That has nothing to do	with the guilty	5	Q.	So you	filed a tax return	n in 2009?	
6	verdict I rendered	l against Mr. Daugerda	s, your client.	6	A.	I filed the	e document abou	t which you are s	peaking on
7	Q. And do you also	have about \$2,000 in sto	ocks and bonds?	7			28, 2011.		
8	A. You can read my	y affidavit very correctly	, yes. That you					you filed a tax	return?
9	received this mo	rning.					008 or 2009. Г		and the second
		my question, yes, you d		10	-			09, then your ans	Company of the state of the state of
		he exact amount. App		11		and the second second	committee questio	on would have been	untruthful,
12		ou have about \$14,000 i		12		rrect?			7
13		s, is that correct?					ant to parse ser		Tax and
14		h less than your client			Q.			we do in court, M	
15		en a very successful per	The state of the s	15		The second second	The state of the s	ction, your Hon	or.
16	Ms. Conrad?			16			COURT: Susta		
17		LA: Objection, your I		17	^		Dr. refer to the contract of t	our Honor. My	
18		what that means. Ask						ether you gave a tru	
19		RT: Sustained as to fo	And the second s	19				inary committee at	mavit you
20	The Mark Control of the Control of t	successful have you acl		20			bruary 28th of	2011	
21	success as a lawy					I believe		an just to as book	to finish off
22		I have no idea. I don't						ea, just to go back t terize yourself by	
23	means.	r mather whether or not	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	23				successful lawy	
24	financial success	r mother whether or not you		24				question means	
23	Illianoidi success	as a law yet.		42	4.44	Luonik	what your	- Taoston mound	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL..

_	UL M. DAUGERD					February 15, 2012
C2F	FDAU4 Conra	ad - direct	Page 137 C	2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 139
1	Q. Okay.			1 correct?		
	A. I don't live an ex	travagant lifestyle lik	e Mr. Daugerdas.	A. I don't	recall.	
	Q. Would you consid			Q. And who	en Judge Pauley advised y	ou that you should get a
4	successful lawyer	?			ain a lawyer, you told hir	
5	A. Sure, ripping o	ff the government.	3	5 my husba	and, the convicted felo	on," is that correct?
6	Q. And would you	consider that		6 A. If it's w	ritten somewhere I pro	bably said it. I don't
	 A. And this was o 				lly recall, sorry.	
	Q. Would you cor			8 Q. So you	don't remember telling the	e Court that you would
9	A. In keeping with the				n yourself or your husban	
10	jurors rendered as				are you directing me	
	Q. Would you agree				ing you if you remem	ber it, ma'am?
12	financially succes			2 A. Not spe		
	A. I am fine, thank				have memory proble	ms?
	Q. Okay. How is it th	lat you're able to pay		A. Certain		alemen Taules 149
15	in rent?	or the General of the	An and the second and the second second		aly not. Never had bla mber every day of this	
	A. You got that fro		per man in the transfer of the first term of the		ou ever had a blackou	
17	morning. Yes. F Q. And is that how ye			8 A. No.	ou ever had a blackou	it ?
	A. I don't have a lot				ou have no memory pr	ohlems?
20		e your office is not		A. No, sir.		Colcins
21	but	e jour office is not			you don't remember tellir	ng the Court "I'll retain
	Q. Now, Ms. Conrad	when you filled out			my husband the conv	
23		that the purpose of th		3 A. Not spe		
24		determine whether o			husband a convicted	felon?
25	financial need for Ms.			5 A. Yes, sin		
C2FI	FDAU4 Conra	ad - direct	Page 138 C	2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct	Page 140
1	the federal court?			Q. Did you r	emember that on March 1 ar	nd 2nd of 2011 or did you
2	A. However that's	relevant, but yes.		2 forget?		
3	Q. Now, when you were	e asked back on Decemb			id not reveal that to th	
4		you replied to the Co		The second secon	u remember it was my	and the second s
5	business." Is that				n answering part two of y	our question that's not
	A. If it's in the tran			asked yet		
	don't specifically				did remember that, ri	
	Q. First I'm just as		70.00		eat it a third time, yes.	
	A. No, not offhance				s. Conrad, do you rememb	
	Q. So let's look at the				this case Judge Pauley e	xplained the purpose of
11		ou own any stocks	Control of the contro			
12		to the Court on line 1		A. I believ		t deservate dina sanasa sa
13	business."	esh your memory th			emember that he explained truth. Do you remem	
14	asked a question about	Adic 350 (4.1) - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		5 A. Yes, sin		ibel mat:
15 16	said "none of you				ou remember that Judge Pau	ilev explained to you and
	A. Not specifically,		. William Tan A (2. 179.1) The		else on the venire that that's	
18	must have said it.		110000 01 110, 30 1		sworn to do, to speak	
	Q. But it was the (7 - 1.784 - 1. July worth		
	A. That I I don't		2		emember that he explained	to you that the purpose,
	Q. Why did you sa				of voir dire was to make s	
-		hat I felt at the tim		Disc. 17. Aug 2011 14. 15. 17. 17.	who will decide the issue	
22	Q. Now, when Judge I	Pauley asked you or ins	structed you to take 2:		and without any bias of	
22	Q. Now, when Judge I	Pauley asked you or inst could ask you ques			and without any bias of against either side. Do	

C2F	FFDAU4 Conrad - direct	Page 141 C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct Page 14					
1	A. Exactly. Yes. And that's what we d	did. 1 Q. Wa	as it during the voir dire?					
	Q. So you knew from the outset of this trial		on't recall.					
3	는 마이트 Lam (12) 이 시간 보니다고요. 그 모든 사용하는 이 선생님, 70 전 모든 10 전 이 모든 모든	A SECOND	s it before you showed up for court the first day					
4	그 내가 하는 것이 되어 있는 것이 많아 있는 것이 가게 하지 않아 주었다면 하다. 맛이 먹었다 말이							
5	MR. OKULA: Objection, your I	Ionor. 5 Q. Wa	s it after Judge Pauley told you that you had to speal					
6	THE COURT: Overruled.	6 the tri						
7	A. Can you please repeat that?	7 A. I de	on't recall, sir.					
8	Q. You understood based on what Judge Pauley	told you in voir 8 Q. In re	esponse to what question did you make the decision to					
9	dire that there was a connection between spea	king the truth in 9 lie to	the Court?					
10	answer to what you were being asked and the	he ability of the 10 A. I di	idn't lie.					
11	1 그렇게 있었다면 어떻게 하면 어떻게 하면 하면 하게 되었다. 그렇게 가장 모양을 모시하는 것이 없다.		u did not lie?					
12	juror unsuitable?	12 A. I o	mitted the fact that I was an attorney.					
	A. Yes, there is a nexus.		st want to make sure I heard you right. You did no					
	Q. There is a nexus?		der oath, is that correct?					
-	A. Mm-hmm.	the control of the co	my mind I didn't. I omitted the fact that I had a JD					
16	Q. And yet you deliberately decided to defy		d that was not a lie in your mind?					
17	that correct?		vas an omission.					
	A. If you want to mischaracterize it lik							
	Q. I don't want to mischaracterize anything		u're the evidence professor.					
70.7	tell me whether you deliberately decided to m		d you lie to the Court					
21	here.	21 A. I or						
1000	A. I did not reveal that I was an attorned		ay. So there's a distinction in your mind between					
	Q. That was not my question, ma'am.A. Then please rephrase it.	24 A. I'm	ing a truth and lying, is that correct?					
	Q. Did you make a deliberate decision to li	The second secon	ell, is the lie that you, or the omission that you					
25	Q. Did you make a democrate decision to in	to uns court: 25 Q. We	in, is the he that you, of the omission that you					
C2F	FFDAU4 Conrad - direct	Page 142 C2FFDAU4	Conrad - direct Page 14					
1	A. I did omit the fact that I had a JD.	1 remer	mber an omission about being a lawyer?					
	Q. Was that the only fact you omitted?		on't know what that question means, sir.					
	A. No.		II, let me try another question. Do you remember that					
4	Q. We're going to come back to that, but righ		y first question that Judge Pauley asked you was where					
5	try to get an answer to my question. Did		u live.					
6	deliberate decision I'm going to lie to	The brack of the b	s. And we had to, I believe, state the county.					
7	A. Not at first.		ay, and in answer to the very first question that Judge					
8	Q. Not at first.	8 Pauley	asked you, you told a deliberate lie to Judge Pauley					
9	A. I	9 isn't t	hat true, ma'am?					
10	Q. When did you make the deliberate decision	n that you were 10 A. No	. I don't know what you're talking about.					
11	going to lie to the Court?		ll, didn't you tell Judge Pauley that you lived in Bronx					
	A. It was omission.		ge in Westchester?					
	Q. So you did not tell any active lie to the		ere is no such thing as Bronx Village.					
	correct?		II, that's what the transcript says. Did you tell Judge					
16 00-	A. I'm not really sure.		y that you lived in Bronxville in Westchester?					
16	Q. Is that because you don't remember what you							
17	you don't know the difference between		d that's a lie, wasn't it?					
10	A. Of course I know the difference, and		, it's my official address.					
350	assassination is, you know, well done, but		am, Judge Pauley didn't ask you what your official					
19	matter is that you're here to discredit me and	and the second of the second o						
19 20			ss is, he asked you where you live, right?					
19 20 21	fact that myself and eleven other jurors convi-	cted your client 21 A. An	yone can have more than one residence.					
19 20 21 22	fact that myself and eleven other jurors convi- across the board.	cted your client 21 A. An	yone can have more than one residence. I he ask you where you lived?					
19 20 21 22 23	fact that myself and eleven other jurors convi- across the board. Q. Ms. Conrad, when did you make the deliber	cted your client 21 A. Any 22 Q. Dickerate decision to 23 A. I do	yone can have more than one residence. I he ask you where you lived? n't remember the exact question. It might have been -					
19 20 21 22 23 24	fact that myself and eleven other jurors convi- across the board. Q. Ms. Conrad, when did you make the delibed lie to the Court?	cted your client 21 A. Any 22 Q. Did 23 A. I do 24 no, it w	yone can have more than one residence. I he ask you where you lived?					

C2FFD	AU4 Co	nrad - direct	Page 145 C	2FFDA	AU4	Conrad - direct	February 15, 201
1 2 I 3 4 5 6 7 Q 8 tr 10 w 11 fi 12 y 13 A 14 Q 15 t 16 A 17 Q 19 19 C 20 A 21 Q 22 i 23 A 24 Q	MR. GAI Exhibit 2. THE COI MR. OKI THE COI (Exhibit I Let me ask you anscript. And I j adge Pauley asked as about to begin rst, would you tel ou answered Br No, you're read Okay, was your he court report Absolutely. So in answer to ou resided in B correct? Yes. And you don't re sn't that correct	R: Your Honor, I move the JRT: Any objection? JLA: No, your Honor JRT: PMD Exhibit 2 is repaired in every to direct your attention ust want you to focus on a you, I think Ms. Conrad with you when we suspend I us what neighborhood your your lie in Westchested ling it in correctly. It says answer at the time Bronter got it down wrong to the question where you ronxville, that's in Westerside in Bronxville in Wit?	r. eccived in evidence. ridence) to page 203 of the lines 4 through 9. , Juror No. 3, that I ided yesterday. So ou reside in? And r. Is that correct? lys Bronx Village. xville but possibly r? ir resided, you said otchester County, estchester County, 2 2	1 W 2 A. 3 Q. 4 W 5 A. 6 th 7 Q. 8 di 9 A. 0 Q. 1 A. 2 Q. 3 A. 4 Q. 5 fo 0 A. 1 Q. 2 A. 3 C. 4 Q. 6 T. 7 Q. 8 Q. 9 A. 9 A. 9 A. 9 G. 1 Q. 1 Q. 1 Q. 1 Q. 1 Q. 1 Q. 1 Q. 1 Q	rere living I some And my coke up Oh, but then that Okay. I fire. What The sa Address You live Yes, si And we rest day I'm not span not span on the part oh, sur And this lient, is And what I should be a sur oh, sur And this lient, is And what I some I should be a sur oh, sur oh	ng. etimes stay in Bronxvi question to you was on the that wasn't your question was in the Barker Ave How about on February 2 here did you live on th ame. hame what? ss. ved on Barker Avenue ir. know you lived there on M of voir dire, right? sure. I think March I was pecifically sure. ha'am, you had lived on Bar ast two years at least, ore.	Ile as well. day of voir dire when you n. The day of voir dire, enue address, correct 8th, the day before voir at day? e in the Bronx? farch 1 because that's the a Monday or a Tuesday. rker Avenue in the Bronx correct? why Mr. Daugerdas, your o you reside, you made a
C2FFD	AUA C	nrad - direct	Page 145 0	2FFDA	VI OF	Conrad - direct	Page 148
		this: When you woke up d you got out of bed and			onxville	as opposed to on Barket	r Avenue in the Bronx,
		you on Barker Avenu	The second state of the second		DODE NO.	both are correct.	
			ie iii iiie Biolik!			Caratal Control of the Control of th	this Court when you said
		ennar. in Bronyville in Wes			the state of the s	L live in Bronsville in	
	That's confid				the state of the s	were not trying to mislead	

- 5 Q. Or were you in Bronxville in Westchester?
- 6 A. Same answer.
- THE COURT: It's not confidential. I'm directing you 7
- to answer the question.
- 9 A. Barker.
- 10 Q. Because that's where you live.
- 11 A. And what does this have to do with convicting your client?
- 12 Q. Ma'am --
- 13 A. I --
- 14 Q. Do you -- the truth of the matter is that you lived on
- 15 March 1 and 2nd and every other day in March of 2011, you lived
- 16 at 2385 Barker Avenue, apartment 3H in the Bronx, isn't that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. What days did you not live there?
- 20 A. Probably the beginning of March.
- 21 Q. At the beginning of March you did not live in, you did not
- 22 live in the Bronx?
- 23 A. That's my address and I also have a Westchester address,
- 25 Q. I didn't ask what your addresses were. I asked where you

- 5 I live in -- I live in Bronxville in Westchester County?
- A. And myself and the other eleven jurors did not mislead this
- 7 Court when we rendered our fair and just and unbiased verdict.
- 8 MR. GAIR: Move to strike as non-responsive, your
- 9 Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Application granted.
- 11 Q. Okay, Ms. Conrad, the fact is -- let me just get a little
- 12 background. Do you live with your husband?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Your husband is a career criminal, right?
- 15 A. So are most attorneys.
- 16 Q. And, Ms. Conrad, your father is an immigration judge for
- the United States Department of Justice? 17
- 19 Q. Yeah. Are you trying to tell me that sometimes you and
- 20 your husband, the convicted felon, are living with your father?
- 21 A. Love has no bounds.
- 22 Q. So do sometimes you and your husband live in the Bronx?
- 23 A. We don't sleep in the same bedroom as my parents, sir.
- 24 Q. Do you sometimes sleep in the Bronx with your husband, same
- house?

redruary 15, 2012	PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.
C2FFDAU4 Conrad - direct Page 149	C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 151
 A. I hope so. Q. Let me A. These are semantics, sir. Your client is still guilty as charged with our verdict, and that's it. Q. Well, I think A. Myself and eleven other unbiased jurors determined that. Q. Do you have a better handle on what the word "bias" means than you do on what the word "irrational" means? 	Yes, that's the date it was signed and notarized. Q. This was a statement that was sworn by you before you submitted it to the First Department, correct, before you submitted it to the disciplinary authorities? A. Yes, sir. Q. They asked you the same question Judge Pauley asked you three or four days later, didn't they? A. I'm not specifically sure.
 9 A. Absolutely. I've been a plaintiff and a defendant and I've also represented plaintiffs and defendants. 11 Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that you resided at both places, both the Bronx and Bronxville, when you were questioned 	 9 Q. Let's look at the second page. Page 2, item number 2, asks 10 for your residence, and it says, "I reside at 2385 Barker 11 Avenue, apartment 3H, Bronx, New York, 10467," correct? 12 A. Yes.
on voir dire on March 1? 14 A. If that was the date, yes. (Continued next page)	13 Q. When you were asked that question on February 26th, the 14 date you executed the affidavit, you lived on Barker Avenue in 15 the Bronx, but a few days later, on March 2nd, when Judge 16 Pauley asked you the identical question, you resided in
17 18 19	17 Bronxville in Westchester, correct? 18 A. Yes, I answered that, correct. 19 Q. Why?
20 21 22 23	 20 A. Because I just thought of myself having two residences. 21 Q. Why didn't you put them both down? 22 A. I really don't know. At that time I don't know. 23 Q. Why did you put the Bronx down on one and tell Judge Pauley
24 25	24 Bronx Village on the other. 25 A. Bronxville.
C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 150	C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 152
 Q. Look at the heading "March 2nd." Do you see the heading on the transcript "March 2, 2011"? A. Oh, yes. Q. You were asked where you resided, and you said you resided in Bronxville, but you actually resided in two places? A. Both, yes. Q. You resided both places, I see. Now, you filed two days earlier a sworn affidavit with the First Department disciplinary committee, is that correct? A. Yes. I don't know if it was two days prior, but around there. Q. They asked you where you lived, didn't they? A. I don't specifically recall. Q. Let's see if we can help. A. Thank you. Q. If you would look at Exhibit 21, tab 21 in your book. Is this the affidavit you filed in support of your application to be reinstated to the practice of law? A. It seems to be, yes, sir. Q. Was it filed on February 28th in the Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department? A. Yes. 	11 A. You're from Chicago. You don't really know that. So I 12 don't know how to answer your question. 13 Q. You don't know how to answer my question because you don't 14 know what I don't know? 15 A. I don't know how to answer that even. 16 Q. That I'm not surprised by. 17 MR. OKULA: Objection, your Honor. 18 THE COURT; Sustained. Put a question to the witness. 19 The last question was unanswerable. 20 Q. Ms. Conrad, was it your intention to portray yourself to 21 this Court as living in a more affluent area than you actually 22 lived in?
 Q. Was the affidavit executed by you two days earlier, on February 26th? A. Let me get there. If that's the date I signed, it is. 	 23 A. No, not really. No, I never thought of it like that. 24 Q. Isn't that what you just said? 25 A. Bronxville is an affluent community. My parents are there,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v

-12/2	dau5 Conrad - direct	Page 153 C2frdau5	February 15, 2012 Conrad - direct Page 155
			Conrad - direct Page 155
1	I'm from there. I live there sometimes. I live		id not wake up in Bronxville that day, probably not.
2	address also.		you told a deliberate lie that day, correct?
3	Q. Ma'am, my question is, did you say tha		
4	Bronxville in order to portray yourself as liv		as it an accidental misstatement?
5	affluent community than you actually I		
	A. No, not specifically, no.		as it an oversight?
	Q. When you said a few minutes ago that the r		MR. OKULA: Judge, we have been through this several
8	gave the Bronxville address was because it	요즘 그리고 있는데 그는 소설을 하지 않게 하지만 모든 모든 모든	THE COURT: Overruled,
9	more of an affluent community, that was A. My statement was true, but you're twisting		o. I consider myself having two residences.
	Q. Why don't you tell me why, having sw		en why didn't you tell the truth when you were asked that
12	authorities on February 26th that you lived in		
13	swore to Judge Pauley on March 2nd tha		
14	Bronxville. Tell us why.		d you say, I have two residences and, by the way, I've
15	A. I consider myself living in both place		on both sides of criminal cases? Did you say that?
	Q. Why didn't you tell the Court that you live		vasn't asked that, sir.
	A. Probably so I would be more marketal		e'll come to that. Did you say that you had two
18	Q. Have you done any jury trials as a la	wyer? 18 resid	ences?
19	A. Nonjury. No. One a few years ago.	19 A. No),
	Q. Why did you want to be more marketal	이 전 점점이 점점하다면 가장이 없다면 보다 가게 되지 않다.	d you tell the disciplinary authorities that you had two
21	A. Because I knew I could be fair and unbi-		ences?
22	mention a few minutes ago, I've been a p		
23	defendant on both the civil and the criminal sie		d you tell the disciplinary authorities in your affidavit
24	also represented plaintiffs and defendants on h		you resided in Bronxville?
25	been unemployed, out of the courtroom. And I i	and never had a 25 A. No	ot in this one.
C2fr	dau5 Conrad - direct	Page 154 C2frdau5	Conrad - direct Page 156
1	tax shelter case or experience with tax law, and	The second secon	t in this one. Do you remember that a couple of years
2	be an unbiased juror. Q. Because you knew that you could be an unbi		this you were sworn to give a deposition under oath? arch 24, 2009.
3	decided to lie to get on a jury, is that ri		ou do remember, don't you.
	A. Probably subconsciously.	Sinc. 2 2. 1.	
	in troodery succonstrously.		
6	O. Subconsciously, Ms. Conrad, you wanted to	5 A. Ye	es, I do.
	Q. Subconsciously, Ms. Conrad, you wanted to worthwhile, is that right?	5 A. You do something 6 Q. Yo	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you?
6 7 8	worthwhile, is that right?	5 A. You 6 Q. You 7 A. Pr	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably.
7		5 A. Your do something 6 Q. Your A. Pr	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you?
7 8 9	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form	5 A. Your do something 6 Q. Your n, your Honor. 8 Q. Your file F	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. u said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in
7 8 9	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled.	5 A. Your do something 6 Q. Your form, your Honor. 8 Q. Your form for the Everthwhile." If 10 A. I do	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. ou said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in Bronx, didn't you?
7 8 9 10	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "w it's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's som	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your n, your Honor. 8 Q. Your n, your Honor. 9 the Exports while." If 10 A. I our lething ulterior 12 years	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. ou said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in Bronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically.
7 8 9 10 11 12	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "wit's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's som to your question, I don't know how to a	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your n, your Honor, orthwhile." If 10 A. I of I felt was just, lething ulterior unswer that.	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. ou said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in Bronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically. 'am, you've lived on Barker Avenue in the Bronx for many s, isn't that true? ire.
7 8 9 10 11 12	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "wit's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's som to your question, I don't know how to a Q. When you started just then to say "sending a	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your n, your Honor, and your Honor, are thing ulterior answer that. 15 A. Sur and then you 16 Q. An in the honor of the	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. ou said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in Bronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically. 'am, you've lived on Barker Avenue in the Bronx for many s, isn't that true? are. d every one of your neighbors on the third floor knows
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "wit's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's som to your question, I don't know how to a Q. When you started just then to say "sending a stopped, were you going to say sending a crim	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your n, your Honor. 8 Q. Your n, your Honor. 8 Q. Your n, your Honor. 10 A. I do not have the first of the	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. ou said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in Bronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically. 'am, you've lived on Barker Avenue in the Bronx for many s, isn't that true? are. d every one of your neighbors on the third floor knows you are, don't they?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "wit's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's som to your question, I don't know how to a Q. When you started just then to say "sending a stopped, were you going to say sending a crim that what you were about to say?	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your n, your Honor. 8 Q. Your n, your Honor. 8 Q. Your n, your Honor. 10 A. I do not have the property of the Honor. 11 Q. Markething ulterior unswer that. 12 years and then you inal to jail? Is who 16 A. Property of the prop	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. u said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in Bronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically. 'am, you've lived on Barker Avenue in the Bronx for many s, isn't that true? ure. d every one of your neighbors on the third floor knows you are, don't they? obably.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "wit's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's som to your question, I don't know how to a Q. When you started just then to say "sending a stopped, were you going to say sending a crim that what you were about to say? A. No.	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your honor. 8 Q. Your honor. 8 Q. Your honor. 9 the Everthwhile." If 10 A. I do 11 Q. Mail 12 years unswer that. 13 A. Suit 14 Q. An inal to jail? Is who 16 A. Pro 17 Q. Bed	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. u said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in bronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically. 'am, you've lived on Barker Avenue in the Bronx for many s, isn't that true? ire. d every one of your neighbors on the third floor knows you are, don't they? obably. cause you and your husband are constantly, every day and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "wit's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's some to your question, I don't know how to a Q. When you started just then to say "sending a stopped, were you going to say sending a crim that what you were about to say? A. No. Q. You wanted to be part of the process, didner that wanted to be part of the process, didner that wanted to be part of the process.	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your honor. 8 Q. Your honor. 8 Q. Your honor. 9 the Everthwhile." If 10 A. I continue the first and then you inal to jail? Is whould he will be with the first and then you inal to jail? Is whould he will be whould he will be whould he will be will b	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. u said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in fronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically. 'am, you've lived on Barker Avenue in the Bronx for many s, isn't that true? ire. d every one of your neighbors on the third floor knows you are, don't they? obably. cause you and your husband are constantly, every day and engaged in screaming and fights and insults and threats,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "wit's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's some to your question, I don't know how to a Q. When you started just then to say "sending a stopped, were you going to say sending a crimithat what you were about to say? A. No. Q. You wanted to be part of the process, didn. Sure.	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your hand, your Honor. Southwhile." If 10 A. I continued the first are and then you inal to jail? Is who in the first are and then you in the first are and the first are	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. u said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in fronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically. 'am, you've lived on Barker Avenue in the Bronx for many s, isn't that true? are. d every one of your neighbors on the third floor knows you are, don't they? obably. cause you and your husband are constantly, every day and engaged in screaming and fights and insults and threats, ?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "wit's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's some to your question, I don't know how to a Q. When you started just then to say "sending a stopped, were you going to say sending a crimithat what you were about to say? A. No. Q. You wanted to be part of the process, didn. Sure. Q. You wanted to be part of this process so the same of the process so the same of the process.	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your hand, your Honor. Something of Q. Your hand, your Honor. Something ulterior answer that. The sound in all to jail? Is who in all to jail? Is who in the sound in the s	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. u said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in fronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically. 'am, you've lived on Barker Avenue in the Bronx for many s, isn't that true? are. d every one of your neighbors on the third floor knows you are, don't they? obably. cause you and your husband are constantly, every day and engaged in screaming and fights and insults and threats, ? MR. OKULA: Objection, your Honor. Relevance.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "wit's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's some to your question, I don't know how to a Q. When you started just then to say "sending a stopped, were you going to say sending a crimithat what you were about to say? A. No. Q. You wanted to be part of the process, didn. Sure. Q. You wanted to be part of this process so the made yourself look like a different juror the	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your honor, sour Honor, sour Honor, sour Honor, sour Honor, sour honor ho	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. u said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in fronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically. 'am, you've lived on Barker Avenue in the Bronx for many s, isn't that true? are. d every one of your neighbors on the third floor knows you are, don't they? obably. cause you and your husband are constantly, every day and engaged in screaming and fights and insults and threats, ? MR. OKULA: Objection, your Honor. Relevance. THE COURT: Overruled.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "wit's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's some to your question, I don't know how to a Q. When you started just then to say "sending a stopped, were you going to say sending a crimithat what you were about to say? A. No. Q. You wanted to be part of the process, didn. Sure. Q. You wanted to be part of this process so I made yourself look like a different juror the different person than you were?	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your n, your Honor. 8 Q. Your the Hororthwhile." If 10 A. I of 11 felt was just, at and then you inal to jail? Is 12 who 15 A. Property of the Hororthy in the Ho	es, I do. ou were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. ou said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in Bronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically. 'am, you've lived on Barker Avenue in the Bronx for many s, isn't that true? are. d every one of your neighbors on the third floor knows you are, don't they? obably. cause you and your husband are constantly, every day and engaged in screaming and fights and insults and threats, ? MR. OKULA: Objection, your Honor. Relevance. THE COURT: Overruled. obably.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	worthwhile, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection to the form THE COURT: Overruled. A. I don't know how you can characterize "wit's sending a rendering a verdict which then I can agree with you. But if there's some to your question, I don't know how to a Q. When you started just then to say "sending a stopped, were you going to say sending a crimithat what you were about to say? A. No. Q. You wanted to be part of the process, didn. Sure. Q. You wanted to be part of this process so the made yourself look like a different juror the	5 A. Your do something of Q. Your han, your Honor, southwhile." If 10 A. I of 11 Get was just, at and then you inal to jail? Is who is a A. Proposition of the property of the	es, I do. bu were asked where you lived, weren't you? obably. bu said, truthfully, that you lived on Barker Avenue in Bronx, didn't you? lon't recall specifically. l'am, you've lived on Barker Avenue in the Bronx for many s, isn't that true? lire. d every one of your neighbors on the third floor knows you are, don't they? obably. cause you and your husband are constantly, every day and engaged in screaming and fights and insults and threats, ? MR. OKULA: Objection, your Honor. Relevance. THE COURT: Overruled. obably. ah, probably. The police are there all the time, aren't

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

4000

C2fr	dau5	Conrad - direct	Page 157 C2frd	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. How A. The las marshals Q. When your apa A. Mayb Q. So, yo years, ri A. Have Q. I guess this lie of A. No. Q. Let's m told a del not? A. You di sir?	often do the police come to yest time someone came to my apartres served me to come here backwas the last time a New York policartment? The three, four years ago, but have been living there for at least? The we established this? The we have, ma'am. Have we estable on purpose? The prove on to the next question you liberate lie in response to that contains the provided of the contains the provided of the prov	rour apartment? nent was when the k in December. ce officer came to east three or four sished that you told were asked. You puestion, did you tyou talking about, 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 19 19 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 17	were telling the truth or lying to determining whether witnesses were telling the truth or lying? A. That's a nice spin on it. Q. Do you have an answer to it? A. After all the evidence in the trial, it was overwhelming, and our verdict was a true, unbiased, fair verdict. Q. So the end justifies the means, is that right? MR. OKULA: Objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained as to form. Q. Let me try one more time. Did you apply your personal sense of truthfulness to your evaluation of the witnesses who testified in this case? A. I believe all 12 of us jurors did. Q. I didn't ask about anybody but you. Did you apply your personal sense of truthfulness to evaluating the witnesses that you heard in this case? A. I can say so.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Let's A. Of who Q. Exhib A. OK. Q. The sec	look at again page 203, lines hat exhibit? I'm sorry. bit number 2. cond question Judge Pauley asked you lived at your current address?" and tole life"?	10 through 12. 18 (19 20 A 21 22 (2) your answer was, 24	 Q. You agree with me that when you said you had lived in Bronxville your whole life, that was not true, correct? A. I've lived many places. That is my permanent residence and the horse is dead. Q. Did you make a deliberate decision to say that you lived there your whole life when in fact you had lived in the Bronx or Brooklyn or other places? A. Please repeat it. I didn't say Brooklyn on voir dire.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. Q. Had y A. It's my in Boston. two summ sir. Q. When J current a A. I just Q. OK. V basis on E A. Corre Q. So yo A. I cons Q. Let me were to sa where you do you c A. I cons Q. It's act Do you un who is to A. Of co	su lied to the judge? sider myself having two residence try and ask a more specific que by "Where do you live?" and you we be do not live on a regular basis as to consider that to be a lie? sider your hypothetical a little ually what happened, right? Let derstand that the main job of a jur elling the truth and who is ly	whole life? I went to school studied abroad for ermanent address, I have lived at your life, correct? o on a day-to-day for years, right? dences. stion. If a person vere to say a place he answer to that, e silly. me ask you this. or is to determine	Q. You have lived various places in your life, have you not? A. Oh, yes. Q. That statement that you just made, "oh, yes," is inconsistent with the statement that you made to Judge Pauley when he asked how long have you lived in Bronxville? A. I consider it my whole life because that is my other residence and that's my permanent residence. Q. Then the Court asked you, "Do you own or rent?" So we have two questions. You were asked where you lived, and you didn't tell the truth about that. You were asked how long you lived there A. That's your interpretation. Q. OK. And you didn't tell the truth about that either. Let's go to the third question, "Do you own or rent?" You said "We own." A. That was a lie, to skip questions 10 to a hundred. Q. That was a lie, too? A. Correct. Q. Why did you tell it? A. I thought I would seem more juror marketable (gesturing). Q. You really wanted to be on this jury? A. Yeah. I knew I could do a fair, unbiased job. Q. Seems like a strange way to start, by lying, doesn't it? MR. OKULA: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

February 15, 2012

PAUL M. I	DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,				February 15, 2012
C2frdau5	Conrad - direct	Page 161 C2frd	lau5 Co	onrad - direct	Page 163
1 Q. Had	it occurred to you when you w	ere telling these lies 1	that date?		
2 that it v	vas an odd way to start service	as a juror, to lie to 2	A. Maybe 25.	I'm not really sur	e.
3 the Co		3 (Q. What was the	e truthful answer to "V	What is he retired from,"
	n't know.	4	ma'am?		
	don't remember whether it occ	urred to you or not? 5 A	A. Being a bu	sinessman.	
	a weird question, sir.	6 (When was	the last time he wa	as a businessman?
	a weird answer, ma'am.		 I guess ma 	ybe 20 years ago.	
	MR. OKULA: Objection, Ju		Q. What he was	s actually retired from	was being a criminal,
9 T	THE COURT: Sustained. Pleas		right?		
10 witnes			A. Please.		
	m, was it a deliberate lie? Did		Q. Well		
the second secon	when you said it?			erstand your quest	
13 A. Yes.					he left the New Jersey
	the judge asked you "Who are the		penitentiary i		
	ld?" You said, "I live with my h			a very faithful, goo	d husband.
	present time," correct?		Q. Has he had	l a job?	
17 A. Yes.			A. No.		
18 Q. That	was true, that you lived with yo				ing the Court by saying,
19 A. Yes.					retired from," "He owns
	ou never lived with your husban		some bus cor	mpanies"?	
	ven, correct?		A. Owned.	9 - 10 - 1 - 1 V 1 - 1	
	In't give any address on voir				iys. But let's say you
	never lived with your husband at y				isleading the Court when
	ville, did you?				m?" and you said, "He
25 A. No,	no.	25	owned some	bus companies"?	
C2frdau5	Conrad - direct	Page 162 C2frd	au5 Co	onrad - direct	Page 164
1 O. So. y	ou created a false impression	that you and your 1	A. No, of cou	rse not.	
	d owned a house there, cor			t misleading at all?	2
	n't know what the judge's in		A. No.		
	were trying to create a false imp		Q. Did you app	oly that same standar	rd of what is or is not
	band were homeowners who owner			acquitting your fu	
	ville, correct?			ly know what your	
	MR. OKULA: Objection, your	Honor, I don't think 7 (Q. My question	means you have an ide	a of what is misleading
	s anything mentioned about		and what is n	ot misleading, righ	it?
9 T	THE COURT: Overruled.	9 /	A. Of course.		
10 A. I do	n't know.	10 (Q. You think tha	at what you said here a	bout the bus companies
11 Q. You!	told the juror that he was retired	, and the Court said, 11	is not mislead	ding, correct?	
	is he retired from"?				on, "own" or "owned."
13 A. Yes.		13	That's it.		
14 Q. You	said he owned some bus co	ompanies? 14 (Q. In fact, did y	ou apply that same sta	andard in your mind of
	companies, yes.				ng the evidence in this
	at bus companies does he ov		case?		
	was 30 years ago. My husband is		A. Of course	we had to, and I ha	d to, and I did.
	omewhere in Jersey.				work outside the home?"
	ou know the name of a bus				at-home wife," right?
makes the second	ese ones that explode on I-95. I		A. Correct.		
21 really.			2. Then the Cou	rt asked you, "Do you	have any children," and
0	Address of the late was been been all as dead		1.1 115.7	and the second s	

24 A. Oh, no, no.

22 Q. You said he owns some bus companies. Did he own any bus

25 Q. He had not owned any bus companies for some 30 years before

23 companies at the time of the voir dire?

22 you said, "No."

25 education you have attained?"

A. I should have said that I know of. But no, you're correct.
 Q. Then the judge said, "What is the highest level of

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Cas6-als20-6r-4003330-AdMm-eDocament-1646-420-173} \\ \text{A-5651} \end{array}$

February 15, 2012

C2frdau5	Conrad - direct	Page 165 C2frdau	5 Conrad - direct	Page 167
	ir, are we still on 203?	1 Q.	Because you suspected that it would stop	you from getting
2 Q. Y	es.		the jury, you made a deliberate decision,	
and the second of the	All right.	3 02	th to tell the truth, you made a delibera	te decision to lie
	actually, it goes over to 204.		this Court?	
	OK, thank you. Yes.	5 A.	I don't know if I was sworn at that poi	
7 Jud	id you have any trouble understanding ge Pauley asked you? Iot at all.	7 Q.	eveal the fact that I had a JD and wa No, no, that's not the question I asked sked is, did you make a deliberate decise	. The question I
1 3 1 3 3 3 3 7 7 7 7	id you know that Judge Pauley was goi		ourt?	sion to he to the
	stion or something very like it?		Are we getting into the semantics of o	missions again?
	es, because the prior day the same questi		Did you make a deliberate decision to	
	other potential jurors.		bout your highest level of education	
	hen did you make the decision about how			
	er that question? Was it right then whe		Did you do that because you believed th	at otherwise you
	it before then?		ould not be permitted to be on this	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	think it was during the break between			4. 6.
	an you remember how you reached the c		So it was your idea to thwart the voir	dire process by
	uld tell a lie in answer to that que		lling the Court something that wasn't	
19 A. B	ecause I knew that anybody with a JD of		ackground?	
20 WOL	ald be bounced.	20 A.	I guess if you want to characterize	it that way.
21 Q. T	hat's because there were lawyers who w	ere on trial, right? 21 Q.	You said, "I have a BA in English liter	ature in classics
22 A. I	don't think the jury knew at that poin	t that there were 22 ar	nd I studied archeology abroad," rig	ght?
23 atto	rneys on trial. I'm not sure. I'm	not sure. 23 A.	Correct.	
24 Q. Y	ou knew, because Judge Pauley summariz		Did you remember at that moment that you	studied law at the
25 you	before the voir dire started, that there	were lawyers on 25 B	rooklyn Law School?	
C2frdau5	Conrad - direct	Page 166 C2frdau	5 Conrad - direct	Page 168
1 trial	charged with tax fraud in connection	with a tax shelter 1 A.	Of course.	
	eme, didn't you?	Contraction of the first half half the facility of the facility	At any point after you told these li	es about your
	es, I remember now, yes.		sidence, about how long you lived there, ab	and the second s
	ow that you remember, you knew that the		ur place, about your highest level of educa	
	er would be left on a jury to decide the		d you think to yourself, wow, what I just	
	were being charged with a crim		Of course I knew it was wrong.	
	lo, I didn't know that.		But did you think to yourself, what I just	did was wrong?
	oidn't you just say that?		You just asked me that question.	V 40 125
	didn't know that for a fact.	9 Q.	And I'm asking it again because I didn'	t get an answer.
10 Q. D	id you strongly suspect that you would	not be allowed to 10 D	id you think that?	
11 sit o	a the home if you revealed that you	AND AND THE RESERVE AND THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Vac	
A A	n the jury if you revealed that you	were a lawyer? 11 A.	105.	
12 A. C	of course.		How many times did you think that	nt?
		12 Q.		at?
13 Q. T	of course.	ct that there were 13 A.	How many times did you think that	
13 Q. T. 14 law	of course. that was connected, was it not, to the fa	12 Q. 13 A. 14 Q.	How many times did you think that I really don't know, sir.	
13 Q. T 14 law 15 A. N	of course. that was connected, was it not, to the fayers on trial here, right?	ct that there were 13 A. 14 Q. attorney. A jury 15 h; as a juror. 16 A.	How many times did you think that I really don't know, sir. Was it present in your mind throughout ad lied in order to get on the jury? Probably.	the trial that you
13 Q. T. 14 law 15 A. N 16 trial 17 Q. If	of course. that was connected, was it not, to the fayers on trial here, right? to, no. In any jury nobody wants an does not want an attorney sitting you were trying a case as a lawyer, you	ct that there were 13 A. 14 Q. attorney. A jury 15 ha g as a juror. 16 A. wouldn't want an 17 Q.	How many times did you think that I really don't know, sir. Was it present in your mind throughout ad lied in order to get on the jury? Probably. Did you tell other people that you had I	the trial that you
13 Q. To 14 law: 15 A. N 16 trial 17 Q. If 18 atto	of course. that was connected, was it not, to the far yers on trial here, right? o, no. In any jury nobody wants an does not want an attorney sitting you were trying a case as a lawyer, you rney on your jury either, would y	ct that there were 13 A. 14 Q. attorney. A jury 15 ha g as a juror. 16 A. wouldn't want an ou? 17 Q. 18 ju	How many times did you think that I really don't know, sir. Was it present in your mind throughout ad lied in order to get on the jury? Probably. Did you tell other people that you had I ary?	the trial that you
13 Q. T. 14 law; 15 A. N. 16 trial 17 Q. If 18 atto 19 A. T.	of course. that was connected, was it not, to the far yers on trial here, right? to, no. In any jury nobody wants an does not want an attorney sitting you were trying a case as a lawyer, you rney on your jury either, would y hat's incorrect.	ct that there were 13 A. 14 Q. attorney. A jury 15 ha 16 A. wouldn't want an ou? 18 jury 19 A.	How many times did you think that I really don't know, sir. Was it present in your mind throughout ad lied in order to get on the jury? Probably. Did you tell other people that you had lary? I don't think so, not that I recall.	the trial that you
13 Q. T 14 law 15 A. N 16 trial 17 Q. If 18 atto 19 A. T 20 Q. So	of course. that was connected, was it not, to the far yers on trial here, right? o, no. In any jury nobody wants an does not want an attorney sitting you were trying a case as a lawyer, you rney on your jury either, would y	t that there were 13 A. 14 Q. attorney. A jury 15 ha as a juror. 16 A. wouldn't want an ou? 18 jury 19 A. you just referred 20 Q.	How many times did you think that I really don't know, sir. Was it present in your mind throughout ad lied in order to get on the jury? Probably. Did you tell other people that you had lary? I don't think so, not that I recall. Did you tell other people that you had contained the people that you had the people that you had contained the people that you had be people that you had contained the people that you had you	the trial that you
13 Q. T 14 law 15 A. N 16 trial 17 Q. If 18 atto 19 A. T 20 Q. So 21 to?	of course. that was connected, was it not, to the far yers on trial here, right? to, no. In any jury nobody wants an does not want an attorney sitting you were trying a case as a lawyer, you rney on your jury either, would y that's incorrect. To you're not included in the "no one"	t that there were 13 A. 14 Q. attorney. A jury as a juror. 16 A. wouldn't want an ou? 18 jury 19 A. you just referred 20 Q. 21 ge	How many times did you think that I really don't know, sir. Was it present in your mind throughout ad lied in order to get on the jury? Probably. Did you tell other people that you had lary? I don't think so, not that I recall. Did you tell other people that you had conet on the jury?	the trial that you
13 Q. Ti 14 law 15 A. N 16 trial 17 Q. If 18 atto 19 A. T 20 Q. So 21 to? 22 A. I	of course. that was connected, was it not, to the fayers on trial here, right? o, no. In any jury nobody wants an does not want an attorney sitting you were trying a case as a lawyer, you rney on your jury either, would y that's incorrect. o you're not included in the "no one"	ct that there were 13 A. 14 Q. attorney. A jury 15 h; as a juror. wouldn't want an ou? 18 jury 19 A. you just referred 20 Q. 21 g; 22 A.	How many times did you think that I really don't know, sir. Was it present in your mind throughout ad lied in order to get on the jury? Probably. Did you tell other people that you had lary? I don't think so, not that I recall. Did you tell other people that you had content on the jury? I don't think so.	the trial that you lied to get on the ncealed things to
13 Q. T 14 law 15 A. N 16 trial 17 Q. If 18 atto 19 A. T 20 Q. So 21 to? 22 A. I' 23 Q. D	of course. that was connected, was it not, to the far yers on trial here, right? to, no. In any jury nobody wants an does not want an attorney sitting you were trying a case as a lawyer, you rney on your jury either, would y that's incorrect. to you're not included in the "no one" on the sure. idn't you just say no one would want and the was connected to the would want and the would want and the would want and the was connected.	ct that there were 13 A. 14 Q. attorney. A jury 15 has a juror. 16 A. wouldn't want an ou? 18 jury 19 A. you just referred 20 Q. 21 ga 22 A. attorney on their 23 Q.	How many times did you think that I really don't know, sir. Was it present in your mind throughout ad lied in order to get on the jury? Probably. Did you tell other people that you had lary? I don't think so, not that I recall. Did you tell other people that you had coret on the jury? I don't think so. Did you tell other people you were	the trial that you lied to get on the ncealed things to a lawyer?
13 Q. T 14 law 15 A. N 16 trial 17 Q. If 18 atto 19 A. T 20 Q. So 21 to? 22 A. I' 23 Q. D 24 jury	of course. that was connected, was it not, to the far yers on trial here, right? to, no. In any jury nobody wants an does not want an attorney sitting you were trying a case as a lawyer, you rney on your jury either, would y that's incorrect. to you're not included in the "no one" on the sure. idn't you just say no one would want and the was connected to the would want and the would want and the would want and the was connected.	ct that there were 13 A. 14 Q. attorney. A jury 15 has a juror. wouldn't want an ou? 18 jury 19 A. 20 Q. 21 gay 22 A. attorney on their 23 Q. 24 A.	How many times did you think that I really don't know, sir. Was it present in your mind throughout ad lied in order to get on the jury? Probably. Did you tell other people that you had lary? I don't think so, not that I recall. Did you tell other people that you had content on the jury? I don't think so.	the trial that you lied to get on the ncealed things to a lawyer?

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

February 15, 2012

PAL	JL MI. D	AUGERDAS, ET AL.,				February 15, 2012
C2frda	au5	Conrad - direct	Page 169 (C2frdau5	Conrad - direct	Page 171
		wer is no.		1 no on	e asked you about being a	lawyer?
		lid you explain the note about res		2 A. Sir,	that's posing the quantum the	eory if the tree doesn't
		on knowledge. Actually, I didn't			d nobody sees it. No, of cor	
		led it to Juror No. 2, who was our fo	orewoman, and she		you believe that you lied to the	ne Court about being a
		ed it to the Court.	7.1	5 lawye		
		you were deliberating in this cas your mind that you had lied to			now I omitted that very pe you believe that was a lie	
		think I'm supposed to answer qu	The state of the s	8 A. Yes		f
		eliberations, sir.	estions about jury		you believe that it was the Cou	rt's fault for not asking
10		HE COURT: You can answe	r that question.	the second secon	hether you were a lawyer	And the second state of the second se
11 A		d you please restate it.	and the second of the second o		, of course not.	
12 Ç). When	you were deliberating in this cas	and the second s		because if you had told the Co	urt that you went to law
13	present i	n your mind that you had lied to	o get on the jury?	schoo	l, you would have been as	ked, right?
	A. No, n				uld have been asked or axed, lil	te they would have axed
	The second second second	en the time when you told the lie			om the jury?	
		your verdict, when did you stop h			me pose a different question.	
	The second second	d thinking about the fact that you	Contract to the first of the same of the		eing asked specific questions.	
	the jury	ir, I don't know.		and the second second	g that was true besides your adm that you have no children? Di	and the same of th
		when we were cross-examining with			as true?	d you ten min anything
	The state of the state of	s that they had told?	the first of the same of the s	1 A. Of		
		't have a time estimate for it.		2 Q. Wh		
23 Q). Do you	remember when Mr. Shanbrom was o	on the witness stand?	3 A. I ha	ive a BA in English literat	ure.
		bron, yes.		4 Q. OK		
25 C). Do yo	ou remember what a liar he v	vas?	25 A. And	I studied archeology abroad	d. And I consider my
C2frda	au5	Conrad - direct	Page 170	2frdau5	Conrad - direct	Page 172
1 A	. I'm no	ot the judge.		1 residen	ce in Bronxville, not Bronx Vi	llage. There were only
2 C	Do you	remember at that time thinking,	wow, I've told lies		questions that were posed	
		he did?	10.00		u told the truth in just abou	
		never thought that.	JG 61 65 6		have to qualify your question	
		the marshals came out to serve yo		7 1 2 2 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ns that were asked to the jury pa	
		r 15th to tell you to come to cour			ually. I revealed the fact that	well, whatever you
		s that you had lied about not you please restate the question			question we haven't covered to	nare on page 200 is the
The same		can. Do you remember when the ma		make the same of the same of the	estion. That question is, "Th	
		ou at your house?	ashas cane out to		ything you think it would be in	
		of course.	3		ou in making a decision as to w	
		e way, was that on Barker A	venue?		or in this case?" Do you rem	
13 A	Yes.		1	.3 questi	on?	
		they came out to serve you, did yo	THE RESERVE AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY	4 A. Abs		
		what this is about?	Annual Section Services Selection 1 and 100 an		said, "If the trial lasts more	han three months, I'm
		st I told them we have cats, and			vailable."	
		ide. But specifically I don't rea		7 A. Cor		he on this inex?
	said.	u recall telling them that in your	and the common of Challed Street Co.		cause you really wanted to d I was available.	be on this jury?
		ise no one asked you about whethe			said it because you really wa	nted to be on this jury
	lawyer?	이 다른 회사의 경기를 가고 있는데 그렇게 하는 그를 되는데 하는데 되었다. 나이지를	and the last and t	right?		to be on ano july:
		recall. They were there for maybe		_	n't pinpoint at that time.	'm sorry.
		subpoena, and that was about			you think that there was r	
F 7000		time since last August, have you	Control of the Contro		nt for us to know about you in	
25 1	had the he	lief that you didn't lie shout being	a lauring bangung	E wheth	er von chould cerve ac a in	roe?

25 whether you should serve as a juror?

25 had the belief that you didn't lie about being a lawyer because

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL..

Febr	uary 15, 2012	PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,			
C2frd	au5 Conrad - direct Page 173				
1 / 2 (3 / 4 (5) 6 7 8 / 4 (9) (11 12 / 4 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 (22 23 24	A. I'm sorry. Are you reading from the transcript? Q. Just asking you a question. A. Oh. Q. When you said that the only thing you thought was relevant for us to know was that you were willing to serve three months or more, did you think there was anything else that we might be interested in? A. Of course. The fact that I had a JD. Q. The fact that the Appellate Division had found in December 2007 that your conduct "evinces a shocking disregard for the judicial system," would that have been relevant? A. No, because it's boilerplate in the First Department to say that. MR. GAIR: Your Honor, at this point I move the admission of PMD Exhibit 14, which is the December 18, 2007 report. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. OKULA: No, your Honor. THE COURT: PMD Exhibit 14 is received in evidence. (Exhibit PMD 14 received in evidence) Q. Whether or not you think it is boilerplate, do you think that I might want to know that an appellate panel had found that your conduct evinces a shocking disregard for the judicial system? A. If you take the boilerplate language literally.	1 A. I answered the question. 2 Q. Did you think it would be important for us to know that? 3 A. No, because remission is remission. 4 THE WITNESS: And I don't think this is the proper forum to me to give a blank HIPAA authorization for the world, 6 Judge. 7 Q. Let me just ask my questions and go from there. Your belief was it would not have been of any relevance to us to know that you were an alcoholic, is that right? 10 A. However you want to characterize it. 11 Q. Would it have been of any relevance to the Court, do you think it would have been of importance to the Court to know that you had been suspended from the practice of law on grounds of disability by reason of mental or physical infirmity? 15 A. Do I think it would have been important? 16 Q. Yes. 17 A. It's not the truth. It's the boilerplate First Department renderings. 19 MR. GAIR: Your Honor, I move the admission of PMD Exhibit 20, which is the Supreme Court Appellate Division's order of December 9, 2010, Presiding Justice Sachs, Justices Friedman, Sweeney, Nardelli, and McGuire. 18 MR. OKULA: No objection, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: PMD Exhibit 20 is received in evidence, (Exhibit PMD 20 received in evidence)			
C2frd					
1 (2 / 4 / 3) (4 / 4 / 5) (6 / 7) (8 / 4) (10 / 4) (11) (12 / 4) (13) (14 / 4) (15) (16 / 4) (17) (17)	2. Do you think Judge Pauley would have wanted to know that? A. Of course. 2. But you didn't tell him that, did you? A. No. 2. Did you think that we might want to know that you had suffered from a terrible disease of alcoholism for more than a decade? Did you think we might want to know that? A. That's your twist on it. 2. Do you suffer from alcoholism? A. One's never cured. 2. Have you suffered from alcoholism for more than a decade? A. I don't know. 2. Have you been in and out of treatment programs? A. Yes, I did. 2. Have you admitted under oath you're an alcoholic? 3. I'm not sure. 4. Are you an alcoholic?	MR. GAIR: Your Honor, I'd also like to offer PMD 17, which is the March 29, 2009, testimony of Catherine Conrad in the Supreme Court Appellate Division departmental disciplinary committee. MR. OKULA: No objection. THE COURT: PMD Exhibit 17 is received in evidence. (Exhibit PMD 17 received in evidence) Q. Now, you told the disciplinary committee in March of 2009 that you were an alcoholic, correct? A. I'm not sure of my specific words, sir. Q. If you look at Exhibit 17, page 54, line 3, the question was asked of you, "Have you been diagnosed by any doctor or any facility as an alcoholic? "A. Yes, and I have pancreatitis." Was that the question and did you give that answer under oath? A. Yes, sir.			
18 /	A. Probably.	18 Q. The pancreatitis in fact is related to alcoholism?			

21 alcoholism?

19 Q. Do you think that we would have wanted to know, that the

20 Court would have wanted to know, that you had suffered from

23 Q. I'm asking you what you think. The Court asked you a question, which was, "Is there anything else you think it would

22 A. I'm not the Court. I can't judge that.

be important for us to know?"

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Did you think it would be important for the Court to know,

21 in judging your fitness as a juror, that your first attempt to

24 A. Your chronology of events doesn't make sense, first of all.

And the answer to the question is no.

be reinstated to the practice of law was rejected by the court after you had submitted a psychiatric evaluation?

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v

PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL., C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 17:	February 15, 2012 7 C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 179
1 Q. Did you attempt to be reinstated to the practice of law	
2 before February of 2011?	2 A. August 2009.
3 A. I submitted my reinstatement papers, which we have already	
4 gone over, on February 28th, and they were signed on February 5 26th of 2011.	
	5 A. Yes, you're correct.
6 Q. Before that, though, you had tried to be reinstated a 7 couple of years earlier, right?	6 Q. You were not abstinent from August 2008 on, were you, 7 ma'am?
8 A. I was suspended indefinitely.	8 A. No.
9 Q. You tried to be reinstated, saying that your alcoholism was	
10 in remission, right?	10 August 2009 because you were drinking, correct?
11 A. The chronology of it is that you have to formally submit	
12 the reinstatement documents, which happened on February 28,	
13 2011. I did not submit any reinstatement documents before that	
14 time.	14 if you would.
15 Q. Let's go to this reinstatement petition, which is	15 MR. GAIR: Your Honor, I move the admission of PMD
16 Exhibit 21, which I believe is already in evidence. Can you	
17 look at Exhibit 21. In particular I'd like you to look at	17 called the People of the State of New York v. Catherine M.
18 Exhibit 4 to that submission, which is a report from Dr. Warren	18 Rosa?
19 Seligman.	19 THE COURT: Any objection?
20 THE WITNESS: Judge, do I have to go through this in	MR. OKULA: No objection, your Honor.
21 open court?	THE COURT: PMD Exhibit 29 is received in evidence.
22 THE COURT: Yes. I have ruled on that. I'm directing	
23 you to answer, I overruled your counsel's application.	
24 Q. Do you see Dr. Seligman's report?	24 A. Socially.
25 A. Yes, sir.	25 Q. When you were arrested for petit larceny in 2009, did you
C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 178	3 C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 180
1 Q. You submitted this report as part of your petition for	1 give the name to the police Catherine Rosa?
2 reinstatement, correct?	2 A. Yes, sir.
3 A. Yes.	3 Q. If you look at page 4, a number at the lower right-hand
4 Q. Did you review the report before you submitted it?	4 corner of the document says 16-4. It's a report from the
5 A. Yes.	5 Westchester Department of Community Mental Health. Do you see
6 Q. Did you write the report or did he?	6 that?
7 A. I think it was a combination of him and my attorney.	
8 Q. Him and your attorney wrote the report?	8 Q. You were receiving treatment at the Maxwell Institute?
9 A. Mm-hm.	9 A. Yes. It was part of St. Vincent's, yes.
10 Q. If you would look on the second page of Dr. Seligman's	10 Q. Maxwell Institute reported in October of 2009 that you were
11 report, it says near the bottom of the middle paragraph, "She	나를 보고 있어요. 그 있다면 그리다를 가는 아니라 그리고 있다면 하는데 그리고 있다면 하는데 그리고 있다면 하는데 그리고 있다면 하는데 그렇게 하는데 그를 하는데 그렇게 되었다면 그래요?
has taken the appropriate and effective actions to help	
13 herself." Do you see that?	13 A. Yes, that's true.
14 A. Which paragraph, sir?	14 Q. You were recommended for a higher level of care, correct?
15 Q. The middle paragraph, the third paragraph on the second	
16 page. 17 A. Oh, yes.	16 Q. Were you recommended for a higher level of care?
18 Q. "She has taken the appropriate and effective actions to	그게 가게 되었다. 나무게 하는데 가지 않는데 보다 되었다. 하는데 그리고 있는데 그리고 있는데 그리고 있는데 그리고 있다.
20 Q. one has taken the appropriate and effective actions to	2. Tou didn't get it, right, a higher level of care?

- 19 help herself," correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Then it says, "She has been abstinent for over 21/2 years and
- 22 has been committed to her recovery plan."
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 19 A. Clinically what do you mean?
- 20 Q. If you don't understand what I mean, I'll move on to
- 21 another question.
- 22 A. Thank you.
- 23 Q. You submitted a report from Dr. Seligman that was
- 24 inaccurate in that it said that you had been abstinent for 21/2
- 25 years when you had not been abstinent for 21/2 years?

February 15, 2012	PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.
C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 18	C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 183
C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 18: 1 A. I was at that time, yes, I was. 2 Q. Now I'd like you to look at Exhibit 21, which is your petition, and specifically paragraph 24 of that petition. This is the petition you filed at the end of February 2011, right? 5 A. February 28, correct. 6 Q. Under oath. You said that you had not been arrested, charged with, indicted, convicted, tried, and/or pleaded guilty to the following violations, misdemeanors, and/or felonies during the period of your discipline, correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. An outright lie, correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Because you were arrested not once but twice in shoplifting cases, were you not? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. You were shoplifting very small items from convenience stores, correct? 18 A. They obviously weren't that convenient. 19 Q. Is there something that you think is funny about these	 influence, correct? A. 1997? Q. In April of 1998 you were convicted of DUI, correct? A. That was the second one, yes, sir. Q. In the first incident you were charged not only with DUI but with assault, correct? A. Either the first or the second. I'm not sure. Q. In the one where you were charged with assault, who did you assault? A. I believe I punched the cop in his stomach. But that was dropped. Q. That conviction for DUI that involved an arrest for assault, resisting arrest, harassment, and leaving the scene of the accident, do you think that would have been something that was responsive to Judge Pauley's question about arrests?
proceedings? A. Not at all. Q. Do you know that you lied your way on to a jury and your conduct could send people to prison? MR. OKULA: Objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained.	20 A. If it has to be folded into that characterization.21 Q. In your mind, in your norms, does that have to be folded
C2frdau5 Conrad • direct Page 182	2 C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 184
 Q. Why did you steal envelopes and newspapers and greeting cards from a convenience store? A. I didn't. It was a bag of shrimp. Q. So, if the indictment said that you were stealing greeting cards, you never stole any greeting cards, you only stole a bag of shrimp? A. Yes, sir. Q. In both cases, did you steal two bags of shrimp, one in each store? A. I don't recall what the other one was. Q. Why did you steal a bag of shrimp? A. I was drunk. Q. Was that during the period of your abstinence? A. The question sort of doesn't make sense, right? Q. Do you even remember what you stole from the other store? 	 6 A. Yes, sir. 7 Q. You also had a conviction for aggravated harassment, 8 correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And criminal contempt? 11 A. I believe so. 12 Q. That was because you had violated the protection order and 13 you were threatening a romantic rival? 14 A. It was phonecalls. 15 Q. You were threatening her over the phone?
 16 A. It might have been a videotape. 17 Q. The whole venire was asked questions at jury selection 18 about whether or not they had ever been arrested for or 19 convicted of a crime, correct? 20 A. I remember, correct. 21 Q. You knew at the time that that question was asked that the 22 truthful answer was that you had been arrested and convicted of 23 crimes, correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. You had been arrested and convicted for driving under the 	 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. Would you say that that was rational behavior? 18 A. Not when one is drinking. 19 Q. It's not even rational when one is not drinking, correct? 20 A. I can't be the judge of that. 21 Q. Did you think that you should have revealed that answer in

Page 185

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

February 15, 2012

Page 188

	200							
1	0	When	bib way	von make	the deliberate	decision	not to	feeyes
-	·	44 110-11	you did	YOU III and	uic deliberate	uccision	HOL W	CVCar

2 your criminal arrests and convictions?

Conrad - direct

- 3 A. Monday, March 1st, I believe was the first day of voir
- 4 dire, and I was sitting in the third seat. Judge Pauley
- 5 started the questioning the second day, I believe that was
- 6 Tuesday, March 2nd, with me. It was probably that evening
- 7 between the 1st and the 2nd.
- 8 Q. You thought that you would get thrown off the jury if you
- 9 told the truth, right?
- 10 A. Probably.
- 11 Q. That's why you lied about it?
- 12 A. Yes.

C2frdau5

- 13 Q. You also lied in not disclosing the fact that you were
- 14 arrested in Winslow, Arizona, on August 4th of 2007, correct?
- 15 A. I have no idea what the date was.
- 16 Q. Do you know that you were arrested in a place called
- 17 Winslow, Arizona?
- 18 A. Yes, where I was -- yes.
- 19 Q. When you were arrested in Winslow, Arizona, that was a
- 20 pretty memorable incident, right?
- 21 A. I remember it, of course.
- 22 Q. Of course, because you called the police and told them that
- 23 your husband was beating you, but you ended up being the one
- 24 who got arrested, correct?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- C2FFDAU6 Conrad direct Page 187
- Q. How did a judge in the Bronx come to rule upon whether or
 not a warrant was issued by a different sovereign was defective
- 3 or not?
- 4 A. Because I was a plaintiff in a personal injury case, and
- 5 the defense, I guess ran, ran me, for lack of better terms, and
- 6 they came up with this warrant and they wanted to bring it up
- 7 at trial, and the judge said it's a defective warrant.
- 8 Q. I see. So the judge excluded it from evidence, correct?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Did the judge tell you that the warrant -- when was this
- 11 hearing that the judge did this on?
- 12 A. July 2, 2010.
- 13 Q. Now --
- 14 A. Somewhere about.
- 15 Q. At any time between August 4, 2007 and July 2, 2010, did
- 16 you have reason to believe that there was a warrant for your
- 17 arrest?
- 18 A. Just because I know what happens to people who don't show
- 19 up for court, but besides that, no physical proof, no.
- 20 Q. So although you did not physically have a copy of the
- 21 warrant, you knew that people who do not show up for court get
- 22 a warrant issued by the Court, correct?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. Did you think that that is something that if Judge Pauley
- 25 knew about it that you had skipped on an OR bond and a warrant

C2frdau5 Conrad - direct Page 186

- 1 Q. For disorderly conduct, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You were released on a recognizance bond, correct?
- 4 A. There was no bond.
- 5 Q. Do you know what a cognizance bond means?
- 6 A. I know I was ROR'd.
- 7 Q. OR'd?
- 8 A. ROR'd.
- 9 Q. So you were let go on a bond that was simply your promise
- 10 to appear for the next hearing, correct?
- 11 A. I'm not sure.
- 12 Q. Did you appear for the next hearing?
- 13 A. Oh, no.
- 14 Q. Have you ever appeared for the next hearing?
- 15 A. I've never subsequently been in Arizona.
- 16 Q. So you have never appeared for that next hearing, correct?
- 17 A. There was a defective warrant.
- 18 Q. There was a defective warrant, what does that mean?
- 19 A. I believe there was no date or time or address on it.
- 20 Q. So you knew a warrant was issued for you?
- 21 A. Not really.
- 22 Q. How do you know it was defective if you don't know if it
- 23 was issued?
- 24 A. The judge in the Bronx deemed it that.
- 25 (Continued on next page)

- 1 had been issued, did you think that would keep you off this
- 2 jury?

C2FFDAU6

3 A. I'm not in a position to answer that.

Conrad - direct

- 4 Q. Why did you hide it, then?
- 5 A. I wasn't really thinking about that specific instance.
- 6 Q. Had you -- when Judge Pauley asked those questions about
- 7 your being -- you understood what the word "arrest" meant?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And you were arrested in August 2007 in Arizona, right?
- 10 A. If that's the date, yes.
- 11 Q. Had you forgotten about that incident?
- 12 A. Of course not.
- 13 Q. So did you make a deliberate decision not to disclose that
- 14 incident to Judge Pauley?
- 15 A. No. It was part of the larger decision not to mention any
- 16 of the arrests, sir.
- 17 Q. Now, you recall that Judge Pauley also asked whether
- 18 anybody's spouse or family, close family member had any arrests
- or convictions. Do you recall that?
- 20 A. He addressed the chosen panel that was sitting there, yes,
- 21 correct.
- 22 Q. And you understood that that included you.
- 23 A. And my husband.
- 24 Q. You understood that you were being asked has your husband
- 25 ever been arrested or convicted.

reoruary 15, 2012	PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.
C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 189	C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 191
1 A. I understood that, sir.	1 voir dire commenced a year ago on March 1, because that was his
2 Q. And did you know in March of 2011 that your husband had	2 birthday.
3 been in fact arrested and convicted a number of times?	3 Q. Now, another question that was asked of the whole panel was
4 A. Yes, sir.	4 whether you or a close relative had ever been involved in or
5 Q. And did you know that he had been sentenced to prison in	5 appeared as a witness in a variety of types of investigations
6 1980 for receiving stolen property?	6 including investigations by licensing authorities.
7 A. No, not 1980.	7 A. Yes, sir. And in retrospect, I should have mentioned the
8 Q. Did you know that in 1981 he had been convicted of	8 disciplinary committee proceeding. I didn't just connect the
9 possession of a controlled substance?	9 two at that time. And that was obviously a pertinent issue
10 A. Sir, I don't know the dates. I thought it was in the '70s.	10 that should have been raised.
11 I'm not sure.	11 Q. I see. So on March 1st or 2nd, you didn't think about the
12 Q. Did you know that he had been convicted of a probation	12 fact that you'd participated in a disciplinary proceeding?
13 violation and of making terroristic threats?	13 A. Please say it again.
14 A. That might have been '93. Vaguely I remember.	14 Q. When you were testifying as a juror, potential juror here
15 Q. Did you know that he'd been indicted for check fraud and	15 on March 1 and 2nd, you weren't thinking about the fact that
16 unlawful possession of weapons?	16 you had participated in disciplinary proceedings?
17 A. That was in Manhattanville, Kentucky in like 1976 when he	17 A. No. I thought about testifying in my mind about having my
18 tried to board an airplane with a gun.	18 personal injury case and more along those lines. No, it didn't
 Q. So that's not the incident in August of 1985 in New Jersey? A. Sir, I was ten years old probably then. I don't know. I 	19 occur to me.
[47] 이 아이를 잃었다면 하는 사람은 사람이 아이를 하는 것이 하는 것이 없었다면 모든 것이다. 그런데 없는 것 같다.	20 Q. Even though you had filed your petition for reinstatement a 21 day or two before that?
21 can't tell you.22 Q. Was he indicted a second time for receiving stolen property	22 A. I just didn't look at it that way.
23 and burglary?	23 Q. You believed that by serving on this jury you could get
24 A. Sir, I don't know.	24 some measure of vindication for yourself, didn't you?
25 Q. Did he get 18 months in prison in 1993 for harassment,	25 A. Not at all. Vindication for what?
C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 190	C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 192
1 burglary and terroristic threats?	1 Q. You believed that you could somehow vindicate yourself as
2 A. I don't know.	2 having done something worthwhile after a career that was in
3 Q. Did you know that he was convicted for auto theft and	3 disgrace at that point?
4 served, got a ten-year prison sentence for that?	4 A. Are you trying to say that serving three months on a jury
5 A. No. Seven years, seven months.	5 is akin to some sort of penance? I don't understand really
6 Q. Served seven years and seven months.	6 what you're trying to tell me. It was my civic duty, which I
7 A. Paroled out, yes.	7 performed to the best of my capability and ability and I
8 Q. Did you know him while he was in prison?	8 believe I did it fairly, justly and unbiased.
9 A. No.	9 Q. Was it your civic duty to perjure yourself in this court?
10 Q. You met him afterwards?	10 A. It's nobody's.
11 A. Yes, sir.	11 Q. So you didn't really do your civic duty, did you?
12 Q. And he disclosed his criminal history to you?	12 A. Of course. Rendering the just verdict in an unbiased
13 A. Yes.	13 fashion, I certainly did.
14 MR. OKULA: Objection, your Honor, to marital	14 Q. If you were on trial for a crime, would you want to know
15 communications.	15 that one of the jurors who was judging the credibility of
16 THE COURT: I'll sustain any further inquiry along	16 witnesses and had your fate in his or her hands had perjured
17 that line.	17 themselves repeatedly at voir dire? Would you want to know
18 Q. And you concealed your knowledge about your husband's	18 that?
19 criminal career in order to make sure that you would get a seat	MR. OKULA: Objection, your Honor.
20 on this jury, is that correct?	THE COURT: Overruled.
21 A. Yes, I concealed his career.	21 A. Probably not, if I was a good criminal.
22 Q. Does your father work for the Justice Department right now?	MR. GAIR: Your Honor, this would be a good place to
23 A. Yes, sir.	23 break.
24 Q. How old is he?	THE COURT: All right. We're going to take a
25 A. On March I he'll be 80. And I remember specifically that	25 ten-minute recess and then we'll reconvene and endeavor to

February 15, 2012

PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,	February 15, 2012
C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct	Page 193 C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 195
1 complete the witness. 2 (Recess) 3 THE COURT: Mr. Gair, you may cont 4 BY MR. GAIR: 5 Q. Ms. Conrad, do you have a driver's license 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What name is on your drivers license? 8 A. My married name, Rosa. 9 Q. So when you said you use Rosa socially, in fact you 10 for legal purposes too, correct? 11 A. Just on my license. 12 Q. And when you were arrested, correct? 13 A. I don't think it matters what name a person use 14 they're arrested. Your NYSID follows you. 15 Q. Now, would I be right in thinking that you identified the prosecutors in this case?	1 Q. And you were so anxious to speak with them that you wrote a 2 letter to Mr. Okula the very next day, right? 3 A. I don't know couching in those terms "so anxious" really is 4 the correct way to do it, but 5 Q. Well, you wrote a letter to him in which you told him you 6 wished that you would have had the opportunity to talk to him, 7 correct? 8 A. Oh, that's correct, yes. 9 Q. And you would have welcomed the pleasure to do so, right? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Now, you never made any attempt to contact any of the 12 defense lawyers and tell them you would have liked to talk to 13 them, right? 14 A. There was no reason to. 15 Q. But there was a reason to contact the prosecutor? 16 A. Yes.
 A. I don't know what you think, sir. Q. Did you identify with the prosecutors in thi A. I don't know what that question means. Q. Well, you wrote to the prosecutors after the tr over, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't write to any of the defense lawyers, A. That's correct. Q. And not only did you write to the prosecutors, 	rial was 20 A. No, no. 21 Q. This is just a caption that you made up for the letter, 22 correct? 23 A. Yeah, on my computer, yes. 24 Q. On your computer. And where were you sitting when you
C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct F	Page 194 C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 196
that correct? A. I'm not really sure the exact date, sir. It was la MR. GAIR: Your Honor, I'd move the admission Exhibit 7. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. OKULA: No objection, your Honor THE COURT: PMD Exhibit 7 is received in e (Exhibit PMD 7 received in evidence) Q. And if you would look at Exhibit 7, tab 7, you will prosecutors on May 25th of 2011, is that correct A. Yes. Q. And that was one day after the verdict, the very did A. I believe the verdict was the 24th. Correct Q. And you were very anxious to talk to the prosecutors weren't you? A. Not just myself. Q. Of course, I didn't ask you about anybody else. Weren't and you with the other eleven jurors, we had to speak with them after the verdict, when Judge Paulone into the jury room to speak with us after the come into the jury room to speak with us after the verdict, when Judge Paulone into the jury room to speak with us after the verdict.	2 Q. In front of your cat. Was your cat located at 2385 South 3 Barker Avenue or at 16 Parkview Drive at the time? 4 A. Neither. It's Barker. 5 Q. Where was your cat located at the time you wrote this 6 letter, ma'am? 7 A. Next to my screen. On Barker Avenue, sir. 8 Q. Yeah. And yet you put, once again, that the return address 9 was 16 Parkview Avenue in Bronxville, New York, correct? 10 A. No, Drive. 11 Q. You put the address was 16 Parkview Drive in Bronxville, 12 correct? 13 A. Yes, mm-hmm. Yes. 14 Q. That's not where you were when you wrote the letter, right? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. And that was not the address you were living at when you 17 wrote the letter, correct? 18 A. I still consider it both. 19 Q. And that is not the address that goes with the phone number 16 wanted 18 lety had 19 A. Excuse me, that's my cell number.
come into the jury room to speak with us after 23 Q. Without worrying about what the eleven other people and didn't want agree your agreement a great with	[

25 Q. It's your cell number. Why don't you tell Judge Pauley why

24 or didn't want, were you anxious to speak with them? 24 A. No, it's my cell.

25 A. Oh, sure.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

rebidary 15, 2012	I ACL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.
C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 197	C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 199
1 you put your cell number on your letter to Mr. Okula?	1 letter.
2 A. Because that's how I'm most accessible, sir.	2 A. Yes, I probably did cut and paste it.
3 Q. Because you wanted him to call you, didn't you, ma'am?	3 Q. What other letter?
4 A. No, not at all.	4 A. I have no idea.
5 Q. Well, then why did you care if you were most accessible	5 Q. Okay. Now, in this letter you told Mr. Okula, and I quote,
6 that way or not?	6 "I solely held out for two days on the conspiracy charge for
7 A. Just a heading I use. That's all.	7 him," referring to David Parse. "I wanted to convict
8 Q. No, you just told us that you put that on that letter	8 100 percent not only on that charge." Do you remember that?
9 because that's where you're most accessible.	9 A. Yes.
10 A. That's true. But not with any forethought to an	10 Q. And you're sure about that, right? You wouldn't lie to
11 expectation of a call from Mr. Okula.	11 Mr. Okula, would you?
12 Q. Why did you care whether you were accessible or not? Why	MR. OKULA: Judge, object on 606 grounds.
13 did you put a phone number on there?	MR. GAIR: May I respond, your Honor?
14 A. Because that's usually what a heading has.	14 THE COURT: No. Overruled.
15 Q. And you made a conscious decision to put your phone number	15 Q. Did you tell Mr. Okula, "I solely held out for two days on
on there, right?	16 the conspiracy charge for Parse. I wanted to convict
17 A. Sir, this is minutiae. I don't know. I can't answer that.	17 100 percent not only on that charge." Did you tell him that?
18 Q. You were hoping to be accessible for a phone call from	18 A. You're reading it correctly.
19 Mr. Okula, correct?	19 Q. Did you tell Judge Pauley on December 20th that "in my mind
20 A. Absolutely not.	20 Parse should not have been convicted of number 1"?
21 Q. And would you agree with me that at times the tone of your	21 A. Oh, I don't recall, sir.
22 letter was playful?	22 Q. Well, let's look at Exhibit 3, page 16. Beginning at line
23 A. Oh, sure.	23 3. "For what? For what? I'll retain myself or my husband,
24 Q. Maybe even flirtatious, right?	24 the convicted felon. For what? For what, sir? To say that I
25 A. That's please. Judge.	25 convicted everybody except the stupid Brubaker? Parse was an
C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 198	C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 200
1 THE COURT: You can answer the question.	1 idiot but we let him go because I had evidence enough that he
2 A. Absolutely not.	2 really, he didn't really, in my mind he shouldn't have been
3 Q. Did you tell Mr. Okula that something, words to the effect	3 convicted of number 1,"
4 that maybe he was on track to take Mr. Bharara's job from him?	4 Did you say that to Judge Pauley on December 20th?
5 A. Oh, yes.	5 A. Yes, you're reading correctly.
6 Q. Now, did you hope that he would call you back, ma'am?	6 Q. And that's a contradiction of what you said to Mr. Okula
7 A. I'm not playing into this fantasy stuff. No, not at all.	7 the day after the trial, correct?
8 Please.	8 A. I wasn't the only holdout.
9 Q. Did you feel, did you think about putting the phone number	9 MR. GAIR: Your Honor, I'm going to ask that this
10 that went with this address 16 Parkview Drive, did you think	10 witness be instructed not to discuss the jury deliberations. I
about putting that phone number on the letterhead?	11 didn't ask about them.
12 A. No.	12 THE COURT: Please
13 Q. Did you think about putting your real address on the	13 A. But in essence
14 letterhead?	14 THE COURT: Don't discuss the deliberations or the
15 A. That is my real address as well.	15 split of the vote among the jury. Respect the sanctity of jury
16 Q. Did you think about putting your Barker Avenue address on	16 deliberations, Ms. Conrad.
17 the letterhead?	17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
18 A. Sir, it was probably just cut and pasted from another	18 THE COURT: And respond directly to counsel's
19 letter I had done. It wasn't conscious.	19 questions.
20 Q. Did you just make that up, just this moment, it was	20 Q. Ma'am, isn't it true that the statement you made to Judge
21 probably cut and pasted from another letter? Did you just make	21 Pauley on December 20th was directly contradictory to the
22 that up?	22 statement you made to Mr. Okula in his letter, in your letter
23 A. I'm answering your question, sir.	23 of May 25th?
24 Q. No, I want to know if you just made that up or if you had	24 A. I don't know.

25 any reason to believe that you cut and pasted this from another

25 Q. Well, is it contradictory to say that a person should have

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v

_		GERDAS, ET AL.,	All takes The	0000	\$2.243 S	February 15, 2012
C2F	FDAU6	Conrad - direct	Page 201 C	2FFDAU6	Conrad - direct	Page 203
1	been convicted	of Count One and that a pers	son should not have	1 Q. Did	you believe that Mr. Bru	baker was an idiot?
2	been convicted	d of Count One? Do you se		2 A. Yes		
3	there?			3 Q. Did	you believe Mr. Parse wa	is just stupid?
4	MR. C	OKULA: Objection, Judge	. Those are not the	4 A. For	the backdating, yes.	
5	facts.				do you believe that there's re	
6		COURT: Yes, sustained.			to be undertaken at all because	
7		e letter, in the letter you s			r crooks and it doesn't ma	tter?
8		e the stamp specially for this			at a question?	
9	on?			9 Q. Yes		
	A. What?				l, the answer is no.	
		hoose the stamp that you	22 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		you tell the Court that you	
12	specially?				us on December 20th because	these people are fricken
		ow what you're talking		3 crooks		
		you see the copy of the	The second secon	4 A. I'm		
		hat was the eternity stamps			MR. GAIR: May I have a n	
16	that.		1		THE COURT: Yes. Take	The party of the p
	Q. Do you se	e			you suspended in the Southern	
	A. Oh				. I must have been. Yes	
		ee what the stamp says?			ou know you were suspended in	
		ou're going a little too fa			ork when you testified at	voir dire?
		king you if you chose tha		1 A. Yes		NATIONAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY
	A. I'm sure I		2		MR. GAIR: Your Honor, I won	
		n't have any other stamps, thi	the state of the s		l exhibits. PMD 1, 24, 25	
24	was laying a		2		MR. OKULA: No objection of the COURT: All right. PME	
23	A. A UUUK U	f stamps is a book of sta	imps, sir.	,	THE COOKT. All tight. FML	Extitotts 1, 24, 25, 26 and
C2F	FDAU6	Conrad - direct	Page 202 C	2FFDAU6	Conrad - direct	Page 204
1	Q. Now, in th	e letter in the second para	graph, you say, "I	1 45 are	received in evidence.	
2	thought that y	you, Miss Davis and Mr. I	Hernandez did an	2 (Exhibits PMD 1, 24, 25, 26	6 and 45 received in
3	outstanding job	on behalf of our government	." Do you see that?	3 eviden	ce)	
4	A. Yes, sir.			4 N	MR. GAIR: Nothing further,	your Honor. Thank you.
5		thing unusual that you see wi			THE COURT: Mr. Okula.	
6	of English li	iterature in that sentence	?	6 N	MR. OKULA: I didn't know	if there were any other
7	MR. C	KULA: Objection to the	form, your Honor.		e lawyers who intend to o	
8		ow what that means.			THE COURT: Any other defer	
9		COURT: Overruled.			MR. ROTERT: Thank you, y	our Honor, no inquiry for
		id you capitalize the wor	rd "our"?			
	A. Oh, yes.		1:		THE COURT: Mr. Schect	
		ou capitalize the word '	"government"?		MR. SCHECTMAN: Brie	fly, Judge.
	A. Yes.		1:		THE COURT: Go ahead.	
14		pinion that in that sentence	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY		CT EXAMINATION	
15	is a proper n		1		R. SCHECTMAN:	
	A. Governm		1		Conrad, could you look at yo	
	The second of the second of the second of	overnment" a proper kno			Government Exhibit 1, I	believe,
	A. I don't kn		the state of the s		nber 7, correct?	
	And the second second beauty of the second	ized it not because you belie			THE COURT: PMD 7.	
20		se you wanted to emphasize the	1. Company of the Com		D 7. Do you have that?	
21		vernment and your gove		A. Yes		and the same of th
		anting that. No.			I think your testimony was that	
		n't use the word "our govern			t recall why you capitalized "o	our government," is that
24		. Why did you do it?	2			
25	A. I don't kn	ow.	2	5 A. Yes		

February 15, 2012

Febru	iary 15, 2012	PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.
C2FFI	DAU6 Conrad - direct Page 205	C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 207
2 A 3 Q	2. You also say that you fought the good fight, correct? A. Yes. 2. And that was your way of telling Mr. Okula that you were fighting for his side.	 Q. Well, you knew most of that stuff. A. I don't even know if I knew most of it. Q. You knew when you married him that he just finished a seven and a half year sentence.
6 (A. Not necessarily. After all of the evidence and deliberations, the jury felt that we reached a fair verdict. (2) I'm not asking about the jury, I'm asking about your	 5 A. We got married three years after he finished his sentence. 6 Q. And you knew he was unemployed. 7 A. Yes.
9 1	writing "I fought the good fight." That was your way of telling Mr, Okula that you were fighting for his side.	R Q. And you knew he hadn't owned a bus company since 25 years? A. About that.
11 Q	At one point. And when you say you threw in the towel, I take it that's also a sports image?	10 Q. And so when you said today "so are most lawyers," that was11 just smart ass.12 A. Sure.
13 A	I can't answer that. At some point you stopped fighting the good fight.	13 Q. And when you were asked and the jurors were asked whether you had any unpleasant experiences with lawyers, accountants.
15 A	Meaning? That's when you threw in the towel.	financial planners, you didn't raise your hand on that question or in voir dire, did you?
18 i	a. That was probably an incorrect way to describe the taking into consideration all of the evidence at the end of the day. 2. What did you call it? An odd way? A what sort of way?	17 A. That's correct,18 Q. And you had had unpleasant experiences with lawyers, hadn't19 you?
20 A	a. I said at the end of the day. 2. You said some sort of way, improper way? I couldn't	20 A. I don't know what you mean. 21 Q. Well, I mean, two of them referred you to the Bar
23	remember the objective. MR. GAIR: Incorrect.	22 Association for disciplinary action. 23 A. I don't look at it that way, sir.
	 An incorrect way. That was an incorrect way of saying it. Maybe I said improper, I'm not sure. 	Q. That was a pleasant experience?A. It's just an experience. I don't have my endorphins go
C2FF	DAU6 Conrad - direct Page 206	C2FFDAU6 Conrad - direct Page 208
2 t 3 1 4 A 5 6 Q 7 1 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 1	2. So when you said you fought the good fight and you've thrown in the towel that was just an incorrect way of saying you were unbiased. 2. At the end of the day after all the evidence was pored over. 2. When you say numbers don't lie, what numbers were you referring to? 3. From Dr. DeRosa, the expert. 3. His numbers. 4. Sure, and, to not get specific, but the lack of economic substance in the transactions. 5. So that's what you were referring to, Mr. Parse's knowledge of the lack of economic substance in the transactions?	wild over it, so I don't really know what you're getting at. Q. So you didn't feel you had any obligation to tell the Court when the Court asked whether you had any unpleasant experiences with lawyers that two had referred you to the disciplinary committee, that the disciplinary committee brought charges against you and that a panel of judges, indeed two panels, right, suspended you? A. A job's a job, sir. That's how I see it. Q. So you didn't think you had any obligation to tell the Court any of that because a job's a job. A. I don't think that was your original question, but that was another omission, sir. Q. That was an omission?
	. No. Not at all. So what is it you were referring to?	14 A. Yes.15 Q. Now, I take it that one of the things we've learned today,
16 A 17 Q 18 a	It was one component of the whole big picture. I see. Now, I think you told us earlier today you were sked whether your husband is a convicted felon, and you said	Ms. Conrad, is that all of your omissions and lies, whateveryou call them, were done to make you more marketable as ajuror, is that correct?
20 I 21 A	so are most lawyers. And lots of people laughed. Do you remember saying that? Yes.	 19 A. Those were my words. 20 Q. And that would be correct, right? 21 A. That's what I said. Whether it was correct or not, that's
23	A. And was that being, I think your word is smart a-s or smart A-blank-blank? Sure. Mr. Gair was asking me things about my husband that	 not for me to decide. Q. No, that's totally for you to decide. Are those words accurate? Were you trying to be a more marketable juror?
	I'm finding out right now today.	25 A. I said this, yes.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v

C2FFDA	L M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL., AU6 Conrad - direct	Pogs non lo	OCEDA	I Comend disease	February 15, 2012
UZFFUA	406 Conrad - direct	Page 209 C2	2FFDA	U6 Conrad - direct	Page 211
1 Q.	So those words are not an omission and the	ey're not a lie,	1	THE COURT: Any inquir	y, Ms. McCarthy?
2 th	hey're true?		2	MS. McCARTHY: Your Hone	or, just a housekeeping matter.
3 A. Correct.			3 I'm	not sure if this is admitted. PM	
4 Q.	And that is to say that you thought that if t	these lawyers	4 off	ered in evidence yet? Mr. Gair of	fered some at the end.
	new who you actually were, and maybe if that ju-			n not sure.	
6 yo	ou actually were, you wouldn't have been a jur	or in this case.	6	THE COURT: No. You're	offering PMD 23?
7 A.	I knew I could be unbiased and fair.		7	MS. McCARTHY: I am y	
8 Q.	No, no. Let's try to answer some questions.	You knew if 8	В	THE COURT: Any object	
9 pec	ople knew who you actually were, if these lawy	vers knew who	9	MR. OKULA: None, your	
	ou actually were, perhaps if that judge knew wh	and the second s	0	THE COURT: All right, PMD	
	ere, you wouldn't have been a juror in this case		1	(Exhibit PMD 23 received	
	nat.	12		THE COURT: Mr. Okula,	
13 A.	Probably not, right.	13		MR. OKULA: Thank you,	
	Let's not say probably. Let's see if we can			ROSS-EXAMINATION	Str. bracket
	ccurate.	15		MR. OKULA:	
16	THE COURT: Just stay back by th	The second secon		Ms. Conrad, let me pick up where I	Mr. Schectman left off
	Ir. Schectman.	17		ere he asked you a question and you ar	
	Let's try to be accurate.	18		necting the dots about determining	
19	MR, OKULA: Judge, could we stop the			ere crooks. Do you remember	
	im ask a question?	to the first terminal and the second	A.		that question.
21	THE COURT: If you have an objection			Did you make up your mind about the	ace defendants that you
	in state it. And Mr. Schectman is going to st	and the second s		nd guilty prior to hearing all of the	
	odium.	23		aring the judge's instructions i	in this case?
24	MR. SCHECTMAN: He is indeed You knew that if these lawyers knew who yo			Absolutely not. Ms. Conrad, you didn't attempt to	and the second state of the second
V.	Too kiew dae'n diese in year kiew wie ye	a really work,	· Q.	insi comun, you man't uncompi io	ay to get on this just
C2FFDA	AU6 Conrad - direct	Page 210 C2	2FFDA	U6 Conrad - cross	Page 212
1 th	nere was no chance of your being on th	is jury?	in c	order to carry out some personal ve	endetta or agenda with
	I can't read people's minds, sir.	2		spect to the defendants, did yo	
	Well, you read their minds well enough to keep			No, sir.	
	mount of information from them, didn'			And you didn't have any vendetta a	gainst the government.
	I can't qualify enormous.	. ,		rrect?	Shirt His St. 184111141111
	Ms. Conrad, I don't want to go throug	A. C.		Соггест.	
	More likely than not I wouldn't have been			And similarly, you didn't think	that you would cast
	Try a higher standard. Beyond a reasonab			rself in a good light with the gove	
	ouldn't have been picked.	A STATE OF THE STA	2 1	or of the government, notwithstanding	
	I can't put words into your mouth, I'm	The second secon		that correct?	what the evidence was,
		Contract Addition to the Contract Contr			
	If they knew you were a suspended lawyer wi			I believe so.	about your advantage
	coholism with three misdemeanor convictions, w	Children and the control of the con-	P. Sa. O.	So when you failed to tell the truth	the first of the last time the contract of the
	ho had seven felony convictions, who had invo			failed to reveal your criminal reco	
	ensing authorities, who had an outstanding	Charles to the second of the second of the second		bended attorney, it was not because y	
	izona, is it your view that these lawyers would	the contract of the contract o		e party or another, is that corr	ect?
	a different person, a far different person tha			Correct.	Color Color
	ortrayed yourself to be?	Allega Later Conference 1 March		Did you have any personal bias or	
	I would think the defense counsel would be wil	Control of the control of the		ugerdas at the beginning of th	
	Why is that, Ms. Conrad?	19		No, not at all. I didn't know a	and the first throught the first section in
	Well, my husband seems to be a professional of			So you didn't know any of the defe	
	obably would have in their mind been a keeper			you had no personal bias or animus	
22 Q.	Because your view is they wanted people who	o were crooks 22		other with respect to them, is	that fair?
	ecause they were crooks.	23		That's absolutely correct.	
24 A.	If that's connecting the dots logically.	24	Q	And it's true, isn't it, Ms. Conrad,	that you hadn't made
20	MD SCHECTMAN, I'll ston there			and the state of t	A PARTY OF THE PAR

Sincl Sounds

MR. SCHECTMAN: I'll stop there.

25 up your mind once you were selected to be a juror in this case

Case als 201-6r4003330PAd NmeDo 62m2ent 1646/201File to 98/251922 agreating of 52 of 67

February 15, 2012

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

C2FFDAU6 C2FFDAU6 Conrad - cross Page 213 Conrad - cross 1 before you started hearing any evidence, is that correct? In 1 A. No. other words, you hadn't prejudged the case after you were 2 Q. Now, Mr. Gair went through and Mr. Schectman did also to selected that you were going to find the defendants guilty or some extent some of your criminal cases with you. Do you rule in favor of the government, is that correct? remember that? A. That's correct, yes. A. Yes. Q. And is it also true that you didn't make up your mind with Q. For instance, you went through your driving under the respect to the guilt or innocence of any of the defendants influence offenses and your harassment offense, the contempt until you heard all the evidence in the case and listened to offense and the shoplifting offenses. Do you remember that? the judge's instructions, is that fair? 9 A. Yes. A. Absolutely. 10 Q. And were you also asked about the disorderly conduct 10 11 O. Let me pick up on a section where Mr. Gair was asking you offense that you were arrested for that you didn't appear on in Winslow, Arizona. Do you recall that? about things you said with respect to David Parse. Do you remember those questions? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. Now, none of those cases, Ms. Conrad, had anything to do 15 Q. And Mr. Gair referenced the letter that you sent to me with the subject matter involved in this trial, correct? after the return of the verdict, do you remember that? 16 A. That's correct. 17 A. Yes. 17 Q. In other words, these offenses, those local offenses that 18 Q. And you were also asked questions about the fact that you you were arrested for, none of them had anything to do with referred to fighting the good fight. Do you recall that? taxes or tax evasion or tax shelters, is that fair? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. Without getting into your deliberations with the other 21 Q. And is it also fair, Ms. Conrad, that your involvement in jurors, is it correct that as you said in the letter that you those criminal cases did not cause you to be biased in one viewed initially during your, when you began deliberating, that matter or another against any party or any attorney in this Mr. Parse should have been found guilty? case? 25 A. That's correct. 25 A. Yes. C2FFDAU6 C2FFDAU6 Page 214 Conrad - cross Conrad - cross Page 216 1 Q. And you also note in your letter, though, that you 1 Q. Now, let me ask you this, Ms. Conrad. Did the fact that 2 ultimately relented after hearing a jury charge from Judge 2 you were a criminal defendant in a prior case affect you from Pauley about the definition of knowingly and willfully, fairly and impartially judging the evidence in this case and correct? weighing and applying Judge Pauley's legal instruction? 5 A. Yes. 5 A. Absolutely not. 6 Q. So is it fair to say that when you personally deliberated O. Did the fact that you were arrested by a police officer on a number of occasions in your criminal cases in any way affect with respect to Mr. Parse, you reached your conclusion based on your ability to be fair and impartial? the legal instruction that Judge Pauley gave you and without bias to any side. Fair? 9 A. No. 10 O. Did your involvement in the disciplinary proceedings where 10 A. 100 percent. Correct. 11 Q. Now, when you were selected to serve on the jury, did you lawyers made complaints about you and you were asked questions have any personal knowledge with respect to any of the by disciplinary counsel, did that affect your ability to defendants in this case? carefully and appropriately and fairly weigh the evidence and the legal instructions in this case? 14 A. No, none. 15 Q. And is it also fair that you didn't have any personal A. No, not at all. knowledge of any of the defense lawyers, correct? Q. Did the fact that you were a suspended attorney affect your 17 A. Correct. 17 impartiality in this case? 18 Q. You didn't know any of the prosecutors in the case or any 18 A. No. of the IRS agents, correct? O. Now, do you remember you received legal instructions from

24 Q. And did you have any financial motive in the outcome of the

21 Q. And you weren't factually involved in any of the underlying

25 case?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And in particular, do you remember a jury instruction that

of the trial?

25 went somewhat, I know it's a long time ago and you may not

Judge Pauley on a number of occasions during the case, both

during voir dire at the beginning of the trial and at the end

Vin -Nepipie

22

20 A. No. That's correct.

22 events at trial, correct?

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v

February 15, 2012

PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL., C2FFDAU6 Conrad - cross Page 217 C2FFDAU6 Conrad - cross Page 219 1 remember every word, but do you remember an instruction that 1 Q. And that was in a way justifying the result that you went along these lines: You will have to decide what the facts reached, correct, personally? are from the evidence that will be presented in this courtroom A. That's fair, yes. and then apply those facts to the law as I give it to you. Q. And when you referred to Dr. DeRosa when Mr. Schectman was 5 Do you recall that? asking you, I think you mentioned that you found it personally, 6 A. Yes. 6 not going into your deliberations, but convincing, Dr. DeRosa's 7 Q. Did you follow that instruction, Ms. Conrad? 7 testimony about the lack of economic substance, is that fair? 8 A. Yes. 8 A. Oh, yes. 9 Q. And another instruction went something along the lines that 9 Q. Did you pay careful attention to the testimony of all witnesses? 10 the law requires your decision be based solely on the evidence 10 11 before you. Anything I direct you to disregard as being 11 A. Shanbrom lost me, but yes. excluded because it's not legally admissible, further, anything 12 Q. And in fact you noted in your letter that you didn't find that you may see or hear when the Court is not in session may very compelling the testimony of Mr. Shanbrom, correct? 13 14 not be considered. The only competent evidence is evidence 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. So is it fair to say that that example is emblematic of 15 received in this courtroom. Do you remember that instruction? 16 A. Not word-for-word, but the general gist, yes. 16 your view that you didn't call everything in the government's 17 Q. The substance of it, do you remember that? favor because you found government witness Paul Shanbrom 17 18 A. Yes. unconvincing, is that a fair word? 18 19 Q. And did you follow that instruction? A. More than fair. 19 20 A. Yes. Q. Now, is that indicative, Ms. Conrad, of what you said 21 Q. Did you render your verdict, Ms. Conrad, based solely on earlier, that you based your verdict in this case based on what the evidence presented at trial and in the context of the law you saw in this courtroom from the witness stand and the that Judge Pauley gave to you in his instructions and not based 23 evidence you heard and applying the instructions from Judge on any bias, prejudice or sympathy? Pauley? 25 A. Yes, in totality. 25 A. Yes. C2FFDAU6 C2FFDAU6 Page 218 Conrad - cross Page 220 Conrad - cross 1 Q. After all, you voted to acquit Mr. Brubaker, correct? 1 Q. Now, Ms. Conrad, you noted earlier in your testimony that 2 you obtained your law degree from Brooklyn law school, correct? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you voted to acquit Mr. Parse on certain charges, 4 Q. Your practice consisted, did it not, of litigation, correct? primarily in personal injury matters, is that fair? 5 A. Correct. Q. Just a few more questions with respect to some of the 6 A. For the most part yes. 7 Q. And you served as a contract attorney for certain instructions you were given. Do you remember Judge Pauley 7 plaintiffs' lawyers, is that correct? instructed you at the end of the case in his instructions that 8 9 A. Yes. under your oath as jurors you are not to be swayed by fear, 10 Q. In carrying out your role as a juror is it fair to say that prejudice, bias or sympathy, you're to be guided solely by the 11 you disregarded any other ideas or notions or beliefs about the evidence in the case. Do you remember an instruction along 11 12 law that you previously had in reaching your verdict in this that line? 12 case? 13 A. Yes. 13 14 A. That's a fair statement, yes. 14 Q. And is it true, Ms. Conrad, that in spite of all that we spoke about earlier today that you based your verdict which 15 Q. Let's go back for just one moment to the letter that you involved an acquittal of Mr. Brubaker and a partial acquittal were asked about and you referred to Dr. DeRosa and the numbers 17 with respect to Mr. Schectman's questions, do you remember of Mr. Parse based on the evidence in this courtroom and the 17 that? instructions that Judge Pauley gave you? 18 18 19 A. Yes. A. That's correct. Q. Were you biased against the defendants in any manner or

23 O. Yes, if you look four lines from the bottom where you said,

20 Q. He asked you, Mr. Schectman did, about a statement that you

made that numbers don't lie. Do you recall that?

- quote, "I'm a purist and numbers don't lie"?
- 25 A. Yes.

(Continued next page)

20

21

23

24

25

form?

22 A. Not at all.

Pedruary 15, 2012	PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.
MR. OKULA; Let me have one moment, your Honor. Q. Ms. Conrad, a few more questions. I'm sorry. Are you ready? A. Yes. Q. At the time that you were selected to serve as a juror in this case, your status was a suspended New York attorney, correct? A. Yes. Q. You were not working, correct? A. Correct. Q. Is it fair to say that even though the daily witness fee that you received for your service was rather meager, it was not irrelevant to you in your service as a juror? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. After all, you were basically out of work, correct? A. Yes. Q. You referred earlier during Mr. Gair's questioning to a motivation that you had in the form of intellectual curiosity with respect to tax shelters, is that correct?	BY MR. GAIR: Q. Can you explain to us how it is you can remember the substance of many specific jury instructions that you received 8½ months ago when you cannot remember things that you said to Judge Pauley on December 20th when you appeared in this court? A. Because I'm familiar with the PJI and they are sort of basically the same IN state court as in federal court. Q. Did you have any trouble understanding my questions when I was asking you questions earlier? A. To which are you referring? Q. Any of them. A. I don't have the transcript written in my head. Q. You certainly didn't have any trouble with Mr. Okula's questions, correct? A. He's pretty straightforward. Q. You didn't find anything that Mr. Okula said that you disagreed with, correct? A. Correct.
MR. GAIR: Objection to the form of the question, your Honor. MR. OKULA: I'll rephrase it, your Honor, THE COURT: All right.	 20 A. No, I don't. This is the first time I've ever actually spoken to Mr. Okula. 22 Q. Mr. Okula asked you about whether or not you wanted to see 23 through this trial as a juver. Do you repressible that question?
24 Q. You remember in Judge Pauley's initial instructions he 25 described in general terms the subject matter of the case, in	 23 through this trial as a juror. Do you remember that question? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. You did, right?
C2frdau7 Conrad - cross Page 222	C2frdau7 Conrad - redirect Page 224
 particular tax charges, correct? A. Yes. Q. You heard certain things about tax shelters in the introductory instructions, correct? A. Vaguely. Q. Is it correct that the subject matter of the case was of some interest to you when you were answering questions during voir dire and considering your motives for serving on the jury? A. Yes, it piqued my curiosity. I had no experience ever with tax work. Q. Had you ever sat as a juror in a federal criminal case 	 A. Yes. Q. You did that in the context of just a day or two before the trial having filed a petition for readmission or reinstatement to the bar, is that correct? A. That's the correct chronology, yes. Q. You believed that by seeing through this trial, by serving as a juror for a lengthy trial, you could help demonstrate your stability to the bar authorities, correct? A. No. It's apples and oranges. The two thoughts never crossed. Q. Never occurred to you once that seeing this through might
12 before? 13 A. No. 14 O. Was one of your motivations a desire to see a trial through	be something that would be helpful to you with the bar authorities?
 14 Q. Was one of your motivations a desire to see a trial through 15 with this complexity from beginning to end? 16 A. Partially. 	 14 A. Not at all. It was my civic duty. 15 Q. Which part was your civic duty? The part where you lied? 16 A. No, of course not.
17 Q. One final question, Ms. Conrad. Is it correct that you waited until Judge Pauley told you it was appropriate for you to begin your deliberations and the consideration of the evidence based on his instructions, and that's what guided your verdict in this case?	 Q. Mr. Okula asked you some questions about the instructions that Judge Pauley gave you. Do you remember those? A. I remember both. Q. You said that you had followed Judge Pauley's instructions, correct?
22 A. Yes. 23 MR. OKULA: I have nothing further, Judge. 24 THE COURT: Redirect examination, Mr. Gair. 25 REDIRECT EXAMINATION	 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. But the truth is you only followed those of Judge Pauley's 24 instructions that you wanted to follow, correct? 25 A. I don't know what that question means, sir.

PAUL M.	DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,		Feb	oruary 15, 2012	
C2frdau7	Conrad - redirect	Page 225 C2frda	dau7 Conrad - redirect	Page 227	
2 only 3 A. Thi 4 fact. 5 Q. Did 6 those 7 A. Pro 8 Q. All 9 A. I d 10 Q. Bu 11 ment 12 A. Mo 13 Q. And 14 them 15 A. I c. 16 Q. Yo 17 instru 18 A. No 19 Q. Did 20 had to 21 to evo 22 A. He 23 Q. No 24 A v	which we did.	ow? a I was a trier of a I was	2 Q. Do I look like I know what the PJI is? 3 A. Yes. You're a professor. 4 Q. Would you agree with me then that since you did for the follow Judge Pauley's initial instruction at the start of the trial, you did not in fact follow all of his instructions? A. You're mischaracterizing it. 8 Q. It's a simple question, yes or no. Since you did not follow the instruction to tell the truth, did you follow all of his instructions? 1 A. Regarding the verdict, yes. 1 Q. Did you follow all of Judge Pauley's instructions A. Not with respect to the voir dire. 1 Q. Therefore, you did not follow all of his instructions, in that correct? 1 A. If you need to connect the dots like that. 1 Q. Did you follow all of his corrections? 1 A. Yes. In rendering a correct verdict, yes. 1 Q. Now you're saying you did follow all of his instruction. 2 Let me try and get this very simply. Can you answer many question? Taking into account the fact that you perjure yourself repeatedly at voir dire, did you follow all of his instructions? 2 A. I think you just answered the question.		
25 Q. Do	you see the difference between your Conrad - redirect	Page 226 C2frda		Page 228	
	ions and Mr. Okula's questions?		A. Besides the voir dire, yes.	raye 220	
	re: Splitting hairs and semantics		Q. Including the voir dire, did you follow	v all of Judge	
	actly. You're splitting hairs and you		Pauley's instructions?		
	ntics?		A. Not with my omissions, no.		
	MR. OKULA: Objection, your I		Q. Not with your lies, right?		
	THE COURT: Overruled.		A. However you want to characterize it		
	me ask you this, Ms. Conrad. Did Judge		Q. Therefore, you picked which of Judge Paule		
	struction as a juror to tell the trut sure he did, yes.		you were going to follow and which of those th going to follow, is that correct?	at you were not	
	d you tell the truth?		A. No.		
	rendering an unbiased verdict.	F 6.3 (2.2)	Q. Was it random that you followed some of h	nis instructions	
	d you tell the truth?		and didn't follow others?	no monacciono	
13 A. Ye	- 1. - 1	13 A	A. I didn't follow the instructions in voi	ir dire.	
14 Q. You	u told the truth, the whole truth, and	nothing but the 14 C	Q. You chose, you picked that instruction	not to follow,	
15 truth?			correct?		
	u're asking me about the voir dir		A. Yes.	Service Allerin	
	s. That was an instruction he gave		Q. You want us to take your assurance that you		
	at's correct.		other instructions not to follow, correct	£?	
20 A. No	I you tell the truth? Did you follow t	hat instruction? 19	MR. OKULA: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained.		
	when you just said a couple of mome		Q. In your opinion, Ms. Conrad, did your perju	ury in voir dire	
22 follow	when you just said a couple of money wed those instructions, you were ly at's incorrect. I thought we were on	ing right then? 22	affect your ability to act as a fair and im A. No, not at all.		
ma tolle	m about the DII at the and of the	two C	Carrier Principles of ASA Transference of the Asa Asa Asa	me are an all the country of	

24 Q. Ms. Conrad, did I understand you to say that you thought

25 that it was basically no harm/no foul as far as the defense was

24 talking about the PJI at the end of the trial.

25 Q. Did I ever mention the PJI?

February 15,	2012			PAUL M. DA	UGERDAS, ET AL.
C2frdau7	Conrad - redirect	Page 229 C	2frdau7	Conrad - cross	Page 231
history bit a jury? A. I can't to mouths. Q. Isn't to you though if they keep crimina. A. Yes, to think I would the defendants. The defendants of the defendants. A. I guest married to right? A. I guest married to marrie	put thoughts or words in the defendants would wan put thoughts or words in the defendant would be just that what you said? Didn't you said a husband of the time that they would be just the words in the context of, if an a husband? Didn't you so be taken in the context of, if an a husband to be taken in the context of, if an a husband to be taken in the context of, if an a husband to be taken in the context of, if an and have been biased towards the fendants, which I was not, either ense. I was unbiased. The words in the time that it is perspective because, if anything, to a criminal would tend to favor as it can be characterized as IR. GAIR: Nothing further, the COURT: Mr. Shechtman IR. SHECHTMAN: I'll be becaused, you continue to call the and you're reluctant to use	endants' minds and say something like mping up and down for a criminal a say that? nything, one would defendants, in favor r the prosecution or was OK from the somebody who was or other criminals, that. your Honor. n? rief, your Honor. 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	for being part, and that on the that on th	e. Okula suggested that one of you ion of the case piqued your curnotives? It was an added bonus. not a motive for being on ew I could be a fair and jut you have said. use me? If the heard you say that. If that you wanted to be on this interest on the said.	you were interested in y, is that correct? Was no to be on the jury? o. Not really, no. reelf and destroy your you? r. It was destroyed suspended. to undestroy it at the and committed perjury, ar motives was that the iosity. Was that one of the jury? est juror.
C2frdau7 1 A. I have 2 Q. If you 3 Governme 4 and you	Conrad - cross been using the word "omissic can look at your voir dire, ent Exhibit 2, when you told the Co ir husband, was that a true si s. We don't travel much any	Page 230 Con," that's correct. which I think is out, "we travel," you tatement?	2frdau7 1 Q. And 2 be on 3 A. No,	Conrad - cross you thought it was worth lying al a case because it piqued y that's not a correct charac If there is a suggestion that yo	our curiosity? terization of it.
7 A. Mayb 8 Q. Wher 9 A. Usual 10 Q. So the 11 Jersey? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. The e	e "we travel" part of this was	we travel to New 1 1 1 1 1 re of is who? 1	7 Q. Ory 8 A. I sai 9 Q. Didi o stipend 1 A. It w 2 Q. You 3 didn't l	ver said that. I never testi you lied id the stipend was not a fan't you tell Mr. Okula just the d was partly a factor? asn't a motivating factor, didn't lie in order to get the ie because the case piqued y t on that?	ctor. he opposite, that the \$40 stipend and you
15 hearing. 16 Q. The e 17 A. My m 18 Q. Her m 19 A. Grace 20 Q. How 21 A. Once 22 Q. I take 23 omitted No 24 like you	elderly aunt that you take can naternal aunt. name?	re of is who? 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 ter? 2 the "we travel" but that you would seem ror? 2	5 A. No. 6 Q. Is the 7 A. The 8 Q. So the 9 A. No. 1 dollars a 1 busy. A 2 the dy 3 Q. If sor 4 you wo	It did pique my curiosity nat why you lied on voir dire are a few reasons. I was he \$40 a day was a factor. It's the intellectual stimulated cents, the \$40 and change or and I hadn't been in a courtroor namics of it. The one asked you why you perjuically say, because I wanted took I just enumerated the other results.	s unemployed. tion, sir, beyond the whatever, and keeping n, and I enjoy and like red yourself repeatedly, to be in a courtroom?

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v

PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,			February 15, 2012
2frdau7 Conrad - cross	Page 233 C2frdau7	Conrad - cross	Page 235
1 sir.	1 up.		
2 Q. You know as you sit here today that the go	A STATE OF THE STA	hat must disappoint you, that the s	entencing date has been
3 considering bringing perjury charges aga			
4 MR. OKULA: Objection, your Hon		have no opinion as to that, s	sir.
5 A. No, I'm not.		But it's a fact you know, right	
6 MR. OKULA: Unfair characterizati	on. 6 A. I	t's pretty self-evident, sir.	
7 THE COURT: Sustained.	7 Q. I.	s it your view as you sit here to	oday that there are no
8 Q. Have you thought that there is a possibility th		y consequences to you other than	
9 lied repeatedly in this courtroom, the government		having perjured yourself in t	
o criminal charges against you?		don't know what the governme	ent is going to do, sir.
1 A. Sir, that's why I have use immunity at the mome		ou know the government could brin	g perjury charges against
2 Q. But you didn't know you had use immunity when y			
3 today, did you?		/laybe. I don't know.	
4 A. I knew it was a large probability that I		ou know that whether criminal cha	
5 Q. Can I ask you a question?		will be determined by what	you refer to as "our
6 A. Sure.		ernment," is that correct?	
Q. If that testimony is completely the opportunity		MR. OKULA: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled.	
8 testimony you gave this morning, what conclusion 9 draw from that?			9
		Can you rephrase that, please ou know that the decision as to w	
 A. I don't know what your question means Q. This morning you told us you had no idea you well 		be brought against you will be mad	
 Q. This morning you told us you had no idea you w get use immunity, and this afternoon you told us, 		ernment"?	ie by what you can our
was a large possibility. Which of those is		ou're quoting from my letter. I	don't know who else's
4 A. I guess both. The judge didn't confer imm		rnment it would be. But we're sitt	
5 invoked my Fifth Amendment privilege.		trict, so kudos, I guess you're	
2frdau7 Conrad - cross	Page 234 C2frdau7	Conrad - cross	Page 236
1 Q. When you came here today, did you know that the	nere was use 1 Q. W	hich is to say you also know that	if your answers today
2 immunity or not, a distinct possibility that you	u would be 2 disp	lease the government, there is a	no likelihood of those
3 charged with perjury?	3 crir	ninal charges being brought?	20 0000
4 A. No.	4	MR. OKULA: Objection,	your Honor.
5 Q. You have convinced yourself there is no chan-		THE COURT: Sustained.	
6 charge?		lave you thought about that pos	
 A. I don't really think it matters what I convince 	e myself of, 7 A. N	lot really until your question	
8 sir.	8	MR. SHECHTMAN: No f	
Q. It matters enormously,	9	THE COURT: Anything furth	ner on redirect, Mr. Rotert
MR. OKULA: Objection.		As. McCarthy?	
THE COURT: Sustained.	11	MR. ROTERT: Thank you, yo	our Honor, no, nothing for
Q. When you entered this courtroom today, did		Guerin.	o carrier
there was a possibility that charges would be brou		MS. McCARTHY: Nothin	
you for perjury during the voir dire process o	The state of the s	THE COURT: Anything f	
5 A. Not really.	15	MR. OKULA: Nothing. The	
Q. That's because you think the government does		THE COURT; Ms. Conrad, I was really that a	
 jurors lie during voir dire? A. I'm not in their heads. I can't answer th 		acknowledgment here today that y ber of material things about you	
A. I'm not in their heads. I can't answer th	at. 18 num		mach during von dife
As you sit here today you think you have lied	during voir 10 base	use you wanted to make vourself.	
Q. As you sit here today, you think you have lied		use you wanted to make yourself why	marketable for the jury,
dire repeatedly, caused this entire process, and	d that there 20 and	you perjured yourself, why	marketable for the jury, did you do that?
dire repeatedly, caused this entire process, and will be no consequences for it?	d that there 20 and 21	you perjured yourself, why THE WITNESS: As I had me	marketable for the jury, did you do that? ntioned, I knew I could be a
dire repeatedly, caused this entire process, and will be no consequences for it? MR. OKULA: To the form, your Ho	d that there 20 and 21 onor. 22 fair,	you perjured yourself, why THE WITNESS: As I had me unbiased juror, and substantively	marketable for the jury, did you do that? ntioned, I knew I could be a ywise it seemed as if it
dire repeatedly, caused this entire process, and will be no consequences for it? MR. OKULA: To the form, your Ho	d that there 20 and 21 22 fair, 23 wou	you perjured yourself, why THE WITNESS: As I had me	marketable for the jury, did you do that? ntioned, I knew I could be a ywise it seemed as if it nce. And having been

C2fr	dau7 Conrad - cross Page 237	C2fr	dau7 Page 239
1	I know misrepresenting myself and the perjury was	1	with the marshals, and then we are going to head into I think
2	wrong, and I apologize to the Court and to everybody else who	2	the rest of the waiver portion.
3	has, I'm sure, devoted immeasurable amount of time, hours.	3	MR. GAIR: Judge, as far as I'm concerned, the only
4	Maybe it just wasn't for the \$40. That's basically it. I know	4	reason to call the marshal, I need to to perfect impeachment
5	a lot of resources were spent because of this, and I apologize	5	unless the Court is ready to decide the substantive issue that
6	to everybody. It wasn't a calculated folly, it was just maybe	6	the witness has shown herself to be a pathological liar, not to
7	folly. But I know I served and I did my civic duty and I	7	know what the truth is, probably to be severely mentally
8	believe I was fair and just in rendering the verdict.	8	disabled, and to have committed innumerable direct contempts in
9	I know my disclosures definitely would not have	9	front of your Honor today by perjuring herself. So I would
10	allowed me to serve as a juror. I also know that I could have	10	renew our motion at this time, and then we could dispense with
11	requested a side bar to speak with your Honor and the other	11	the marshal.
12	attorneys during the voir dire, and I didn't do that. I	12	THE COURT: We are in the middle of a hearing. I can
13	apologize to everybody.	13	see that Mr. Okula is ready to respond, but we are not going to
14	THE COURT: Anything further from counsel?	14	go down that route. You will call your next witness and you
15	MR. OKULA: No, your Honor.	15	will do it tomorrow morning. We'll have Deputy Weiss here at
16	THE COURT: Mr. Gair?	16	that time.
17	MR. GAIR: Not of this witness, your Honor.	17	Are you calling anyone else from the Marshals Service?
18	THE COURT: Mr. Rotert?	18	MR. GAIR: No, your Honor.
19	MR. ROTERT: No, your Honor.	19	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Shechtman?
20	MS. McCARTHY: No.	20	MR. SHECHTMAN: Judge, it may be that we should all
21	MR. SHECHTMAN: No, your Honor.	21	call it a day. If the Court wants what I think is a short
22	THE COURT: Is there any reason at this juncture that	22	witness, Mr. Benhamou is here. He is a law student, he has
23	the arrest warrant that was issued this morning to bring the	23	classes tomorrow.
24	witness to court should not at this time be released?	24	THE COURT: He is in class?
25	MR. OKULA: No, your Honor.	25	MR. SHECHTMAN: He missed today.
-	THE STORE THE FOR	-	
C2fr	dau7 Page 238	C2fr	dau7 Page 240
1	MR. GAIR: Not the arrest warrant, your Honor.	1	THE COURT: Bring him on.
2	THE COURT: I'm not going to vacate it. It's an	2	MR. OKULA: May I have a moment with Mr. Shechtman,
3	existing arrest warrant. She was arrested. But I'm going to	3	your Honor?
4	release her now.	4	THE COURT: Right. We'll take a witness out of order.
5	You're free to go. You may step down as a witness. I	5	MR. SHECHTMAN: Judge, I think we are even better. We
6	think the Marshals Service will assist you. You are excused.	6	have just decided that we don't need his testimony and we are
7	(Witness excused)	7	going to send him back to school.
8	THE WITNESS: Would the defense call its next witness.	8	THE COURT: I hope he didn't have much of a class load
9	MR. GAIR: Yes, your Honor. The defense calls deputy	9	today.
10	U.S. Marshal Eric Weiss.	10	MR. OKULA: It's early in the semester, your Honor.
11	MR. OKULA: Your Honor, I understand that he was	11	THE COURT: It's those early sessions that are most
12	supposed to be communicating with the other marshals because he	12	important though.
13	let the people in the courtroom know that he was downstairs and	13	MR. SHECHTMAN: I would say that he missed the classes
14	ready to go. I think it is going to take three or four minutes	14	on respondeat superior by order of the Court.
15	for him to get up. I don't know if counsel is in favor of	15	THE COURT: Are there any matters that counsel want to
16	waiting until tomorrow morning.	16	raise before we suspend for the evening?
17	MR. GAIR: Fine with me, Judge.	17	MR. OKULA: No, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: I just want to make certain that we	18	THE COURT: Anything from defense counsel?
19	complete this hearing tomorrow. I'm prepared to work a little	19	MR. GAIR: No, your Honor.
20	later right now to get that, to achieve that purpose.	20	MR. ROTERT: 9:30 tomorrow, Judge?
21	MR. OKULA: Judge, I think, based on getting through	21	THE COURT: Let's make it 9:45, since I'm assured that
22	Ms. Conrad today, there is a substantial likelihood that we	22	we are going to comfortably finish tomorrow. Have a good
23	will be done by midday tomorrow. I am highly confident we will	23	evening.
24	get done tomorrow if we break now. I understand from speaking	24	(Adjourned to 9:45 a.m., February 16, 2012)
25	with Mr. Gair or indirectly that he is going to be fairly quick	25	20 17 A 2 17 17 17 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	The same of managing that he is going to be fairly quick	-	

PA	UL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,
	Page 241
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page THERESA MARIE TRZASKOMA
4	Direct By Mr. Hernandez 8
15.0	Cross By Mr. Shechtman
5	Redirect By Mr. Hernandez ,
Q.	Recross By Mr. Shechtman
7	CAMPBOTHE M. CONDAD
8	CATHERINE M. CONRAD Direct By Mr. Gair
	Direct By Mr. Schectman 204
9	Cross By Mr. Okula 211
	Redirect By Mr. Gair 223
10	Cross By Mr. Shechtman 229
11	
12	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
13	Exhibit No. Received
14	4
15	5
16	9
17	14
19	26
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	Page 242
1	PMD EXHIBITS
2	Exhibit No. Received
3	PMD 1, 24, 25, 26 and 45 204
4	PMD 2
5	PMD 3
6	PMD 7
7	PMD 14
8	
9	
	PMD 23
11	PMD 29
12	PMD 40
13	PMD 54
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

	40:25	189:17	26,22,26,12,20,14,	22.10.24.2.60.16.
\$	11:15 (1) 42:17	189:17 1980 (2) 189:6,7	26:22;36:12;39:14; 52:18,19;53:2;64:19; 69:18;72:8;74:12;75:13;	23:19;34:2;69:16; 102:5;140:1;146:15; 150:1;151:15;153:13;
112 000 (2)	11:17 (1)	1981 (1)	76:3;78:5;102:3,5;	154:25;185:6,7;191:11,
\$12,000 (2)	44:8	189:8	103:3;115:16;126:19;	154.25,165.0,7,151.11,
133:22;134:4	11:22 (1)	1984 (1)	136:1,7,20;140:1;146:2,	13
614,000 (1)	45:4	100:2	15;150:2;177:2,5,13;	3
134:12	113 (2)	1985 (1)		3
52,000 (2)			179:1,4;181:4;189:2; 194:11	2.771)
134:7,10	55:10;82:21	189:19	1 to	3 (11)
640 (7)	118 (2)	1993 (1)	2012 (2)	124:25;125:1,2,8,9;
231:3,7;232:5,12,18,	63:15;82:15	189:25	99:15;240:24	130:21,21;145:9;
20;237:4	11th (2)	1997 (2)	203 (3)	176:11;199:22,23
3400 (2)	39:8;42:21	42:4;183:2	145:7;157:18;165:1	30 (4)
135:17,19	12 (16)	1998 (2)	204 (2)	49:15;113:8;162:17,
800 (1)	18:19,25;19:1,3;	183:3;184:3	165:4;172:8	25
137:14	22:12;26:22;39:14;	1999 (1)	20th (22)	39 (1)
35351	42:14;57:15;58:5;59:18;	9:24	88:6,18;105:13,14;	100:2
1	72:8;86:15;138:10;	1's (6)	109:20;110:3,6,9,22;	3H (2)
	157:18;159:13	25:13;38:20;49:22;	113:10,22;117:13;124:2,	146:16;151:11
(76)	120 (3)	59:4;60:4;92:15	7;130:14;131:6;138:3;	
16:25;18:4,5;21:21;	63:15;82:15,16	1st (6)	199:19;200:4,21;	4
	12th (23)	23:13;102:2,5;185:3,	203:12;223:5	
27:2;31:1;33:9,10;	39:9;42:21,24;43:14;	7;191:11	21/2 (5)	4 (10)
34:22;35:17,19;36:9;	44:8;49:19;64:6;68:23,	.,,,,,,,,,	178:21;179:1,3;	65:10,16,21,23,25;
38:16;39:7;42:24;46:6,	24;69:21,23;70:14;	2	180:24,25	80:5;145:8;177:18;
11;49:2,11;50:11,20;	79:22;86:17,20;87:16;	-	21 (8)	180:3;187:15
51:2,3,13;57:10,12;	90:7,22;92:17;94:5,9,14;	2 (17)	76:3;78:4;150:16,16;	40 (4)
58:25;61:15,25;62:2;	95:12	23:24;43:4,6,11;66:6;	162:17;177:16,17;181:2	29:12;36:24,25;49:14
63:3;70:10;71:18,18,24,			23 (4)	
24;75:9;77:3;79:21;	13 (1)	77:4;145:2,5,6;150:2;		400 (2)
80:3;83:3;86:1,9,22;	138:11	151:9,9;157:20;169:4;	211:3,6,10,11	13:16;28:24
87:18,23;88:11;89:13;	130 (1)	187:12,15;230:3	2385 (3)	41 (2)
90:15,21;91:21,24;	100:1	2:05 (2)	146:16;151:10;196:2	48:21;49:3
92:24;96:1,12,16;97:2,3;	13th (1)	129:3,7	23rd (1)	45 (3)
103:3;115:15;129:12;	69:21	2:24 (3)	126:19	203:23;204:1,2
140:1;146:2,15;147:14,	14 (12)	45:9;55:13;62:15	24 (5)	467 (1)
16;149:13;190:25;	18:17;19:20,24;20:1,	2:32 (1)	156:3;181:3;203:23,	100:2
191:1,15;199:20;200:3;	5;26:19;39:11;82:15;	46:18	25;204:2	48 (1)
203:23,25;204:2,17	138:12;173:15,19,20	2:36 (5)	24th (1)	100:2
1:30 (1)	14-12 (1)	55:11;57:10;58:6;	194:14	4th (1)
69:24	19:11	62:5;83:7	25 (6)	185:14
	15 (2)	20 (6)	18:24;163:2;203:23,	
10 (8)	75:13;84:6	49:15;121:11;163:7;	25;204:2;207:8	5
36:12;84:6;104:1;	15th (7)	175:20,24,25	25th (3)	
125:10,12;131:15;	74:12;77:24;85:1;	2004 (1)	87:22;194:11;200:23	5 (9)
157:18;160:16	116:20;118:8;129:22;	163:14	26 (3)	17:3,10,16,18;20:19,
10:25 (1)	170:6		203:23,25;204:2	
39:14		2006 (1)	The Court of the C	22;33:1;125:11;130:5
0:55 (1)	16 (7)	135:15	26th (4)	50 (4)
22:16	196:3,9,11,23;198:10;	2007 (10)	150:24;151:13;	49:14,16,16,17
100 (3)	199:22;240:24	24:3;40:10;135:11,13;	153:12;177:5	52 (3)
199:8,17;214:10	16-4 (1)	173:10,15;185:14;	27 (6)	31:3,4;70:5
104 (2)	180:4	187:15;188:9;231:9	10:11,13,22;11:4,9;	54 (5)
53:21;54:7	16th (1)	2008 (6)	12:6	64:23;65:16,21,24;
0467 (1)	96:6	135:24,25;136:9;	27th (1)	176:11
151:11	17 (6)	179:3,6,11	19:8	
0-minute (1)	63:16;64:1;176:1,6,7,	2009 (14)	28 (8)	6
84:18	11	135:24,25;136:5,9,10;	76:1,8,12,14,25;136:7;	hyter, we have
11 (8)	18 (3)	156:3;176:2,8;179:2,10,	177:12;181:5	6(1)
69:18;86:15;96:9,10;	173:15;189:25;231:9	11,25;180:10,11	28th (6)	126:14
	19 (2)	2010 (8)	67:4;136:1,20;147:7;	606 (1)
125:12;130:21;131:13;	132:5,6	24:1,5;25:10;42:11;	150:20;177:4	199:12
132:5	1932 (1)	100:1;175:21;187:12,15	29 (5)	(40)76
11:02 (3)	47:5	2011 (37)	176:2;179:13,16,21,22	7
22:24;26:23;40:9 11:06 (1)	1976 (1)	9:4,7;21:21;22:12;	2nd (14)	
	A			

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

11 47.00

7 (9)		38:12;91:7,10	addressing (1)	10:25;52:14;62:12,16;
94:18;194:5,8,9,10,10;	A	acknowledging (1)	72:19	99:3;111:8;113:25;
204:18,19,20	A	91:6	adequate (1)	114:17;128:16;132:17;
7:52 (2)	abide (1)	acknowledgment (1)	99:25	133:13;134:6;137:10;
5:2;129:24	7:6	236:17	Adjourned (1)	140:24;208:6;212:4,14,
70s (1)	ability (6)	acquit (2)	240:24	17;215:23;220:20;
189:10	130:16;141:10;192:7;	220:1.3	admin (5)	233:3,10;234:13;235:11.
72 (4)	216:8,12;228:22	acquittal (2)	39:17,18,22,24;40:4	14,21
21:23;22:10;39:11,12	A-blank-blank (1)	220:16,16	admiration (1)	age (1)
721 (1)	206:23	acquitted (1)	171:18	48:21
100:1	able (5)	74:24	admired (1)	agenda (1)
724 (1)	47:16;57:2;123:17;	acquitting (1)	59:13	212:1
100:1	128:9:137:14	164:5	admissible (1)	agents (1)
73 (1)	above (2)	across (1)	217:12	214:19
24:2	22:23;47:3	142:22	admission (13)	aggravated (1)
78 (1)	abroad (3)	act (1)	11:4;19:11;36:20;	184:7
26:18	158:5;167:22;171:25	228:22	65:15;75:18;76:7;125:5;	ago (15)
	absolutely (19)	acting (2)	145:1;173:15;175:19;	90:5;103:5;113:8;
8	18:13;64:14;96:3;	32:20;128:10	179:15;194:4;203:22	131:4;153:7,19,22;
A.C.	111:11;112:25;114:10,	action (4)	admit (1)	157:6;162:17;163:7;
8 (4)	13,15;123:9;136:4;	38:17;53:10,14;	19:10	191:1;216:25;223:4;
64:19;99:15;125:14;	145:16;149:9;172:14;	207:22	admitted (3)	226:21;230:7
126:13	197:20;198:2;211:24;	actions (2)	9:23;174:15;211:3	agree (30)
8:00 (1)	212:23;213:10;216:5	178:12,18	adopt (2)	9:25;21:20;26:10;
104:2	abstinence (1)	active (1)	127:6,20	29:20;30:6;46:10;56:6;
8:33 (1)	182:13	142:13	advance (4)	67:23;73:2,21;81;8;
129:22	abstinent (4)	actual (1)	11:22;19:7;20:14;	87:2;90:7;92:23;105:25;
80 (2)	178:21;179:6;180:24,	80:20	29:18	108:10;113:6;116:25;
47:8;190:25	25	actually (24)	advice (3)	119:9,16;120:9;121:17;
81/2 (1)	accelerate (1)	13:9;20:9;28:6;53:12;	99:2;102:4,7	124:1;128:12;137:11;
223:4	7:7	60:8,14;68:17;87:1;	advised (3)	154:12,24;159:18;
81 (1)	access (5)	93:1;131:12;150:5;	5:4;6:1;139:3	197:21;227:4
40:19	20:25;21:2,2,3,12	152:9,21;153:5;158:21;	advising (1)	agreed (2)
89 (2)	accessible (6)	163:8;165:4;169:3;	124:2	31:21;231:3
42:12,13	21:18;197:2,5,9,12,18	209:5,6,9,10,10;223:20	affect (5)	agreement (1)
8th (2)	accident (1)	acumen (1)	216:2,7,12,16;228:22	68:1
109:16,17	183:14	158:25	affidavit (20)	ahead (2)
- 12	accidental (1)	Adam (2)	125:15;126:6,9,11,18;	95:22;204:13
9	155:4	4:19;40:1	128:13;129:10;133:23;	airplane (1)
For any to	accomplice (1)	add (2)	134:1,8;135:25;136:19;	189:18
9 (8)	32:20	76:18;137:7	137:16,22,23;150:8,17,	akin (1)
75:11,19,23,25;85:2;	according (1)	added (1)	23;151:14;155:23	192:5
125:12;145:8;175:21	71:1	231:17	affluent (5)	al (1)
9:30 (1)	accordingly (2)	addition (1)	152:9,21,25;153:5,9	8:21
240:20	53:25;100:11	13:14	afford (4)	alcohol (7)
9:45 (2)	account (2)	additional (9)	124:16;125:16,18;	24:7;25:13;97:10;
240:21,24	130:19;227:21	14:3,11;18:23;34:10;	126:10	98:19;110:5,9;180:12
90 (1)	accountants (1)	44:25;57:18;59:23;	afternoon (17)	alcoholic (8)
42:19	207:14	85:12;93:7	7:5;49:6;56:5,19;	41:4,21;94:17;174:15,
93 (1)	accounts (5)	address (38)	69:22;70:14;83:15;	17;175:9;176:9,13
189:14	131:2,8,17,23;133:22	6:22;45:11;50:17,21,	86:20,23;87:15,16;	alcoholics (1)
94 (1)	accuracy (1)	25;51:5,8,9,9,11,20,22;	90:22;92:16,20;129:6;	109:18
48:11	28:13	52:3;56:2;85:13;144:18,	130:7;233:22	alcoholism (9)
95 (1)	accurate (6)	20;146:23,23;147:6,11;	afterwards (2)	25:13;89:14;174:6,9,
51:16	46:8,17;79:19;208:24;	153:2,8;157:23;158:4,6,	93:6;190:10	11,21;176:18;177:9;
96 (1)	209:15,18	9;161:20,22;186:19;	again (19)	210:12
51:23	accused (1)	196:8,11,16,19;198:10,	20:23;55:6;71:20;	alerted (1)
97 (5)	59:12	13,15,16	80:2;92:6;98:6,11,13,18;	
18:1,3;20:18;24:13,18	achieve (1)	addressed (2)	112:14;118:14;122:9;	alerting (1)
98 (1)	238:20	5:17;188:20	123:20;157:18;167:10;	63:2
184:4	achieved (2)	addresses (4)	168:9;191:13;196:8;	alleged (2)
	134:20,24	51:10,21;145:25;	204:17 against (26)	74:19:75:3 Allen (1)
	acknowledge (3)	146:25		

TACE III. DACGERDA	is, Et Abi,			rebidary 15, 2012
4:7	apologies (1)	133:22;134:5,11	asserting (1)	219:9
allergic (1)	136:17	April (2)	99:3	attentive (1)
170:16	apologize (4)	183:3;184:4	assertion (1)	35:24
allow (1)	88:17;237:2,5,13	archeology (2)	102:18	Attorney (23)
137:24	Aponte (2)	167:22;171:25	assessment (1)	4:5;54:11,14;123:24,
allowed (2)	32:10,15	area (4)	90:13	24;125:25;126:16;
			0.000	
166:10;237:10	appear (22)	97:14,15;152:9,21	assigned (2)	133:24;141:22;143:12;
almost (2)	5:13;10:12;40:21;	argument (2)	18:1;24:13	166:15,16,18,23;167:6;
16:22;53:6	42:22;48:14;105:1,15;	46:5;89:21	assist (8)	168:25;178:7,8;212:14;
alone (1)	106:15;109:20;110:11,	arguments (1)	13:2,13;15:22;20:13,	215:23;216:16;218:7;
67:11	25;116:19;118:8,18;	97:17	14;65:4;66:12;238:6	221:6
along (11)	119:11,18;120:5;123:7,	Arizona (7)	assistance (2)	attorneys (3)
44:6;58:22;63:5;	13;186:10,12;215:11	185:14,17,19;186:15;	12:11;66:22	148:15;165:23;237:12
85:20;93:11;190:16;	appearance (5)	188:9;210:15;215:12	Assistant (1)	Attorney's (1)
191:18;194:20;217:2,9;	5:19;8:16;109:23;	arose (1)	4:4	5:6
220:11	110:2,8	37:11	assisted (1)	August (10)
aloud (2)	appearances (2)	around (3)	12:13	170:24;179:2,3,6,10;
37:3,18	4:2;9:14	112:19;150:10;201:24	assisting (2)	180:11;185:14;187:15;
alternate (6)	appeared (6)	arrangement (1)	10:25;12:21	188:9;189:19
				aunt (4)
34:16;90:11,12;92:8,	103:8;109:19;186:14,	35:6	associate (4)	
14;96:10	16;191:5;223:5	arrest (17)	11:19;12:3,4,5	230:13,16,17,23
alternates (2)	appearing (2)	5:6,21;6:11,13,20;	associates (1)	authorities (8)
29:5;34:24	112:24;122:16	94:17;121:13;122:21;	9:17	151:4;153:12;155:20,
alternatively (1)	Appellate (9)	183:12,13;184:1,2;	Association (1)	23;191:6;210:14;224:8,
71:24	23:1;24:1;88:11,12;	187:17;188:7;237:23;	207:22	13
alternatives (1)	150:21;173:9,22;	238:1,3	assume (1)	authorization (1)
99:24	175:20;176:3	arrested (24)	21:9	175:5
although (4)	apples (1)	6:23;89:18;94:16;	assumed (1)	auto (1)
50:12;98:15;100:25;	224:9	123:7,13;179:25;181:6,	27:18	190:3
187:20	applicable (2)	13;182:18,22,25;185:14,	assurance (1)	available (8)
amended (1)	42:22;136:3	16,19,24;188:9,25;	228:17	7:7;13:5,10,12;39:21;
75:16	application (12)	189:3;193:12,14;215:11,	assured (1)	44:18;172:16,19
		18;216:6;238:3	240:21	Avenue (16)
Amendment (5)	7:4;35:2;97:3,6;			
99:3;100:20;102:9,19;	100:12,21;102:13;	arrests (4)	ate (1)	146:3,16;147:6,12,18;
233:25	126:16,17;148:10;	183:15;185:2;188:16,	70:2	148:1;151:11,14;156:8,
among (2)	150:17;177:23	18	attach (1)	11;158:12;170:12;
100:6;200:15	apply (6)	arrived (2)	136:2	196:3,7,9;198:16
amongst (1)	158:25;159:10,14;	6:8;110:6	attached (7)	average (1)
74:1	164:4,14;217:4	artful (2)	16:19;40:16;46;7;	152:7
amount (5)	applying (3)	75:6;85:9	66:1,13;80:17;83:6	avoid (1)
69:12;85:23;134:11;	102:16;216:4;219:23	A's (1)	attaches (5)	82:3
210:4;237:3	appoint (3)	16:22	40:10;41:10;42:9;	aware (14)
and/or (2)	124:11,15;132:15	a-s (1)	80:6,8	14:10;28:15;34:16;
181:7,8	appointed (4)	206:22	attachment (1)	36:3;72:22,24;75:4;
anew (1)	125:25;126:16;	ascertain (1)	22:24	78:1;85:5;89:5,10,11;
	132:20;137:25	74:18	attack (1)	93:25;97:9
96:11		The state of the s	6:23	axed (2)
animus (2)	appointee (1)	ascribed (1)		171:14.14
212:17,21	116:16	41:17	attained (1)	1/1:14,14
answered (6)	appointment (7)	Aside (3)	164:25	D
145:12;151:18;	111:10;115:22;	13:12,20,20	attempt (4)	В
164:19;175:1;211:17;	116:14,21;117:6,11;	aspects (5)	176:21;177:1;195:11;	Europe -
227:24	133:4	11:23;97:9,19,25;	211:25	BA (2)
anticipate (4)	appreciate (1)	98:19	attempted (1)	167:21;171:23
130:4,6,8,9	49:8	ass (2)	130:15	bachelor's (3)
anxious (5)	appreciated (3)	116:6;207:11	attendance (1)	44:3;59:11;86:13
194:15,19,24;195:1,3	92:4,7,7	a-s-s (1)	113:21	back (38)
anymore (1)	appropriate (7)	116:5	attended (3)	5:12,16;13:15;23:7;
230:5	85:7,14;102:25;	assassination (1)	112:23;113:7,15	27:9,15,19;31:4;43:1,8,
	128:24;178:12,18;	142:19	attention (16)	20;44:13;45:7,9;46:18;
apart (2)			11:11;17:19;22:11;	57:5;58:23;61:15;67:4,
9:9:103:7	222:18	assault (4)		
apartment (5)	appropriately (1)	183:6,8,9,13	25:16;26:21;36:1;40:6;	12;71:12;72:10;81:3;
140/10/151/11/157/1	216:13	assert (1)	63:8,13;64:16;66:6;	83:13;86:4;101:2;
146:16;151:11;157:1, 2,5	approximately (3)	102:9	90:8;98:23;129:8;145:7;	122:11,13;126:13;

tobruity 10, 2012	T	1	11100 11	. Dite GERDING, ET IL
136:22;138:3;142:4;	125:14;132:5;146:20,	biographical (1)	48:14	78:2
157:3;198:6;209:16;	21;199:22;212:18;	66:2	break (8)	Brune (48)
218:15;236:25;240:7	216:21;222:15	bipolar (1)	64:9,13;86:21;111:13;	8:25;9:2,6,9,17;12:1;
backdating (1)	behalf (7)	120:22	114:20;165:16;192:23;	16:1;21:11;35:4,11,12;
203:4	4:7,12,16;6:16;11:14;	birth (7)	238:24	38:7;40:2;42:2;44:24;
background (5)	97:3;202:3	47:5;48:1,8,15,15;	brief (15)	56:20,24;57:8;58:2;
34:5;148:12;167:19;	behavior (5)	49:10;54:20	25:16,17;67:2,10;	59:18:60:18,19,21;
225:20;232:1	106:1;107:2;110:16,	birthday (1)	68:5,6,8;73:14,22;74:6,	61:17,23;63:1,19,23;
badly (1)	16;184:17	191:2	8;79:11,23;97:7;229:21	67:20:69:22:70:13;
154:20	behind (2)	bit (2)	briefly (14)	73:12;74:1;76:22,24;
bag (3)	88:15;209:22	111:13;153:8	5:3;21:1;24:1;30:17;	77:1,5;79:24;86:7;87:8,
182:3,5,11	belief (2)	black (1)	49:7,20;55:6,7;67:2;	12,17,24;88:10;92:17;
bags (1)	170:25;175:8	124:23	84:5;92:22;97:7;100:16;	93:5,10,12
182:8	beliefs (1)	blackout (1)	204:12	Bureau (1)
bar (10)	218:11	139:17	bring (13)	31:25
9:21,23;30:23;135:25;	below (1)	blackouts (1)	7:1;84:16;92:8;98:23;	burglarizing (1)
153:11;207:21;224:4,8,	52:4	139:15	100:13,15;101:12;	32:21
12;237:11	bench (3)	blank (1)	129:25;187:6;233:9;	burglary (2)
Barker (17)	35:9;94:16;116:21	175:5	235:11;237:23;240:1	189:23;190:1
146:3,9,16;147:6,12,	benefited (1)	bless (2)	bringing (1)	Burke (2)
18;148:1;151:10,14;	95:1	111:6,18	233:3	69:5;77:14
156:8,11;158:12;	Benhamou (38)	Block (1)	broader (1)	bus (11)
170:12;196:3,4,7;198:16	12:15;22:12,23;23:7;	77:7	99:22	162:14,15,16,19,22,
based (23)	26:22;40:8,9;41:8,17;	board (5)	Bronx (43)	22,25;163:20,25;164:10;
24:6;28:8,22;30:3,4;	42:9.17:44:22:45:4.9:	18:7;24:16;29:21;	38:17;45:13;50:25;	207:8
	49:8;55:18;56:8,17;	142:22;189:18	51:9,14,22;52:5,8;53:4,	business (5)
64:19;91:11,17;93:12;		Bobbi (1)		138:5,13,16,19,21
96:17;98:3;119:16;	57:5;62:11,15;63:16;		18;56:10;60:8,9;62:13,	
141:8;214:7;217:10,21,	64:3;70:24;81:14;82:7,	4:23	16;87:5;93:19;144:11,	businessman (2)
23;219:21,21;220:15,17;	13,24;83:2,6,13;87:1;	boilerplate (4)	13;145:13;146:3,16,22;	163:5,6
222:20;238:21	91:4,11;92:19;93:13,16;	173:12,21,25;175:17	147:12,18;148:1,22,24;	busy (1)
basically (6)	239:22	bond (5)	149:12;151:11,15,23,24;	232:21
32:21;91:17;221:15;	Benhamou's (4)	186:3,4,5,9;187:25	152:3,6;153:1,12;156:9,	2
223:7;228:25;237:4	55:13;56:6;62:23;87:2	bonds (5)	11;159:23;172:1;	C
basis (6)	besides (5)	134:7,13;138:4,11,15	186:24;187:1	
16:9;24:6;88:4;	76:24;121:3;171:18;	bonus (1)	Bronxville (47)	calculated (1)
106:24;158:12,18	187:19;228:1	231:17	18:1;45:11,24;46:9;	237:6
basketball (1)	best (4)	book (3)	50:17,18,22;51:3,6,7,8,	call (25)
111:23	12:7;82:11;86:24;	150:16;201:25,25	11,20;52:3,7;56:1;71:2;	5:11,16;7:24;43:13;
Bates (13)	192:7	born (2)	87:6;144:15;145:12,14,	70:8;74:11;97:2;100:19;
18:19,23;19:3;21:23;	Beth (1)	54:25;55:2	18,21;146;5;147;2;	101:5;104:1;106:15;
22:10;24:2;26:18;39:11;	90:12	Borough (1)	148:1,5:149:12:150:5;	116:7;197:3,11,18;
40:19;54:7;55:10;82:15,	better (4)	52:8	151:17,25;152:1,5,25;	198:6;205:19;208:17;
21	85:18;149:7;187:5;	Boston (1)	153:4,8,14;155:1,24;	219:16;229:24;235:21;
	240:5	158;5	158:3;159:19;160:5;	238:8;239:4,14,21
beating (1)	TO THE RESERVE TO THE	both (18)		
185:23	Beyond (2)		161:24;162:6;172:1;	called (17)
became (2)	210:8;232:19	61:17;148:3;149:11,	196:9,11	4:1;5:2,12,12;8:3;
16:25;18:3	Bharara's (1)	12;150:6,7;151:21;	Brooklyn (6)	19:6;57:7;64:5;93:12,
become (1)	198:4	153:15,16,23,24;155:15;	51:9;158:5;159:24,25;	16;98:24;99:4;101:1,17;
18:5	bias (8)	182:8;196:18;216:20;	167:25;218:2	179:17;185:16,22
bed (1)	26:12;140:23;149:7;	224:19;230:22;233:24	brother (1)	calling (4)
146:2	212:17,21;214:9;	bother (1)	32:21	60:25;100:25;101:3;
bedroom (1)	217:24;220:10	57:6	brought (17)	239:17
148:23	biased (5)	bottom (9)	6:24;10:25;36:1;	calls (2)
been/is (1)	15:4;212:14;215:22;	17:25;20:22;39:14;	52:14;53:25;61:13;63:7;	7:25;238:9
	1.3.4.2.12.14.213.22.	47:2;77:3;125:11;	90:7:111:8;113:25;	calms (1)
			114:16;208:5;234:13;	121:2
41:4	220:20;229:11			A 80 A 7 60
41:4 beg (1)	220:20;229:11 biases (2)	131:14;178:11;218:23		came (23)
41:4 beg (1) 122:24	220:20;229:11 biases (2) 141:4,11	131:14;178:11;218:23 bounced (1)	235:14,21;236:3,7	came (23)
41:4 beg (1) 122:24 began (1)	220:20;229:11 biases (2) 141:4,11 big (3)	131:14;178:11;218:23 bounced (1) 165:20	235:14,21;236:3,7 Brubaker (9)	13:9,11;23:24;30:19;
41:4 beg (1) 122:24 began (1) 213:23	220:20;229:11 biases (2) 141:4,11 big (3) 91:25;124:23;206:16	131:14;178:11;218:23 bounced (1) 165:20 bounds (1)	235:14,21;236:3,7 Brubaker (9) 68:14,16,23;69:19;	13:9,11;23:24;30:19; 49:7;67:4;71:12,13;
41:4 beg (1) 122:24 began (1) 213:23 begin (2)	220:20;229:11 biases (2) 141:4,11 big (3) 91:25;124:23;206:16 binder (2)	131:14;178:11;218:23 bounced (1) 165:20 bounds (1) 148:21	235:14,21;236:3,7 Brubaker (9) 68:14,16,23;69:19; 74:24;199:25;203:1;	13:9,11;23:24;30:19; 49:7;67:4;71:12,13; 87:8,12;107:17;108:6;
41:4 beg (1) 122:24 began (1) 213:23 begin (2) 145:10;222:19	220:20;229:11 biases (2) 141:4,11 big (3) 91:25;124:23;206:16 binder (2) 124:24,25	131:14;178:11;218:23 bounced (1) 165:20 bounds (1) 148:21 box (2)	235:14,21;236:3,7 Brubaker (9) 68:14,16,23;69:19; 74:24;199:25;203:1; 220:1,16	13:9,11;23:24;30:19; 49:7;67:4;71:12,13; 87:8,12;107:17;108:6; 115:15;117:13;157:2,4;
41:4 beg (1) 122:24 began (1) 213:23 begin (2)	220:20;229:11 biases (2) 141:4,11 big (3) 91:25;124:23;206:16 binder (2)	131:14;178:11;218:23 bounced (1) 165:20 bounds (1) 148:21	235:14,21;236:3,7 Brubaker (9) 68:14,16,23;69:19; 74:24;199:25;203:1;	13:9,11;23:24;30:19; 49:7;67:4;71:12,13;

can (83)	179:16;187:4;191:18;	139:14,15;192:13;	choose (2)	Clinically (1)
6:25;7:3,7,9;8:18;	193:16,18;209:6,11;	223:13	201:8,11	180:19
10:15;13:7,14;14:17;	211:23;212:18,25;213:2,	chairs (1)	chose (2)	Clinton (6)
16:6,11;19:5;21:12;	8;214:13,18,25;215:24;	7:22	201;21;228;14	111:9;115:22;116:12,
22:3;26:1;29:15;31:4;	216:2,3,14,17,20;	challenge (5)	chosen (1)	14,16;117:6
38:4;39:11;44:6;55:16;	218:13;219:21;220:8,	15:20,20;31:23;32:5,	188:20	Close (6)
71:4,20;72:23;73:6;	11;221:6,25;222:6,11,	13	Christopher (1)	49:16,16:64:10:99:20:
79:10;81:11,25;82:6;	21;231:15,23;232:2,13	challenged (1)	4:6	188:18;191:4
85:7,13;88:15;93:20;	cases (9)	32:12	chronology (3)	closed (2)
94:13;97:15;105:9;	38:8;89:8;155:15;	challenges (3)	176:24;177:11;224:5	98:15;99:19
106:13,23;107:24;	181:14;182:8;215:3,14,	30:3;86:7,8	circulated (1)	closer (2)
108:14;112:22;114:22;	22;216:7	chambers (6)	129:11	16:21;49:14
115:1;116:18;117:5;	cash (6)	5:2,11,14,16;104:1,4	circumstance (1)	closest (2)
118:5;122:9,10;123:21;	131:16,22;133:17,21;	chance (5)	68:17	35:9;101:14
125:15,16;126:9,10;	134:4,12	68:6;95:5;107:21;	circumstances (1)	closing (1)
127:11;130:21;132:4,7,	cast (1)	210:1;234:5	14:5	99:24
21;134:8;136:22;141:7;	212:7	change (4)	cited (1)	closure (3)
144:21;150:14;154:10,	cat (4)	70:16;121:14;122:22;	24:5	97:4;99:22,25
12,24;159:17;165:17;	196:1,2,2,5	232:20	cites (1)	Coffee (4)
169:10;170:9;177:16;	Catherine (89)	changed (3)	72:11	52:11,15;62:12,17
179:13;198:1;209:14,	6:13;17:1,2;18:1,7;	27:25;28:1;86:24	citizens (1)	cognizance (1)
22;223:2,2;227:20;	20:16,21;22:21,22;23:4,	changes (1)	140:22	186:5
229:18;230:2;233:15;	11,23,25;24:6,8,9,11,13,	76:21	civic (6)	colleagues (1)
235:19;239:12	18,19,23,23;25:3,4,13,	character (1)	192:6,9,11;224:14,15;	11:18
candid (1)	14,18,20;40:13;41:13,	142:18	237:7	collect (1)
10:8	19,20;42:17;45:10,21,	characterization (8)	civil (16)	82:13
capability (1)	23;46:9,11,21;48:9;50:9,	56:6,8;87:3;127:6;	9:10;38:2,10,12;	collected (2)
192:7	14;52:1,5;54:16,16,17,	183:20,22;232:3;233:6	51:23;52:6,8,13,17,23,	66:20;81:17
capitalize (3)	25;55:3,5,8;57:9;58:23,	characterize (7)	25;62:16;66:9;94:23;	collection (1)
202:10,12,24	24;60:23,25;61:1,5,7,10,	110:16;128:3;136:23;	95:5;153:23	82:12
capitalized (2)	16,19;62:19;67:18;	154:10;167:20;175:10;	claim (2)	Columbia (1)
202:19;204:23	68:19;69:1,2,17,20;	228:6	13:19,22	42:4
caption (2)	70:20;71:2;77:15;78:6;	characterized (1)	claims (1)	combination (1)
23:4;195:21	79:8,12,20;83:21;90:4,	229:18	13:20	178:7
cards (3)	14;93:3,7;99:15;101:16,	charge (6)	clarify (2)	comfortably (1)
182:2,5,5	21;129:19;176:2;	199:6,8,16,17;214:2;	22:3;72:6	240:22
care (12)	179:17,23;180:1	234:6	clarity (1)	coming (11)
30:6;45:14;135:1;	C-A-T-H-E-R-I-N-E (1)	charged (8)	56:4	5:4;15:11;64:9;83:12;
180:14,16,18;197:5,12;	101:21	11:20;149:4;166:1,6;	class (3)	104:5;105:23;106:15,
230:13,16,20;234:16	cats (1)	181:7;183:5,8;234:3	12:5;239:24;240:8	25;108:11,15,21
career (7)	170:16	charges (11)	classes (2)	commenced (1)
119:9;123:11;148:14;	cause (8)	165:24;208:5;220:3;	239:23;240:13	191:1
190:19,21;192:2;231:7	6:13;31:23;32:5,6,12;	222:1;233:3,10;234:13;	classics (1)	comment (1)
careful (2)	86:7;89:19;215:22	235:11,14,20;236:3	167:21	47:18
42:6;219:9	caused (6)	Charles (1)	clear (6)	Commission (1)
carefully (1)	23:17,18;70:16;110:9,	4:6	13:20;27:18;56:14;	66:9
216:13	11:234:20	cheap (1)	85:25;100:24;101:7	committed (3)
Caroline (1)	cell (4)	109:13	cleared (1)	178:22;231:11;239:8
4:16	196:21,24,25;197:1	check (3)	6:25	committee (16)
carry (1)	cells (1)	28:13;29:15;189:15	clearly (2)	40:10;41:11;60:23;
212:1	54:2	checking (6)	41:4;43:13	80:17:98:3,20:99:18:
carrying (2)	central (2)	130:19;131:2,8,17,23;	clerk (9)	120:13;136:11,19;
77:3;218:10	72:19;79:14	133:22	66:17;70:1;93:19;	150:9:176:4,8:191:8:
Case (70)	cents (1)	Chicago (3)	104:4;105:22;107:9;	208:5,5
4:1;6:10;10:25;11:12;	232:20	106:3,7;152:11	108:15,20;117:25	common (12)
15:1,4;29:9;38:9;44:15,	certain (15)	children (2)	client (14)	14:6:23:22:24:24:
17;51:13;60:15;61:22;	13:5,25;28:14;64:20;	164:21;171:19	5:9;10:5;35:5,10;	38:10;50:14;53:17;
62:12,16:68:1,10;72:11,	67:16;68:9;79:7;81:5;	children's (1)	128:16;132:17;133:13;	116:25;121:19,22;122:1,
12,19,21;74:12;87:21;	90:4;91:12;179:11;	93:14	134:6,14;137:10;	1;169:3
94:1;100:10;102:3;	218:7;220:3;222:3;	Chinese (1)	142:21;146:11;147:23;	communicate (2)
140:10,22;154:1;159:12,	238:18	162:20	149:3	14:13;75:7
16;164:16;166:17;	certainly (7)	choices (3)	clients (1)	communicating (3)
169:6,12;172:12;	60:25;94:14;100:17;	15:19;29:19,24	42:7	67:9;81:19;238:12
CONTROL OF COMMON CONTROL OF CONT	A SEASON DISTRIBUTED TO	- dayan a host will a		The state of the s

communication (1)	97:12	48:9,25;50:10,14;52:1,5,	56:1	conveying (1)
5:5	conditions (1)	14;53:25;54:16,17;55:5,	conspiracy (2)	31:16
communications (2)	98:1	8;57:9,19,23;58:19,24;	199:6,16	convict (2)
14:14;190:15	conduct (23)	60:23;61:1,1,5,7,10,16,	constantly (1)	199:7,16
community (4)	36:4;44:25;57:18;	19;62:19;66:3;67:18;	156:17	convicted (23)
152:25;153:5,9;180:5	59:23;106:17;119:17;	68:10,13,19;69:1,2,17,	construe (1)	139:5,9,22,24;142:21;
companies (9)	120:11;121:17,19;	20;70:21;71:2;73:18,23;	168:24	148:20;181:7;182:19,22,
162:14,15,16,22,23,	122:16,17,18,20;123:1,	77:15;78:6;79:8,13,20;	consultant (5)	25;183:3;188:25;189:3,
25;163:20,25;164:10	5,8;128:12;166:5;	81:5,15;83:21;84:16;	14:19;23:20;29:22;	8,12;190:3;199:20,24,
company (2)	173:10,23;181:23;	86:9,18,22;87:18;89:12;	61:17;77:6	25;200:3;201:1,2;
162:19;207:8	186:1;215:10	90:4,10,14;93:3,7,23;	contact (2)	206:18
compelled (2)	conducted (3)	94:6,15;95:2;96:2;99:3,	195:11,15	convicting (1)
100:22;117:14	69:17;78:7;99:19	15;100:3,9,14,20,25;	contacted (2)	146:11
compelling (1)	confer (3)	101:1,12,16,22;102:1,	5:5,12	conviction (7)
219:13	54:1;84:13;233:24	18,24;103:3;106:13;	contemplate (1)	32:18,20;33:1;183:12;
competent (1)	conference (4)	109:4,19;116:18;	94:14	184:1,3,7
217:14	74:11,17;76:4;85:1	122:13;127:11;128:12;	contemplating (1)	convictions (7)
complaint (2)	conferred (1)	129:11,15,19,19,25;	94:10	89:14,15;184:5;185:2;
71:7,9	107:13	130:7,10;133:21;134:3,	contempt (2)	188:19;210:12,13
complaints (1)	conferring (1)	16;135:23;136:14,18;	184:10;215:7	convince (1)
216:11	96:8	137:22;140:9;142:23;	contempts (1)	234:7
complete (7)	confident (1)	145:9;148:11,16;	239:8	convinced (1)
84:15;125:15;126:8,	238:23	152:20;154:6;176:2;	content (1)	234:5
11;130:10;193:1;238:19	confidential (2)	193:5;200:16;204:16;	79:4	convincing (1)
completed (1)	146:4,7	208:16;210:6,19;211:16,	context (8)	219:6
126:17	confidentiality (1)	25;212:24;215:14,21;	38:3,6,11;122:15,16;	cop (1)
completely (1)	97:12	216:1;217:7,21;218:1;	217:22;224:2;229:10	183:10
233:17	confirmed (1)	219:20;220:14;221:2;	continue (5)	copied (1)
completing (1)	55:7	222:17;226:7;228:21,	54:5;64:10;130:12;	42:17
80:23	conflating (3)	24;229:24;236:6,16;	193:3;229:24	copies (2)
complexity (1)	87:4;93:2;95:4	238:22	Continued (6)	18:15;129:11
222:15	conflicting (2)	C-O-N-R-A-D (1)	33:12;78:13;104:7;	copy (9)
component (1)	49:21;51:1	101:22	149:15;186:25;220:23	36:18;59:6,20;64:25;
206:16	confronted (1)	Conrads (3)	contract (1)	65:2;75:13;97:20;
computer (3)	96:7	54:17,25;55:3	218:7	187:20;201:14
195:23,24,25	confusing (9)	Conrad's (13)	contradiction (2)	corner (1)
concealed (3)	45:13;47:3,15,19;	25:13;42:18;59:4;	200:6;201:2	180:4
		64:20;66:8,9;87:22;	contradictory (2)	corporate (1)
168:20;190:18,21	49:9;55:23;56:7,12;71:5		200:21,25	38:4
concepts (2)	connect (2)	94:13,23;95:8;97:10;	contrary (2)	
60:12,15	191:8;227:16	100:6,11		corporations (2)
concern (9)	connected (1)	conscious (4)	35:22;112:15 controlled (1)	38:7,9
23:17,18;26:15;30:11,	166:13	95:16:197:15;198:19;	189:9	corrected (1) 75:16
14;31:6,8,9;36:9	connecting (4)	231:5		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
concerned (3)	50:3;91:23;210:24;	consensus (1)	controlling (1)	corrections (1)
30:24;229:1;239:3	211:18	31:21	72:21	227:17
concerning (7)	connection (22)	consequences (3)	convenience (2)	correctly (6)
5:9;97:4;98:2;99:16;	7:3;8:15;9:9;13:2;	234:21,25;235:8	181:16;182:2	33:4;37:13;134:8;
102:10;114:19;118:9	18:16;32:2,4;44:14;	consider (15)	convenient (1)	145:13;199:18;200:5
concerns (4)	91:20;92:11;98:25;	41:25;74:4;99:23;	181:18	couching (1)
5:18,19;97:19,25	100:23,24;103:7;113:7,	122:23;137:3,6,8;	conversation (32)	195:3
conclude (2)	20;116:18;117:5;133:2;	153:15;155:10;158:15,	5:16;56:20,21,24;	counsel (51)
47:9;53:18	141:3,9;166:1	19,20;160:6;171:25;	57:8;58:15,17,21;59:22,	4:23;5:1;6:10;8:15,18,
concluded (8)	Conrad (192)	196:18	24;60:3,21;61:8;67:10;	24;17:22;67:1,15,24;
23:10;31:18;34:8;	5:2,12,15,17;6:14;	consideration (3)	68:22,24,25;69:19,22;	68:2,6,9,13,16,22;69:19,
61:24;62:1,3;70:22;	17:1,2;18:1,7;20:16,21;	98:21;205:18;222:19	70:12;77:13;78:5,10;	19,25;74:22;77:10,25;
79:24	22:21,22;23:5,11,14,15,	considered (4)	79:1,4,23,25;87:12,16;	78:2;84:7;96:8;97:20;
conclusion (8)	23,25;24:6,8,9,11,13,18,	15:14;42:6;90:19;	93:5;129:24;131:5	98:11,18;99:1,2,8;102:4,
47:6;54:24;87:9,11;	19,23,23;25:3,4,14,18,	217:14	conversations (2)	7;107:19,22;124:19,21;
93:9;128:9;165:17;	20,22;28:3;34:22;35:19;	considering (2)	29:22;66:25	125:17,18;126:10,19;
214:7	36:12;40:13,23;41:13,	222:8;233:3	convey (1)	129:12,25;132:7;204:8;
conclusions (1)	19,20;42:15;43:20;44:9;	consisted (1)	115:4	210:18;216:12;237:14;
222.10	1 2 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 2	010 4	named (2)	220.15.240.15 10
233:18 condition (1)	45:11,22;46:2,9,11,18, 22,22;47:5,10,12,17,21;	218:4 consistent (1)	conveyed (2) 74:9;80:13	238:15;240:15,18 counseled (1)

5:17	148:4,7,10;150:20;	crime (3)	David (20)	95:16;101:5;141:25;
counsels (1)	152:9,18,21;153:16;	166:6;182:19;192:14	12:15;22:12,23;26:22;	142:6,10,23;143:8;
69:2	154:9;155:9;156:21;	crimes (1)	40:8;41:8;44:22;45:4;	147;25;159:22;165:13;
counsel's (4)	159:9;160:8,25;161:3,9;	182:23	47:2;49:6,8;50:2,3;	167:2,3,8,11;172:11,24;
35:13;129:8;177:23;	162:9,11;163:18,23;	criminal (34)	55:22;62:11;63:16;	183:17;184:24;185:1;
200:18	164:18,21;167:4,9,11,	9:10;10:25;11:12;	70:24;83:13;199:7;	188:13,15;197:15;
Count (2)	18;169:5,10;170:6;	32:16;38:5,7;66:8,8;	213:12	217:10;235:20
201:1,2	171:4,12;172:9;173:17,	94:15;100:10;148:14;	David's (1)	decision-making (1)
counts (1)	19;174:20,22,23;175:11,	153:23;154:15;155:15;	47:18	10:4
74:24	12,20,24;176:3,6,20,22;	163:8;184:10;185:2;	Davis (4)	decisions (1)
county (5)	177:21,22;179:19,21;	190:12,19;192:21;	4:5;8:21;40:9;202:2	14:24
144:6,24;145:18,21;	181:25;183:24;187:19,	212:13;215:3,22;216:2,	day (44)	declaration (4)
148:5	21,22;190:16;192:9,20,	7;222:11;229:1,8,9,16;	23:13,13;39:6;57:7;	65:12;66:1;80:5,24
couple (5)	24;193:3;194:6,8;198:1;	233:10;235:14,20;236:3	58:5,7;59:9;61:24;64:5;	deemed (1)
22:1;67:3;156:1;	199:14;200:12,14,18;	criminals (2)	69:23;71:9,10;96:6;	186:24
177:7;226:21	201:6;202:9;203:11,16,	229:2,16	110:13;127:11;139:16;	deems (1)
course (26)	25;204:5,8,11,13,19;	crooks (6)	143:3;146:15;147:3,5,7,	85:6
5:13;21:14;48:24;	208:2,3,10;209:16,21;	114:11;203:7,13;	8,15;155:1,2;156:17;	deeply (1)
110:24;114:25;123:2;	211:1,6,8,10,12;217:13;	210:22,23;211:19	165:11;185:3,5;191:21;	53:12
142:18;158:24;164:1,9,	221:23;222:24;223:5,7,	crossed (2)	194:1,13,13;195:2;	defective (5)
17;166:12;168:1,6;		80:2;224:10		186:17,18,22;187:2,7
	7;226:6;228:20;229:20;		200:7;205:18,20;206:4;	
170:11;171:3,11,21;	230:3;231:11;233:7;	CROSS-EXAMINATION (3)	224:2;231:3,7;232:5,18; 239:21	defendant (8)
173:8;174:2;185:21,22;	234:11,23;235:18;236:5,	84:23;211:14;229:22		4:18;101:3,15,17;
188:12;192:12;194:18;	9,14,16;237:2,14,16,18,	cross-examining (1)	days (13)	149:9;153:23;210:20;
224:16	22,24;238:2,18;239:5,	169:20	63:22;74:14;95:10,12;	216:2
COURT (321)	12,19,21,24;240:1,4,8,	crossing (1)	146:19;150:7,10,23;	defendants (22)
4:2,8,9,12,13,17,21;	11,14,15,18,21	63:5	151:7,15;165:16;199:6,	7:17;16:3;74:18;97:2;
5:1,13,17,19,20,23;6:1,5,		Crownmayer (1)	15	100:10;111:8;113:25;
9,10,13,17,20;7:14,17,	6:24;58:8,13;109:20	90:12	day-to-day (1)	114:3,8;149:10;153:24;
23;8:5,6,9;9:15;11:9;	courtroom (24)	cup (1)	158:11	211:18,21;212:2,20;
13:16;17:7,11,16;19:12,	4:24;7:1;18:16;86:8;	109:9	dead (1)	213:3,7;214:13;220:20;
19,24;35:2;36:4,21,24;	97:4;99:5,9,19,20;102:6;	cured (1)	159:21	229:2,11,12
37:3,7,17;38:17;48:5,6;	103:4;108:6;118:2;	174:10	deal (3)	defendants' (3)
52:8;53:6,23;54:4;	153:25;217:3,15;	curiosity (7)	5:18;91:25;132:14	100:5;229:4,15
61:17;63:2;64:12,15;	219:22;220:17;232:21,	221:18;222:9;231:15,	dealing (1)	defense (23)
65:17,21;69:4,17;72:11;	24;233:9;234:12;235:9;	24;232:2,13,15	11:20	10:25;15:1,6;16:13,
74:12;75:23;76:12;	238:13	current (2)	dealt (1)	14,22;68:2,6,18;73:13;
77:23;79:9;80:25;81:6,	Court's (10)	157:23;158:9	98:16	87:19;187:5;193:23;
12;82:4;84:3,7,12,15,18,	63:7,13;70:1,6;96:17;	currently (1)	debate (1)	195:12;204:7,8;210:18;
21;85:1,17;88:14,17;	98:23;130:14;138:19;	6:22	28:16	214:16;228:25;229:13;
89:16,21,24;95:20,22;	171:9;184:22	cut (4)	decade (2)	238:8,9;240:18
96:1,5,6,17,24;97:2,8,19,	covered (1)	198:18,21,25;199:2	174:7,11	defensing (1)
21,23;98:17,22;99:1,2,7,	172:8		December (27)	67:24
14,23,24;100:4,7,17;	cow (3)	D	105:13;109:20;110:3,	definitely (2)
101:9,12,19,20,23;	50:5;91:17,19		6,9,22;113:10,22;	15:21;237:9
102:13,18;104:5;105:1,	Craig (2)	daily (1)	117:13;121:11;124:2,7;	definition (1)
2,7,9,15,18;106:5,14,22,	68:14;74:22	221:11	126:19;130:14;131:6;	214:3
25;108:10;109:23;	crazy (1)	date (20)	138:3;157:3;170:6;	defy (1)
110:2,6,8,13,20;112:6;	117:1	25:11;47:5;48:8;	173:9,15;175:21;	141:16
113:3,22;115:1,15,18;	create (1)		199:19;200:4,21;	degree (5)
	TA A CARLOS AND	54:25;55:2;68:25;103:6,		
117:4;118:24;119:11,	162:4	7;109:17;149:14;	203:12;223:5;231:9	44:4;59:11;86:13;
14;120:19;121:18;	created (13)	150:25:151:1,14:163:1;	decide (6)	95:9;218:2
122:7,10,12,12;123:4,	19:6;20:3,4,6,12,14;	185:15;186:19;188:10;	140:22;166:5;208:22,	deliberate (18)
10,12;125:6,8,14;126:8,	21:20,22;22:8;64:5;	194:3;234:25;235:2	23;217:2;239:5	141:25;142:6,10,23;
		dated (4)	decided (7)	144:8;147:25;155:2;
16;127:12,15;128:24;	82:7;97:15;162:1		85:17;114:11,14;	157:14;159:22;161:11;
129:2,8,12,17,21;130:3,	creation (1)	22:12;26:21;63:16;		147.00.001.100.10
129:2,8,12,17,21;130:3, 6,9,24;131:16;133:18,	creation (1) 20:7	99:15	141:16,20;154:4;240:6	167:2,3,8,11;183:17;
129:2,8,12,17,21;130:3, 6,9,24;131:16;133:18, 19;134:19;135:10;	creation (1) 20:7 credibility (1)	99:15 dates (3)	decides (1)	184:24;185:1;188:13
129:2,8,12,17,21;130:3, 6,9,24;131:16;133:18, 19;134:19;135:10; 136:14,16;137:24;138:1,	creation (1) 20:7 credibility (1) 192:15	99:15 dates (3) 48:1;54:20;189:10	decides (1) 101:4	184:24;185:1;188:13 deliberated (1)
129:2,8,12,17,21;130:3, 6,9,24;131:16;133:18, 19;134:19;135:10; 136:14,16;137:24;138:1, 4,12,14;139:8,21;140:3;	creation (1) 20:7 credibility (1)	99:15 dates (3) 48:1;54:20;189:10 Daugerdas (12)	decides (1) 101:4 deciding (1)	184:24;185:1;188:13 deliberated (1) 214:6
129:2,8,12,17,21;130:3, 6,9,24;131:16;133:18, 19;134:19;135:10; 136:14,16;137:24;138:1, 4,12,14;139:8,21;140:3; 141:6,11,16,20,25;	creation (1) 20:7 credibility (1) 192:15 credit (1) 49:13	99:15 dates (3) 48:1;54:20;189:10 Daugerdas (12) 4:7,10;77:10;102:6,	decides (1) 101:4 deciding (1) 112:8	184:24;185:1;188:13 deliberated (1) 214:6 deliberately (3)
129:2,8,12,17,21;130:3, 6,9,24;131:16;133:18, 19;134:19;135:10; 136:14,16;137:24;138:1, 4,12,14;139:8,21;140:3;	creation (1) 20:7 credibility (1) 192:15 credit (1)	99:15 dates (3) 48:1;54:20;189:10 Daugerdas (12)	decides (1) 101:4 deciding (1)	184:24;185:1;188:13 deliberated (1) 214:6

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v PAUL M. DAUGERDAS, ET AL.,

Appeal Section

determining (3) 158:25;159:1;211:18	disabled (1)	239:10	60:17;62:18;82:24;
158:25;159:1;211:18			00 1 1 0 1 10 01 5 00 00
	239:8	displease (1)	83:14;84:19;91:5;92:20;
developed (1)	disagree (1)	236:2	93:21;96:25;101:15;
129:9	15:12	dispute (1)	111:13;113:23;114:20;
			121:2;132:10,11;
			145:15;151:21,23;
			229:7;238:5;239:14
			downstairs (1)
			238:13
			Dr (8)
			177:18,24;178:10;
			180:23;206:8;218:16;
			219:4,6
			drafted (1)
			65:7
			drafter (4)
			65:8;72:17;73:8,9
			drafters (1)
			73:10
			drafting (6)
			25:16;65:6;76:15,18;
			79:11;85:24
			drafts (1)
			76:20
			draw (4)
			64:16;66:5;129:8;
			233:19
43:3,9,16,19;44:2,9;			draws (1)
47:13;49:22,24;50:18,			52:22
20;59:4;60:4;64:20;			drink (3)
69:4,8,17;70:22;71:13,		17:5,19,22,25;18:8,12,	109:8,25;110:3
19,24;72:20;83:4;86:15;	185:13	21,25;19:15;20:2,12,25;	drinking (6)
89:6,12;92:10;94:6;	disclosures (2)	21:4,8,20;124:25;136:6;	109:4,6,15;179:10;
96:14;102:2;103:14;	100:8;237:9	179:16;180:4	184:18,19
140:10,11,13,21;141:3,	discourage (1)	documents (12)	Drive (5)
9;143:1;147:3,5,8,15;	93:6	10:16;21:17;66:1,12,	196:3,10,11,23;198:10
149:13;159:25;161:22;	discovered (1)	19;80:6,8,21;82:12;	drivers (1)
162:23;165:25;167:17;	129:13	95:5;177:12,13	193:7
171:16;185:4;191:1;	discovery (1)	DOJ (1)	driver's (1)
192:17;203:20;207:16;	81:24	148:18	193:5
216:21;222:8;226:16;	discredit (2)	dollars (1)	driving (2)
227:13,22;228:1,2,13,	142:20,20	232:20	182:25;215:6
21;229:1;230:2;232:16;	discuss (3)	Donahue (1)	dropped (1)
234:14,17,20;236:18;	58:19;200:10,14	14:20	183:11
237:12	discussed (4)	done (11)	drunk (1)
DIRECT (12)	7:5;61:20;76:5;117:7	13:24;23:10;81:8;	182:12
8:11;11:11;22:11;	discussing (3)	142:19;153:18;163:13;	Duane (1)
26:21;67:14;84:25;94:4;	63:4;133:4,18	192:2;198:19;208:17;	70:5
101:24;145:7;204:14;	discussion (7)	238:23,24	due (1)
217:11;239:8	69:14:74:1,3;83:24;	Donna (1)	180:11
directed (4)	87:17;93:10;112:23	4:12	Duffy (1)
5:6;43:13;67:13;	discussions (5)	door (1)	77:7
120:19	67:8;83:20;87:23;	146:3	DUI (5)
directing (8)	88:3,9	dossier (7)	183:3,5,12;184:4,5
6:17;17:19;131:10,12;	disease (1)	43:25;44:11,21;81:15,	Duke (6)
133:19;139:10;146:7;	174:6	17;82:6,12	111:6,18;112:5,23;
177:22	disgrace (1)	dots (3)	113:7,21
direction (1)	192:3	210:24;211:18;227:16	Duke's (2)
48:5	disorder (1)	doubt (1)	111:21,25
INTERNATIONAL PROPERTY OF THE	120:22	210:8	duly (2)
200:18,21	disorderly (2)	down (30)	8:4;101:18
disability (1)	186:1;215:10	29:3,6,14;45:15;	during (87)
175:14	dispense (1)	50:15;55:17;56:2,17;	8:24;12:21;20:23;
	20;59:4;60:4;64:20; 69:4,8,17;70:22;71:13, 19,24;72:20;83:4;86:15; 89:6,12;92:10;94:6; 96:14;102:2;103:14; 140:10,11,13,21;141:3, 9;143:1;147:3,5,8,15; 149:13;159:25;161:22; 162:23;165:25;167:17; 171:16;185:4;191:1; 192:17;203:20;207:16; 216:21;222:8;226:16; 227:13,22;228:1,2,13, 21;229:1;230:2;232:16; 234:14,17,20;236:18; 237:12 DIRECT (12) 8:11;11:11;22:11; 26:21;67:14;84:25;94:4; 101:24;145:7;204:14; 217:11;239:8 directed (4) 5:6;43:13;67:13; 120:19 directing (8) 6:17;17:19;131:10,12; 133:19;139:10;146:7; 177:22 direction (1) 48:5 directly (2) 200:18,21 disability (1)	237:3 diagnosed (1) 176:12 difference (3) 142:17,18;225:25 different (20) 16:11;19:10;31:10; 51:10;66:1,2,6;76:20; 87:8,11;91:14;113:19; 122:5,5;154:21,22; 171:16;187:2;210:16,16 dire (126) 11:12,13,16,17,22,24; 12:12,19;13:2,13;14:18, 19;16:24;17:23;18:6,11, 16;19:8;20:13,14,15,24, 25:21:5,7,21:22:4,18; 23:10,12,14,15;24:17, 20;25:9;28:2,5,9,23; 29:2,15,18,21;30:1,5,7, 11,18;32:15;33:5;34:2, 3;35:3;38:16;42:18; 43:3,9,16,19;44:2,9; 47:13;49:22,24;50:18, 20;59:4;60:4;64:20; 69:4,8,17;70:22;71:13, 19,24;72:20;83:4;86:15; 89:6,12,92:10;94:6; 96:14;102:2;103:14; 140:10,11,13,21;141:3, 9;143:1;147:3,5,8,15; 149:13;159:25;161:22; 16:22;162:228;1,261:22; 16:21;222:8;226:16; 227:13,22;228:1,2,13, 21;229:1;230:2;232:16; 234:14,17,20;236:18; 237:12 DIRECT (12) 8:11;11:11;22:11; 26:21;67:14;84:25;94:4; 101:24;145:7;204:14; 217:11;239:8 directed (4) 5:6;43:13;67:13; 120:19 directing (8) 6:17;17:19;131:10,12; 133:19;139:10;146:7; 177:22 direction (1) 48:5 directly (2) 200:18,21 disability (1)	diagnosed (1) 176:12 difference (3) 142:17,18:225:25 different (20) 16:11;19:10;31:10; 51:10;66:1,26;76:20; 87:8,11;91:14;113:19; 122:5;5;154:2,122; 171:16;187:2;210:16,16 dire (126) 11:12,13,16,17,22,24; 12:12,19;132,13;14:18, 19;16:24;17:23;186;11, 16;19:8;20:13,14,15;24,17, 20:25:9;28:2,5,9,23; 29:2,15,18,21;30:1,5,7, 11,18,32:15;33:5;34:2, 3;33:3,38:16;42:18; 43:3,9,16,19;44:2,9; 47:13;49:22,24;50:18, 20;59:4,60:4,64:20; 69:4,8,17;70:22;71:13, 9;447:13;49:22,24;50:18, 20;59:4,60:4,64:20; 69:4,8,17;70:22;71:13, 9;447:13;49:22,24;50:18, 20;59:4,60:4,64:20; 69:4,8,17;70:22;71:13, 9;447:13;49:22,24;50:18, 20;59:4,60:4,64:20; 69:4,8,17;70:22;71:13, 9;143:1;147;3,5,8,15; 149:13;159:25;161:22; 162:23:165:25;167:17; 171:16;185:4;191:1; 192:17:203:20;207:16; 216:22;228;226:16; 227:13,22;228:12,13, 21:229;130:2;232:16; 234:14,17,20;236:18; 237:12 DIRECT (1) 8:11;11:11;2:11; 62:16;21;22;28;226:16; 237:12,13;21;29:13;30:2;32:16; 234:14,17,20;236:18; 237:12 DIRECT (2) 8:11;11:11;22:11; 62:16;11;11:12;21; 63:16;20;76:5;117:7 discussed (4) 7:5;6;20;76:5;117:7 discussed (1) 100:4 distinct (1) 238:2 distinct (1) 100:4 distinct (1) 234:2 distinct (1) 234:2 distinction (1) 175:20 30:20;105:6;203:17, 19;23;02;016:16;01, 175:20 docket (1) 175:20 docket (1) 175:10 200:14;14:10:60:22; distinction (1) 175:20 docket (1) 175:20 docket (1) 175:10 200:14;10:60:22; distinction (1) 100:4 distinct (1) 234:2 distinction (1) 100:4 distinct (1) 234:2 distinction (1) 175:20; 30:20;105:6;203:17, 19;235:25 Division (6) 23:1;24:1;52:8; 150:21;173:9;176:3 Division's (1) 175:10 00cket (1) 175:20 docket (1) 97:23 docket (1) 175:10 200:11;13:10:10 175:10 200:12;13:10:10 175:10 200:12;13:10:10 175:20 00cket (1) 175:10 200:12;13:10:10 175:

February 15, 2012

	The state of the s			
21:5,7;28:9;30:5,7,10,	178:12.18	end (25)	169:22	8:11,20;44:7;84:25;
18;32:15;33:5;34:17,17,	effects (1)	28:5;30:1;35:9;38:19;	et (1)	90:1;101:10,24;130:12;
20;35:3,3,20;36:17;	110:5	56:19;57:7;58:7;59:24;	8:21	204:14;222:24,25
39:20;42:18;43:2,4;	either (25)	60:5;64:5;71:9,10;	eternity (1)	example (3)
44:2,9;46:11;47:13;	10:11;20:6;24:22;	86:23;92:14;116:16;	201:15	34:14;38:5;219:15
48:24;50:13;56:5;59:22;	29:23:41:16:47:15;	159:7;181:4;205:18,20;	evaluate (1)	examples (1)
60:21;61:8;63:1,8,13;	48:22;69:19;71:17,22;	206:4;211:4;216:21;	16:2	13:7
64:9,20;66:20;67:17;	77:14;80:20;94:5;98:12;	220:8;222:15;226:24	evaluating (2)	except (1)
	107:7;134:12;136:9;	endeavor (1)	159:15;164:15	199:25
69:4,25;71:19,24;72:20,		192:25		
25;74:17,19;75:3,8;	139:9;140:24,24;		evaluation (2)	excerpt (2) 17:6;70:25
79:20;80:7,8,21,25;85:4;	160:13;166:18;179:11;	ended (1)	159:11:176:23	
88:18;89:5,12;92:10;	183:7;229:12	185:23	evaluations (1)	excerpted (2)
93:5;94:6,20,24;95:17;		endorphins (1)	100:7	70:25;91:12
96:11;99:16;101:10;	230:13,16,23	207:25	evasion (1)	excluded (2)
103:14;106:11;109:23;	Election (1)	enforcement (2)	215:19	187:8:217:12
112:20;129:13;143:1;	66:9	32:2,4	even (18)	exclusively (2)
165:16;181:9;182:13;	eleven (6)	engaged (1)	30:10;31:23;39:4;	38:1,12
213:23;216:20,21;	137:9;142:21;148:6;	156:18	46:21;47:15;70:18;	Excuse (2)
221:17;222:7;234:14,17,	149:6;194:20,23	engaging (1)	87:14;91:10;112:12;	196:21;231:21
19;236:18;237:12	elicit (1)	226:3	152:15;182:15;184:19;	excused (6)
duty (6)	101:2	English (3)	191:20;197:24;207:2;	90:10;96:9,24;97:1;
192:6,9,11;224:14,15;	else (14)	167:21;171:23;202:6	221:11;225:21;240:5	238:6,7
237:7	44:24;56:17;60:18;	enjoy (1)	evening (5)	execute (1)
dynamics (1)	67:20;76;24;83:24;	232:21	69:23;70:14;185:6;	6:18
232:22	134:2;140:17;172:23;	enlisted (1)	240:16,23	executed (3)
EUL.LL	173:6;174:24;194:18;	88:22	event (3)	5:21;150:23;151:14
E	237:2;239:17	enlisting (1)	7:6;34:17;70:15	exercised (3)
	else's (1)	88:19	events (2)	30:6;32:12;86:7
earlier (14)	235:23	enormous (2)	176:24;214:22	exercising (2)
	email (25)	210:3,5	eventually (5)	29:8:30:2
59:9;61:23;87:15;	14:13;22:11,11,16,23;	enormously (1)	16:25;18:3,5;49:6;	Exhibit (86)
90:25;93:9;150:8,23;		234:9	126:7	10:11,13,15,22;11:4,9;
177:7;206:17;218:1;	26:21,25;27:4,7,22;			
219:21;220:15;221:17;	81:14;82:23;83:6,7,7,9,	enough (7)	everybody (6)	12:6;17:3,10,16,18;
223:9	13;90:22;91:3,4,8,11,15;	46:22;47:9;49:20;	39:23;140:17;199:25;	18:17,18;19:9,11,19,24;
early (5)	92:16,19	53:18;88:14;200:1;	237:2,6,13	20:1,5,19,22;21:24;
23:20;69:3;70:14;	e-mail (27)	210:3	Everyone (1)	26:19;31:1;36:15,24,25;
240:10,11	39:6,9,14,14,15,19,22;	ensure (1)	115:1	39:11;64:23;65:10,16,
easily (1)	40:7,25;42:18;45:9;	30:7	eviction (2)	21,23,24,25;75:11,19,23,
81:23	47:1,25;48:1,8;50:4;	entered (2)	52:4,6	25;76:1,8,12,14,25;80:5;
easy (2)	55:11,13,21;56:4;57:10;	94:19;234:12	evidence (58)	82:8,15,20;83:11;85:2;
73:6;85:22	58:6;62:11,15,23;70:1,	entire (5)	11:10;17:17,18;19:25;	87:23;124:25;125:9;
economic (3)	15	19:15;50:21;51:5;	20:1;35:21;36:24,25;	126:14;129:12;130:21;
206:10,13;219:7	emails (3)	96:11;234:20	65:22,23,24;75:24,25;	145:2,5,6;150:16;
Edelstein (34)	80:10;83:12;93:23	entirely (1)	76:13,14;125:8,9;	157:19,20;173:15,19,20;
8:25;35:4,11;38:22;	e-mails (7)	28:19	143:19;145:5,6;159:5;	175:20,24,25;176:6,7,
40:2;56:20,25;57:8;	40:6,21,22;42:13;	enumerated (1)	164:15;173:19,20;	11;177:16,17,18;179:13,
58:2;59:1,17;60:5,7,11,	57:9,12:59:18	232:25	175:24,25;176:6,7;	21,22;181:2;194:5,8,9,
22;61:23;63:1,23;66:16;	e-mail's (1)	envelope (1)	177:16;179:21,22;	10;199:22;204:17;
67:11;69:22;70:3,7,13;	43:24	201:14	187:8;194:8,9;200:1;	211:11:230:3
73:11:77:1:79:24:80:1:	embarrassed (1)	envelopes (1)	204:1,3;205:5,18;206:4;	exhibits (4)
87:8,13,17;92:17;93:5,	152:3	182:1	211:4,10,11,22;212:9;	65:2;203:23,25;204:2
10	emblematic (1)	episode (1)	213:1,8;216:3,13;217:3,	existence (2)
educated (1)	219:15	96:12	10,14,14,22;219:23;	43:11;70:20
29:19	emergency (1)	Eric (1)	220:11.17:222:20	existing (1)
	96:7	238:10	evinces (2)	238:3
education (9)		The state of the s	173:10,23	expectation (1)
34:4;43:21;44:3;	emphasize (1)	error (1) 96:1	exact (3)	197:11
59:11;86:12;164:25;	202:20			expected (1)
167:12;168:4;212:12	employ (1)	essence (2)	134:11;144:23;194:3	62:18
educational (1)	10:4	128:21;200:13	exactly (8)	
34:5	employee (2)	established (4)	12:13;44:1;58:21;	expenses (2)
affact (1)	30-21-31-7	131-6-157-9 10-	85-19-103-13-141-1-	137-18.19

effect (1)

198:3

effective (2)

30:21;31:7

employment (1)

26:11

131:6;157:9,10;

158:11

estimate (1)

137:18,19

experience (14)

10:7;28:8;31:18;

85:19;103:13;141:1;

EXAMINATION (11)

196:22;226:3

52:23;53:5,8,17;119:16; 154:1;165:19;207:24,	171:6,19;172:6;173:8,9; 176:18;179:9;185:13;	26:11 fault (2)	fighting (4) 205:4,9,14;213:19	77:8 firm (37)
25;222:9;236:23 experienced (2)	189;3;191;12,15;193;9; 213:18;216:1,6,16;	44:17;171:9 favor (11)	fights (1) 156:18	9:2,6;12:6,23;13:1; 16:1;18:11;21:11,12,17;
10:2;89:2	219:12;225:3,4,16;	111:8;113:25;114:2,8;	figure (1)	24:22;25:3,5,8;28:12;
experiences (4)	227:6,21;235:5	140:23;212:9;213:4;	50:6	30;4;42;2;44;14,24;
123:15;207:14,18;	factor (8)	219:17;229:11,16;	file (7)	45:2;66:17,23;67:20;
208:3	15:10,11,13;231:5;	238:15	23:6;44:22;63:19;	74:2;75:2,4;77:16;78:3,
expert (1)	232:8,10,11,18	favorable (1)	82:7,9,14,19	10;79:2;83:21,24;86:7;
206:8 explain (16)	factors (1) 26:10	28:4 FBI (1)	filed (31) 46:4;53:11,14;62:19;	87:24;88:10,19,22 firms (1)
13:15;16:6,11;26:1;	facts (19)	32:4	64:17,19,24;65:12;	77:10
35:6;106:13;108:14;	32:3;56:23;72:18;	fear (1)	66:25;68:5,8;72:10,22;	firm's (3)
112:22;113:20;114:22;	73:17;74:19;75:3,4;	220:9	73:15;95:15;97:23;	10:19;79:7;81:4
116:18;117:5;118:7;	78:1;79:7;81:5,9;85:5,	February (21)	114:18,24;115:1;	First (43)
169:2,3;223:2	25;94:20;97:16;101:2;	99:15;116:20;118:8;	135:23;136:5,6,8,10,20;	5:1;6:25;7:11,24;23:1,
explained (4)	201:5;217:2,4	129:22;136:1,7,20;	150:7,17,20;181:4;	13,13;37:7;43:8;50:24;
140:10,13,16,20	factual (1)	147:7;150:20,24;	191:20;224:3	55:8;65:7;73:9;75:4;
explanation (3)	25:19	151:13;153:12;177:2,4,	files (3)	83:9;86:25;89:13;93:15;
47:23;93:1;106:17	factually (1) 214:21	4,12;179:1,4;181:4,5; 240:24	44:16;66:9;95:11 filing (4)	98:4,9;99:18;129:9; 138:8;142:7,8;143:3;
explode (1) 162:20	failed (2)	federal (14)	67:1,9;72:14;83:18	144:4,7;145:11;147:15;
exploring (1)	212:12,13	9:14;31:25;66:9;	filings (2)	150:8,21;151:3;170:16;
122:7	fair (39)	106:15;109:19;116:6;	100:5,7	173:12:175:17:176:21,
exposing (1)	15:3;28:3;30:7;31:20;	120:9;122:17;132:8,23;	fill (1)	24;183:5,7;184:1;185:3;
169:20	66:3,19;71:16,21;94:9;	136:2;138:1;222:11;	126:5	223:20
express (2)	131:20;148:7;153:21;	223:7	filled (1)	fit (1)
30:11;91:20	159:6;160:22;205:6;	fee (1)	137:22	31:14
expressing (2)	209:7;212:20,22;213:9;	221:11	final (4)	fitness (1)
44:12:91:22	214:6,9,15;215:19,21;	feel (3)	29:5;53:8;73:14; 222:17	176:21
expression (1) 62:7	216:8;218:5,10,14; 219:3,7,15,18,19;	112:18;198:9;208:2 feelings (1)	finances (9)	five (3) 58:16;63:22;130:9
extends (1)	221:11;225:22;228:22;	212:21	131:25;132:6,12,14,	fix (1)
18:23	231:19;236:22;237:8	felon (7)	19,22;133:3,10,18	69:14
extensive (1)	fairly (5)	139:5,9,22,24;148:20;	financial (15)	flash (1)
14:1	140:22;192:8;216:3,	199:24;206:18	126:3,6,11,18;129:10;	39:21
extent (1)	13;238:25	felonies (1)	130:16;133:23;134:20,	flirtatious (1)
215:3	faithful (1)	181:8	25;135:3;137:16,25;	197:24
extracted (2)	163:15	felony (2)	138:25;207:15;214:24 financially (4)	floor (1) 156:14
49:6;50:2	fall (1) 171:3	89:15;210:13 felt (5)	124:12;136:24;137:3,	focus (7)
extravagant (1) 137:2	false (5)	60:16;91:10;138:22;	12	15:8,9;25:17,18;44:7;
eyes (1)	28:19,20;72:24;162:1,	154:11;205:6	find (7)	50:12;145:8
110:18	4	ferret (1)	60:1;93:18;95:11;	focused (9)
	familiar (1)	141:11	108:25;213:3;219:12;	23:9;24:10,10;25:11;
F	223:6	ferreting (1)	223:16	42:21;49:5;55:6;61:3;
and the same	family (3)	141:4	findable (1)	72:18
facility (1)	32:3;188:18,18	few (16)	81:23	folded (2)
176:13	fantasy (1)	18:23;20:5;37:11;	finding (2)	183:20,21
facing (2) 35:13:126:13	198:7 far (7)	44:7;67:3;81:13;82:2; 90:5;103:4;151:15;	131:13;206:25 findings (1)	folders (3) 21:11,15,18
fact (64)	63:9;71:15;201:20;	153:7,19,22;220:6;	99:25	Foley (1)
26:4;28:20;30:24;	210:16;225:10;228:25;	221:2;232:17	fine (6)	58:12
34:20;46:4,6;47:16;	239:3	Field (3)	19:17,19;84:7;101:9;	follow (25)
49:4,7;59:14;61:20;	fashion (1)	4:16,18;77:11	137:13;238:17	217:7,19;224:24;
63:12;65:7;68:1;73:7,8;	192:13	fields (1)	finish (4)	225:1,2,5,6,16;226:19;
74:4;85:21;91:15;95:1;	fate (1)	20:8	50:24;64:12;136:22;	227:5,6,9,9,12,14,17,19,
98:3;114:22;124:6;	192:16	Fifth (7)	240:22 Sinished (2)	22;228:2,8,9,12,13,14,18 followed (6)
127:11;132:13;133:10;	father (11) 23:16;26:2;46:19,24;	99:3;100:20;102:4,7, 9,19;233:25	finished (2) 207:3,5	224:20,23;225:11,13;
142:1,2,19,21;143:12, 15;147:18;148:11;	47:10,12,17,22;148:16,	fight (5)	finishing (2)	226:22;228:11
152:5;159:23;164:14;	20;190:22	205:1,8,14;206:1;	64:11;130:6	following (1)
166:9,13;167:6;169:17;	father's (1)	213:19	Fink (1)	181:8
	1000	36.00	12 . A. 3 . K.	

follows (3)	91:7	gather (1)	23:20,23,25;26:17;	group (2)
8:4;101:18;193:14	fricken (3)	13:22	41:19;61:16;86:4;106:8;	13:21;39:19
folly (2)	114:11;203:7,12	gathered (1)	113:14;116:13,15	groups (1)
237:6,7	Friday (1)	27:1	Gosnell (2)	13:18
Foods (2)	69:23	gathering (1)	5:14;6:6	Guerin (5)
52:11,14	Friedman (1)	66:12	government (82)	4:12,14;77:10;204:10;
football (5)	175:22	gave (20)	4:2;6:16;7:11,24,25;	236:12
111:20,21,24,25;112:5	front (11)	6:6;15:24;26:2,14,16;	8:3;10:11,13,22;11:3,4,	guess (13)
forethought (1)	10:12;59:6;73:3;	27:5;28:5;30:4;34:8;	9;12:6;17:3,9,10,16;	7:10;119:5;124:10;
197:10	105:12;124:24;138:17;	49:24;118:22;136:18;	18:17;19:11,19,24;20:5,	133:16;157:10;163:7;
forewoman (1)	146:3;196:1,2;225:9;	153:8;214:8;217:23;	19,22;26:4,7,11,18;	167:20;187:5;229:18;
169:4	239:9	220:18;224:18;225:13;	36:19;39:11;65:9,15,16,	231:25;233:24;235:25
forget (1)	fruitful (2)	226:17;233:18	21,25;74:15;75:11,18,	236:24
140:2	28:16:69:12	gears (1)	19,23;76:1,7,8,12,25;	guided (2)
forgotten (1)	fruitless (2)	83:18	79:6;80:5;81:4,24;	220:10;222:20
188:11	14:9;24:25	general (4)		guilt (1)
			82:15;85:2;87:22;101:4;	
form (21)	frustration (1)	23:15;31:21;217:16;	102:13;106:23;107:12;	213:7
10:11;77:21,23;81:17;	44:12	221:25	137:5;202:3,12,14,16,	guilty (9)
106:5;115:17,18;126:19,	full (5)	generally (3)	17,21,21,23;204:17,23;	132:16;133:12;134:5;
23;128:9;134:19;154:8;	8:5;37:18;77:5;82:16;	109:18;130:17;166:25	212:4,8,9;213:4;219:17;	147:23;149:3;181:7;
159:9;183:23;202:7;	101:19	genuinely (1)	230:3;233:2,9;234:16;	211:22;213:3,24
209:21;220:21;221:18,	function (1)	96:15	235:10,11,16,22,24;	gun (1)
20;234:22,23	164:5	Georgia (2)	236:2	189:18
			The state of the s	
formally (1)	funded (1)	100:1,1	government's (9)	GX-14 (2)
177:11	137:25	gesturing (1)	7:4;15:4;17:18;20:1;	82:8,9
former (2)	funds (1)	160:20	65:23;75:25;76:14;96:9;	22
7:13;30:20	126:15	gist (1)	219:16	H
forth (2)	funny (1)	217:16	grab (1)	
31:4;73:22	181:19	given (16)	39:23	hairs (2)
forthright (1)	furnished (1)	25:22;26:1;27:5,13;	Grace (1)	226:2,3
10:8	99:1	28:17;33:1;69:12;70:20;	230:19	half (2)
forthwith (2)	further (22)	88:13,19;100:7,23;	gradations (1)	109:9;207:4
5:21;6:24	71:4;84:2;88:19;	102:24;161:21;220:7;	16:16	hand (4)
forum (1)	89:22;93:11,23;95:21;	236:16	grade (4)	131:16;133:21;134:4;
175:5	96:4,17;97:6;99:8;	gives (1)	16:9,11;27:5,25	207:15
forward (1)	107:9;190:16;204:4;	50:7	grade-inflated (1)	handed (1)
59:17	217:12;222:23;229:19;	giving (1)	16:23	169:4
fought (3)	236:8,9,13,14;237:14	49:13	grades (1)	handing (1)
	230.6,9,13,14,237.14		16:20	170:22
205:1,8;206:1		glanced (2)		The total and the second of th
foul (1)	G	62:21;83:16	grading (6)	handle (1)
228:25		glasses (1)	15:22,25;16:1,6,7,23	149:7
found (18)	Gair (59)	49:13	graduate (1)	handling (1)
22:18;41:3;44:18;	4:6;6:12;7:18;77:21;	God (2)	42:4	11:23
60:22;61:6,18;66:16,17,	99:10;100:18,19,25;	111:6,18	graduated (1)	hands (1)
18;68:19;69:6;79:12;	101:23,25;102:12,22,23;	goes (5)	12:10	192:16
173:9,22;211:22;	106:21;122:10;125:4;	27:4;37:10,11;165:4;	graduation (1)	happen (4)
			12:8	
213:24;219:5,17	128:24;129:1;130:2,8,	196:19	A SEPTIME	111:10;115:22;
four (7)	12,13,23;136:17;145:1;	Goldman (6)	grant (1)	117:10;120:7
7:9,12;151:7;157:6,7;	148:8;173:14;175:19;	30:12,16,19,20,24;	102:24	happened (6)
218:23;238:14	176:1;179:15;192:22;	31:7	granted (2)	43:4;55:21;56:19;
fourth (1)	193:3,4;194:4;199:13;	Good (29)	99:5;148:10	125:21;158:21;177:12
7:13	200:9;201:20;203:15,	4:3,9,11,13,15,17,21,	granting (1)	happening (4)
Francisco (2)	22;204:4;205:23;	24,25;8:13,14;9:21;	102:20	67:5;92:14;125:20;
		16:13;28:6;41:25;67:12;	gray (1)	126:20
11:19:12:2	206:24;211:4;213:11,	[120:16	
Frank (1)	15;215:2;221:20;	84:17;124:1;130:9;	7070864	happens (2)
6:7	222:24;223:1;229:19;	163:15;192:21,22;205:1,	great (2)	67:7;187:18
frankly (1)	237:16,17;238:1,9,17,	8,14;206:1;212:8;	30:6;132:14	happy (1)
18:10	25;239:3,18;240:19	213:19;240:22	greatly (1)	64:10
fraud (2)	Gair's (1)	Google (8)	95:1	harassment (4)
		13:24;41:24;71:23;	greeting (3)	183:13;184:7;189:25;
166:1:189:15		A STANTA TATALANTATATA	Practice (c)	100,101,01,11,102,601
166:1;189:15	221:17		182-1 4 5	215.7
free (1)	garbage (6)	77:6,14;78:6;85:20;	182:1,4,5	215:7
			182:1,4,5 grounds (2) 175:13;199:12	215:7 hard (3) 30:9:65:2;230:14

hardcopies (1)	highest (8)	22;226:5;229:19,21;	28:18	included (6)
31:2 handakin (5)	34:4;43:20;44:3;	233:4;234:22;236:4,11,	idiot (2)	16:8;42:10;95:2;
hardship (5) 13:17,19,20,22;29:20	59:10;86:12;164:24;	15;237:11,15,17,19,21,	200:1;203:1	124:8;166:20;188:22
harm/no (1)	167:12;168:4	25;238:1,9,11;239:9,18;	illness (1)	includes (1)
228:25	highly (1) 238:23	240:3,10,17,19 hope (3)	120:17	45:21
head (7)	Hillary (1)	149:1;198:6;240:8	image (1) 205:12	including (3)
47:6;62:8,8;70:21;	77:2			35:16;191:6;228:2
94:19;223:12;239:1	himself (2)	hoping (2) 71:7;197:18	immeasurable (1) 237:3	income (1) 152:7
heading (5)	32:24;94:18	horse (1)	immediately (1)	inconsistent (1)
66:7;150:1,1;197:7,14	HIPAA (2)	159:21	51:1	160:4
headings (3)	97:25;175:5	hospital (2)	immigration (5)	incorrect (9)
66:2,6,13	hire (3)	5:25;6:8	46:19;47:10,13,14;	
heads (1)	124:16;130:16;137:20	hour (1)	148:16	47:4,20;166:19; 205:17,23,24,24;206:2;
234:18	history (7)	135:18	immunity (14)	226:23
Health (1)	62:25;86:2;89:14,15;	hours (2)	99:5;100:23;101:7;	indeed (3)
180:5	190:12;210:11;229:2	61:23;237:3	102:16,20,20,25;107:13,	89:16;208:6;209:24
hear (2)	hits (2)	house (6)	16;233:11,12,22,24;	indefinitely (1)
88:15;217:13	23:24,24	148:25;161:23;162:2,	234:2	177:8
heard (18)	hold (1)	5,8;170:10	immunize (1)	independent (1)
28:1;36:7;38:10;69:8;	59:1	household (4)	101:4	18:25
70:19;97:6,10;99:8,13;	holding (1)	47:3,19:152:7:161:15	immunized (1)	indicate (1)
100:16;106:21;120:4;	54:2	housekeeping (1)	108:7	26:12
143:13;159:16;213:8;	holdout (1)	211:2	impartial (4)	indicated (1)
219:23:222:3:231:22	200:8	hundred (1)	30:8;31:20;216:8;	7:6
bearing (30)	hole (1)	160:16	228:22	indicates (1)
7:15;99:16;100:25;	60:17	husband (35)	impartiality (1)	6:23
112:24;113:8;116:19;	Hollander (1)	6:7;46:23;47:17;	216:17	indicative (1)
117:13,14;118:7,8,14,	40:1	89:15:139:5.9.22.24:	impartially (2)	219:20
15,18;124:2,7;129:20;	holy (3)	148:12,14,20,22,24;	140:23;216:3	indicted (3)
186:10,12,14,16;187:11;	50:5;91:17,19	156:17;161:15,18,20,23;	impeachment (1)	181:7;189:15,22
203:6;211:22,23;213:1;	home (3)	162:2,5,17;163:13,15;	239:4	indictment (1)
214:2;230:15;235:8;	117:15;164:18;196:22	185:23;188:23,24;	implying (1)	182:4
238:19;239:12	homeowners (1)	189:2;199:23;206:18,	127:22	indirectly (1)
Hecker (1)	162:5	24;210:12,20;229:8,9;	importance (1)	238:25
8:19	honeymoon (1)	230:4	175:12	individual (5)
held (3)	89:18	husband's (1)	important (10)	23:14;24:20;28:1;
99:17;199:6,15	Honor (143)	190:18	10:8,9;127:18;172:10,	34:3;69:17
help (9)	4:3,11,15,25;5:10;6:3,	hyperlink (1)	24;174:25;175:2,15;	individually (1)
8:17;12:14;29:24;	14,16;7:9,16,18,19,20;	82:17	176:20;240:12	172:6
50:15;150:14;178:12,	8:10;11:3,6,7;17:9,13,	hypothetical (1)	importantly (1)	infirmity (1)
19;179:13;224:7	14,15;19:9,13,18,21,22,	158:20	7:12	175:14
helped (2)	23;34:25;36:19,23;64:8;	199180	impression (7)	influence (2)
12:14;13:4	65:18,19;77:22;81:11,	1	74:9;112:7;116:11;	183:1;215:7
helpful (3)	25;84:2,5,9,10,11,22;		128:17;162:1,3,4	influenced (1)
15:12;48:4;224:12	85:5,6,12;89:25;95:19,	I-95 (1)	impressionistic (1)	110:14
Hernandez (34)	21;96:19,20,21,22,23;	162:20	58:20	info (2)
4:5:7:25;8:9,10,12,14;	97:7:98:25:99:10,11,12,	idea (13)	improper (4)	26:25;47:19
11:3;17:9;19:9,17;	13;100:15,21;101:8,13;	60:1;71:6;107:16;	35:25;36:4;205:21,25	inform (1)
34:25;36:19;50:23;	102:12,15,16,17;106:19,	120:6;134:22;135:5,8;	improperly (1)	104:4
53:23;54:4,6;64:8,14;	21;112:4;113:2;115:17;	136:22;164:7;167:17;	15:4	information (99)
65:15;72:7;75:18;76:7;	119:13;122:10;125:4,7;	185:15;199:4;233:21	inability (1)	6:4;11:21,22;13:5,7,9,
81:11;82:2,5;84:2;	129:1;130:2,23;134:17;	ideas (2)	126:18	12,13,22;14;20,22;
89:20,24,25;90:2;95:19,	136:15,17;141:5;145:1,	122:5;218:11	inaccurate (2)	15:25;18:10;20:10;
21;96:19;202:2	4;148:9;152:17;154:8;	identical (1)	55:2;180:24	21:12;23:8,21;28:17,18,
herself (4)	156:20;159:8;162:7;	151:16	incident (5)	20,20;30:12;34:5;39:10;
178:13,19;239:6,9	173:14,18;175:19,23;	identified (1)	183:5;185:20;188:11,	40:23;42:14,20;43:1,10,
Hi (1)	176:1;179:15,20;	193:15	14;189:19	13;44:13,17,20,23;
129:19	181:24;183:23;190:14;	identifies (1)	inclined (1)	45:18,21;46:1,13,23;
hide (1)	192:19,22;194:4,7;	50:25	26:5	47:1,3,9;49:5,21;50:1,8;
188:4	199:13;200:9;202:7;	identify (3)	include (9)	51:1;53:18;54:10,19;
higher (4)	203:15,22,24;204:4,9;	14:7;46:23;193:18	9:14;62:12;73:17,25;	55:3,14,23,24,25;56:18;
180:14,16,18;210:8	211:2,7,9,13;221:1,21,	identifying (1)	74:2,6,22;77:18;100:6	57:3,6;58:24;62:12;

63:23;66:3;67:16,24;	6:7;109:21;110:19,22;	investigator (1)	Jersey (7)	judges (1)
68:2,10,13;69:6,13;	113:9;118:22;119:17;	60:2	94:18;162:18;163:13;	208:6
70:25;71:17,22;73:18,	124:8;211:23;213:9;	invoke (1)	189:19;230:9,11,23	judge's (7)
22;79:9,12;80:12,12,20,	216:14,19;217:23;	100:20	Jesus (7)	36:1;76:4;90:8;
25;81:6,20,21;83:15,20,	219:23;220:7,8,18;	invoked (1)	55:16;57:11;62:5;	119:17;162:3;211:23;
25;85:21;90:4,8;91:5,12,	221:24;222:4,20;223:3;	233:25	90:23:91:8,9,19	213:9
14,17;93:7;94:7;95:16;	224:17,20,24;225:1,5,6,	involve (1)	jibe (1)	judging (3)
100:3;128:19;210:4	13,17;226:22;227:6,10,	39:1	49:21	176:21;192:15;216:3
informed (5)	12,14,19,23;228:3,7,11,	involved (16)	job (9)	judgment (7)
5:18;14:22;53:25;	13,18	12:19,21;15:24;27:13;	137:20;158:22;	49:2;51:20,22,24;
68:18;99:2	insults (1)	38:20;76:15,19,24;	160:22;163:16;198:4;	52:7;53:9;115:3
initial (6)	156:18	87:23;88:9;94:1;183:12;	202:3;208:8,10;225:3	judgments (1)
40:22;42:14;50:15;	intellectual (2)	191:4;214:21;215:15;	job's (2)	51:17
118:17:221:24;227:5	221:18;232:19	220:16	208:8,10	Judicial (6)
initially (7)	intelligence (1)	involvement (3)	joined (1)	23:2;53:6;99:18;
13:23;25:22;27:4;	39:7	210:13;215:21;216:10	67:16	
				108:12;173:11,23
29:18;49:4;61:16;	intend (3)	involving (1)	joint (1)	July (10)
213:23	84:4,7;204:7	38:9	67:1	64:2,19;74:12;75:13;
injury (10)	intended (2)	irrational (15)	Judge (187)	76:3;77:24;78:4;85:1;
38:17,20,23;39:1;	74:18,21	105:25;107:5;110:17;	7:21;19:14;33:5,8;	187:12,15
51:13;60:8,10;187:4;	intention (3)	113:1.4;121:25;122:3,	34:10,16;36:6,12;37:3,	jumping (2)
191:18;218:5	43:1;102:8;152:20	18,20,25;123:1,8;128:4,	17;43:6;46:19;47:10,14;	32:18;229:7
innocence (1)	intentional (1)	14;149:8	48:4;53:3;54:3,6;57:23;	juncture (1)
213:7	78:8	irrationally (1)	72:6;73:5;74:17,21;	237:22
innumerable (1)	interest (7)	128:10	75:2;76:4;77:24;78:4;	June (5)
239:8	97:16;99:21,23;100:8,	irrelevant (5)	82:5;89:23;90:10;	67:4;80:1,1;88:6,18
inquire (11)	11;101:6;222:7	122:23,25;128:18,22;	101:11;104:1,4;105:6,	Juror (162)
8:9;33:8;57:23;84:4,8,	interested (4)	221:13	12,19,22;106:15;107:13;	16:25;18:3,5;19:6;
21;98:12,18;101:23;	15:5,7;173:7;231:2	IRS (1)	109:20;110:23,25;111:5,	20:2,8,16,18,18,21;
204:8;211:12	Interesting (2)	214:19	14;112:8,22;113:7,21;	24:13,14,18;25:12;27:2;
inquired (2)	106:6;236:23	Israel (1)	114:17,23;115:21;116:6,	28:4,7,9;30:2,11,13,18,
79:6;81:4	internally (1)	158:6	15,19,20;117:6,10,23;	22,24;31:6,10,11,13,14,
inquiry (13)	28:16	issue (16)	118:7,22;119:10;120:5,	14,15,16,24;32:9;33:6,9,
34:11;40:23;74:22;	internet (2)	30:19;31:9;37:10;	10;121:10,12,21,23,24;	10;34:8,22;35:16,19;
76:4;96:17,18;97:14;	61:18;69:6	53:13;61:6;70:2;74:6;	122:2,4,17;123:5,7,12,	36:4,9;38:16,20;39:7;
98:2,14;130:1;190:16;	interpretation (1)	79:14:87:25;88:11,11;	12,25;124:2,11,14;	42:24;43:4,5,11;46:6,10;
204:9;211:1	160:12	105:10,20;136:23;	126:14,22;128:4,13,20;	47:7;49:2,11,22;50:10,
Insanely (1)	interrupt (1)	191:9;239:5	130:4,15;131:1,5,25;	18,20;51:2,3,13;56:1;
123:2	53:23	issued (7)	132:9,12,18;133:2;	57:9,12;58:25;59:4,15;
insight (1)	into (30)	6:11;186:20,23;187:2,	135:9;138:23;139:3;	60:4;61:15,21,25;62:2;
117:9	4:24;13:18;34:2;	22;188:1;237:23	140:10,16;141:8;143:5;	63:3,11;69:9;70:10,19;
instance (5)	42:18;58:23;60:19;67:5,	issues (3)	144:4,7,8,11,14,19;	71:18,18,24,24,25;
		88:12;95:4;140:22	145:9;147:24,25;	72:19,24;75:9;79:15,21;
127:4,8,17;188:5;	6;68:22;69:4,5;71:3;		148:16;151:6,15,23;	80:3;83:3,11;85:23;
215:6	98:14,19;99:4;108:6;	item (1)		
instances (1)	115:15;122:4;167:10;	151:9	153:13;154:23;155:7;	86:1,9,16,22;87:5,18,24;
28:14	183:20,22;194:22;	items (1)	157:22;158:8,14;160:4;	88:10,11,20,24;89:12;
instead (1)	198;7;205:18;210:10;	181:16	161:8,14;163:24;	90:15,20;91:1,21,24;
47:22	213:21;219:6;227:21;	iterative (1)	164:24;165:7,9,24;	92:15,24;93:3,25;96:1,7,
Institute (2)	231:11;239:1	76:19	170:1;171:17;174:1,22;	9,10,12,16;97:2,3;103:8,
180:8,10	intoxicated (2)		175:6;177:20;183:15;	9;118:9;128:11;141:12;
instruct (1)	109:23;110:14	J	184:20;185:4;186:24;	145:9;153:17,20;154:2,
37:8	intoxication (1)		187:1,7,8,10,11,24;	3,21;158:22;160:20;
instructed (5)	110:9	jail (1)	188:6,14,17;194:21;	161:2;162:11;164:5;
44:16;96:10;138:23;	introductory (1)	154:15	196:25;197:25;199:12,	166:16;169:4;172:12,
200:10;220:8	222:4	January (2)	19;200:4,20;201:4;	25;176:21;191:14,14;
instructing (1)	intuitively (1)	109:16,17	204:12;209:5,10,19;	208:18,24;209:6,11;
124:8	15:15	Jason (1)	214:2,8;216:4,20;	212;25;218:10;221:5,
instruction (16)	investigate (3)	4:5	217:23;219:23;220:7,	13;222:11;223:23;
70:2;214:8;216:4,24;	88:20;92:12,13	JD (5)	18;221:24;222:18,23;	224:7;225:21;226:8;
217:1,7,9,15,19;220:11;	investigation (2)	142:1;143:15;165:19;	223:5;224:18,20,23;	228:22;230:24;231:19;
226:8,17,19;227:5,9;	13:1;31:25	167:6;173:8	225:1,5;226:7;227:5,12;	236:22;237:10
228:14	investigations (2)	Jenner (1)	228:2,7:233:24;238:17.	jurors (54)
instructions (41)	191:5.6	77:7	21;239:3,20;240:5,20	11:21:13:5,6,16,17;

100 and 2 and 6 to 100 and	The second	CALLED TO STATE OF THE STATE OF	TOP AND OVER 12 AND TO	
14:23,24,25;15:3,5,16,	179:9	233:14,23	25;171:1,5,10;210:11	180:14,16,18
20,23,25;16:2,8,12,13,	kid's (1)	larger (1)	lawyers (25)	Levin (4)
14,14;17:8;19:7;20:9;	35:7	188:15	10:21,24;67:8;68:18;	77:16;78:3,10;79:2
28:12,18,21,25;29:6,9,	Kim (13)	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
		last (21)	73:13;88:16;165:21,25;	LIAB (2)
11,14,19,25;30:4;34:11,		9:4;34:25;58:15;77:4;	166:5,14;193:23;	37:10,15
11,17,17,20;89:5;	19:6;20:3,6;23:21;	109:6,7,8,14,19;110:2;	195:12;204:7;206:19;	liability (6)
137:10;141:4;142:21;	27:19;40:25;41:16,22,25	121:7;135:6,23;136:2,8;	207:10,14,18;208:4;	37:16,21;38:5,21;
148:6;149:6;159:13;	kind (8)	152:19;157:2,4;163:6;	209:4,9,25;210:15;	42:25;60:12
165:12;168:25;192:15;	60:6;67:5;88:14;	170:24:172:9		
			214:16;216:11;218:8	liar (2)
194:20;207:13;213:22;	106:19;109:12;122:17,	lasts (1)	laying (1)	169:25;239:6
220:9;234:17	18;136:14	172:15	201:24	license (3)
juror's (5)	kindly (1)	late (3)	lead (1)	193:5,7,11
22:2,3;69:7;80:2;88:9	122:12	70:14;80:1;194:3	132:2	licensing (3)
jury (77)	kneejerk (2)	later (11)	leading (1)	54:10;191:6;210:14
14:19;17:6,20;18:9;				
	128:1,2	40:16;41:9;49:6;58:5;	11:12	lie (45)
23:19;24:21;29:22;30:8;	knew (60)	83:15;101:3;118:14;	learn (2)	28:9;141:25;142:6,11,
31:12,19;35:14,16;36:7;	16:8,24;17:2;18:7;	130:10;151:7,15;238:20	43:7;61:20	13,17,24;143:9,10,11,14,
37:8;57:16;61:17;70:2;	33:5;34:3,22;37:4,5;	latter (1)	learned (8)	16,18,20,25;144:8,17;
73:18;77:6;83:11;92:3,	38:1,15;46:22,25;47:9;	33:1	10:7;37:23;38:15;	154:4;155:2;157:11,14;
5;95:12;96:6,10,11;	50:17;51:2;54:17,18;	laughed (1)	62:22;63:23;90:4;94:24;	158:1,19;160:16,17;
101:10;128:15;140:21;	74:18;77:24;86:5;	206:19	208:15	161:2,11;165:18;167:3,
153:18;154:4;160:21;	105:15,18,19;126:3;	Laurie (4)	least (5)	8,11;170:25;171:7;
165:22;166:5,11,15,15,	127:18;141:2;153:21;	8:25;71:10,12;86:6	46:17;98:4;113:6;	181:11;183:17,19;
18,24;167:2,15;168:15,	154:1,3:160:22:165:19.	law (28)	147:19;157:7	199:10;206:6;209:1;
18,21;169:7,8,13,18;	22,24;166:4;168:6;	12:8;32:2,4;37:24;	leave (4)	218:21,24;229:25;
171:15;172:5,18,20;	182:21;186:20;187:21,	42:4;54:18;55:9;72:16,	60:20;93:12;117:22,	232:12,13;234:17
181:22;182:17;185:8;	25;207:1,2,3,6,8;209:5,	16;79:13;95:9;120:3;	23	lied (30)
188:2;190:20;191:23;	5,7,8,9,9,10,11,25,25;	135:7;150:18;154:1;	leaving (1)	43:20;44:9;71:18,24;
192:4;194:22;200:10,15,	210:11;229:8;231:19;	167:24,25;171:12;	183:13	92:9;94:6;115:15,15,15;
15;205:6,7;210:1;	233:14;236:21	175:13;176:22;177:1;	left (6)	154:24;158:14;168:15,
211:25;214:2,11;	knowing (1)	217:4,10,22;218:2,2,12;	58:7;67:11;107:25;	17;169:7,13,17;170:7,
216:24;222:8;223:3;	127:12	239:22	163:13;166:5;211:16	20;171:4;181:22;184:1,
229:3;231:2,4,18;236:19	knowingly (1)	lawful (1)	legal (12)	2;185:11,13;224:15;
Justice (7)	214:3	105:18	37:21;42:6;106:14;	232:4,7,16;233:9;234:19
23:17;26:3,6;32:2;	knowledge (16)	lawsuit (19)		
			112:15;120:2;165:19;	liens (2)
148:17;175:21;190:22	53:17;75:3;79:7,14;	55:17,17;56:2,17,18,	193:10;214:8;216:4,14,	51:16,22
Justices (1)	81:5;82:11;88:23;	23;57:3;60:10;62:19;	19;231:7	lies (13)
175:21	112:15;122:1,1;169:3;	66:9;71:4;82:24;83:3,	legally (1)	72:19;89:10;94:1,10,
justifies (1)	190:18;202:5;206:12;	14;91:5;92:20;93:17;	217:12	11,13;103:21;161:1;
159:7	214:12,16	94:23;95:5	lengthy (2)	168:2;169:15;170:2;
justifying (1)	known (2)	lawyer (112)	18:18;224:7	208:16;228:5
219:1	89:12;202:17	9:25;10:2,19;22:19;	less (2)	life (12)
justly (1)	knows (1)	24:6,8,19;26:15;27:6,10;	84:6;134:14	50:21;51:5;59:10;
192:8	156:14	28:8;33:9,11;34:6,7;	letter (55)	87:6;157:24;158:3,4,9;
	Kostelanetz (1)	41:25;43:17,22;45:13;	16:7,8;27:25;76:3,16,	159:19,23;160:1,6
K				155.15,25,100.1,0
	77:8	50:4;52:17;55:5;56:9;	22,25;77:13,18;78:4;	lifestyle (1)
0.7 - + 3.7420	Kramer (4)	59:1,16;60:14,24;61:4,7,	85:7,13,17;87:22;88:6,8,	137:2
keep (10)	77:16;78:3,10;79:1	9,15,19;63:3,11;67:18;	9;89:1;95:2;97:5,18,20;	light (4)
21:17;43:24;44:11,15,	kudos (1)	68:19;69:1,8;70:10,20;	99:1,15;195:2,5,17,21,	71:8;75:5;85:6;212:8
16;60:19;81:14;82:20;	235:25	71:3;72:3;75:9;79:12,	25;196:6,14,17;197:1,8,	liked (2)
	233.23	21;80:4;85:22;86:2,9,14,		34:24:195:12
188:1;210:3	Ť.		22;198:19,21;199:1,3,5;	
keeper (1)	L	18,23;87:4;89:3,13,16;	200:22,22;201:7,7,8,11;	likelihood (3)
210:21		90:21;91:1,25;92:2,4,25;	202:1;204:16;213:15,	90:14;236:2;238:22
keeping (4)	lack (5)	93:4:94:15:105:9,19:	22;214:1;218:15,22;	likely (10)
44:19;64:8;137:9;	108:11;187:5;206:10,	118:23;119:9,16,21,25;	219:12;235:23	14:8;16:13,15;87:10;
232:20	13;219:7	122:16;123:11;124:3,5,	letterhead (4)	93:1;99:21;100:9;210:7;
	The second secon			
Kendra (1)	language (1)	5,9,12,16;126:15;	63:20;198:11,14,17	225:12;235:8
40:1	173:25	130:16;132:15,20,23;	letters (1)	likes (1)
Kentucky (1)	laptop (2)	133:4,15;134:21,25;	97:23	112:4
189:17	21:6.7	135:4,6,11,12,14,15;	level (12)	limited (2)
kept (4)	larceny (1)	136:24;137:4,12;139:4,	34:4;43:20;44:3;	13:17;69:12
				line (11)
21-14-44-21 22-22-10	1/4-/5			
21:14;44:21,22;82:10 kicked (1)	179:25 large (2)	4;144:1;153:18;166:5, 11,17;168:23;170:7,21,	59:10;86:12;112:18; 164:24;167:12;168:4;	48:15;125:14;126:14;